



FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 1948. CANS assessment pairs: 2123. Mean months between CANS: 7.8; median months: 7.8. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 44.9%.**


All Programs Needs 5-18




All Programs Strengths 5-18




All Programs Impact on Functioning 5-18




All Programs Risk Behaviors 5-18




All Programs Needs 0-4



All Programs Strengths 0-4



All Programs Impact on Functioning 0-4






A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 29. CANS assessment pairs: 29. Mean months between CANS: 3.9; median months: 4.3. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 31.0%.**


A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Needs 5-18




A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Strengths 5-18




A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Impact on Functioning 5-18




A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Risk Behaviors 5-18




A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Needs 0-4



A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Strengths 0-4




A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Impact on Functioning 0-4




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 12. CANS assessment pairs: 11. Mean months between CANS: 4.1; median months: 4.7. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 54.5%.**


A Better Way MHS
Needs 5-18




A Better Way MHS
Strengths 5-18




A Better Way MHS Impact on Functioning 5-18




A Better Way MHS Risk Behaviors 5-18




A Better Way MHS Needs 0-4



A Better Way MHS Strengths 0-4




A Better Way MHS Impact on Functioning 0-4




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 52. CANS assessment pairs: 50. Mean months between CANS: 4.2; median months: 4.2. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 38.0%.**


**A Better Way Outpatient
Needs 5-18**




**A Better Way Outpatient
Strengths 5-18**




A Better Way Outpatient Impact on Functioning 5-18




A Better Way Outpatient Risk Behaviors 5-18




A Better Way Outpatient Needs 0-4



A Better Way Outpatient Strengths 0-4



A Better Way Outpatient Impact on Functioning 0-4






AFS Outpatient Services Therapeutic Visitation SF


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 90. CANS assessment pairs: 87. Mean months between CANS: 6.8; median months: 7.6. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 23.0%.**


AFS Outpatient Services Therapeutic Visitation SF Needs 5-18




AFS Outpatient Services Therapeutic Visitation SF Strengths 5-18




AFS Outpatient Services Therapeutic Visitation SF Impact on Functioning 5-18




AFS Outpatient Services Therapeutic Visitation SF Risk Behaviors 5-18




AFS Outpatient Services Therapeutic Visitation SF Needs 0-4



AFS Outpatient Services Therapeutic Visitation SF Strengths 0-4



AFS Outpatient Services Therapeutic Visitation SF Impact on Functioning 0-4






BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 29. CANS assessment pairs: 29. Mean months between CANS: 8.5; median months: 8.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 75.9%.**


BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program Needs 5-18




BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program Strengths 5-18



BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program Impact on Functioning 5-18



BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program Risk Behaviors 5-18






CASARC Outpatient Service


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 27. CANS assessment pairs: 27. Mean months between CANS: 7.9; median months: 10.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 63.0%.**


**CASARC Outpatient Service
Needs 5-18**




**CASARC Outpatient Service
Strengths 5-18**



CASARC Outpatient Service Impact on Functioning 5-18



CASARC Outpatient Service Risk Behaviors 5-18






Chinatown Child Development Center


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 156. CANS assessment pairs: 153. Mean months between CANS: 10.2; median months: 11.8. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 45.1%.**


Chinatown Child Development Center Needs 5-18




Chinatown Child Development Center Strengths 5-18




Chinatown Child Development Center Impact on Functioning 5-18




Chinatown Child Development Center Risk Behaviors 5-18




Chinatown Child Development Center Needs 0-4



Chinatown Child Development Center Strengths 0-4



Chinatown Child Development Center Impact on Functioning 0-4






CJCJ Community Options for Youth


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 35. CANS assessment pairs: 35. Mean months between CANS: 5.2; median months: 4.3. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 22.9%.**


CJCJ Community Options for Youth Needs 5-18




CJCJ Community Options for Youth Strengths 5-18



CJCJ Community Options for Youth Impact on Functioning 5-18




CJCJ Community Options for Youth Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 73. CANS assessment pairs: 71. Mean months between CANS: 9.6; median months: 9.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 69.0%.**


Community Youth Center
Needs 5-18




Community Youth Center
Strengths 5-18



Community Youth Center Impact on Functioning 5-18



Community Youth Center Risk Behaviors 5-18






Counseling Enriched Educational Program


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 21. CANS assessment pairs: 21. Mean months between CANS: 8.3; median months: 8.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 28.6%.**


Counseling Enriched Educational Program Needs 5-18




Counseling Enriched Educational Program Strengths 5-18



Counseling Enriched Educational Program Impact on Functioning 5-18




Counseling Enriched Educational Program Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 121. CANS assessment pairs: 120. Mean months between CANS: 8.6; median months: 10.6. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 34.2%.**


Edgewood Bayview Needs 5-18




Edgewood Bayview Strengths 5-18



Edgewood Bayview Impact on Functioning 5-18



Edgewood Bayview Risk Behaviors 5-18






Edgewood Campus Programs


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 34. CANS assessment pairs: 34. Mean months between CANS: 6.8; median months: 6.7. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 32.4%.**


Edgewood Campus Programs Needs 5-18




Edgewood Campus Programs Strengths 5-18



Edgewood Campus Programs Impact on Functioning 5-18



Edgewood Campus Programs Risk Behaviors 5-18






Edgewood Children's Center


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 14. CANS assessment pairs: 14. Mean months between CANS: 5.0; median months: 4.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 35.7%.**


Edgewood Children's Center
Needs 5-18




Edgewood Children's Center
Strengths 5-18



Edgewood Children's Center Impact on Functioning 5-18




Edgewood Children's Center Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 56. CANS assessment pairs: 56. Mean months between CANS: 6.4; median months: 5.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 48.2%.**


Family Mosaic Project
Needs 5-18




Family Mosaic Project
Strengths 5-18



Family Mosaic Project Impact on Functioning 5-18




Family Mosaic Project Risk Behaviors 5-18




Family Mosaic Project

Needs 0-4




Family Mosaic Project

Strengths 0-4



Family Mosaic Project Impact on Functioning 0-4






Foster Care Mental Health Program


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 70. CANS assessment pairs: 64. Mean months between CANS: 8.0; median months: 7.5. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 56.2%.**


Foster Care Mental Health Program Needs 5-18




Foster Care Mental Health Program Strengths 5-18




Foster Care Mental Health Program Impact on Functioning 5-18




Foster Care Mental Health Program Risk Behaviors 5-18




Foster Care Mental Health Program Needs 0-4



Foster Care Mental Health Program Strengths 0-4



Foster Care Mental Health Program Impact on Functioning 0-4






FSA Full Circle Family Program


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 47. CANS assessment pairs: 46. Mean months between CANS: 6.9; median months: 8.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 54.3%.**


FSA Full Circle Family Program Needs 5-18




FSA Full Circle Family Program Strengths 5-18



FSA Full Circle Family Program Impact on Functioning 5-18




FSA Full Circle Family Program Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 5. CANS assessment pairs: 5. Mean months between CANS: 9.8; median months: 10.3. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 60.0%.**


FSA SOAR Academy
Needs 5-18




FSA SOAR Academy
Strengths 5-18



FSA SOAR Academy Impact on Functioning 5-18



FSA SOAR Academy Risk Behaviors 5-18








Homeless Childrens Network

FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017


This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 55. CANS assessment pairs: 55. Mean months between CANS: 4.9; median months: 4.6. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 74.5%.**




Homeless Childrens Network Impact on Functioning 5-18




Homeless Childrens Network Risk Behaviors 5-18




Homeless Childrens Network Needs 0-4



Homeless Childrens Network Strengths 0-4



Homeless Childrens Network Impact on Functioning 0-4






Huckleberry Youth Programs


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 23. CANS assessment pairs: 22. Mean months between CANS: 4.4; median months: 3.5. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 72.7%.**


Huckleberry Youth Programs
Needs 5-18




Huckleberry Youth Programs
Strengths 5-18



Huckleberry Youth Programs Impact on Functioning 5-18



Huckleberry Youth Programs Risk Behaviors 5-18






IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 58. CANS assessment pairs: 57. Mean months between CANS: 7.0; median months: 6.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 52.6%.**


IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services Needs 5-18




IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services Strengths 5-18



IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services Impact on Functioning 5-18




IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services Risk Behaviors 5-18




IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services

Needs 0-4




IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services

Strengths 0-4




IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services Impact on Functioning 0-4




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 2. CANS assessment pairs: 2. Mean months between CANS: 4.9; median months: 4.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 100.0%.**


IFR Semillas
Needs 5-18




IFR Semillas
Strengths 5-18



IFR Semillas Impact on Functioning 5-18



IFR Semillas Risk Behaviors 5-18






Jewish Family Children's - Judah


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 4. CANS assessment pairs: 4. Mean months between CANS: 8.8; median months: 9.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 75.0%.**


Jewish Family Children's - Judah Needs 5-18




Jewish Family Children's - Judah Strengths 5-18



Jewish Family Children's - Judah Impact on Functioning 5-18



Jewish Family Children's - Judah Risk Behaviors 5-18






Jewish Family Children's - Scott


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 4. CANS assessment pairs: 4. Mean months between CANS: 10.1; median months: 9.8. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 100.0%.**


Jewish Family Children's - Scott Needs 5-18




Jewish Family Children's - Scott Strengths 5-18



Jewish Family Children's - Scott Impact on Functioning 5-18




Jewish Family Children's - Scott Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 106. CANS assessment pairs: 103. Mean months between CANS: 9.7; median months: 11.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 67.0%.**


Mission Family Center
Needs 5-18




Mission Family Center
Strengths 5-18



Mission Family Center Impact on Functioning 5-18



Mission Family Center Risk Behaviors 5-18






MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 11. CANS assessment pairs: 11. Mean months between CANS: 9.2; median months: 10.2. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 27.3%.**


MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Needs 5-18




MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Strengths 5-18




MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Impact on Functioning 5-18




MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Risk Behaviors 5-18




MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Needs 0-4



MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Strengths 0-4




MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Impact on Functioning 0-4




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 85. CANS assessment pairs: 85. Mean months between CANS: 9.8; median months: 11.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 21.2%.**


Oakes Children's Center
Needs 5-18




Oakes Children's Center
Strengths 5-18



Oakes Children's Center Impact on Functioning 5-18



Oakes Children's Center Risk Behaviors 5-18






Occupational Therapy Training Program


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 48. CANS assessment pairs: 48. Mean months between CANS: 8.6; median months: 9.7. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 43.8%.**


Occupational Therapy Training Program Needs 5-18




Occupational Therapy Training Program Strengths 5-18



Occupational Therapy Training Program Impact on Functioning 5-18




Occupational Therapy Training Program Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 34. CANS assessment pairs: 34. Mean months between CANS: 10.6; median months: 11.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 50.0%.**


OMI Family Center
Needs 5-18




OMI Family Center
Strengths 5-18



OMI Family Center Impact on Functioning 5-18



OMI Family Center Risk Behaviors 5-18






RAMS Child, Youth, Family Services


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 151. CANS assessment pairs: 150. Mean months between CANS: 8.7; median months: 10.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 26.0%.**


RAMS Child, Youth, Family Services Needs 5-18




RAMS Child, Youth, Family Services Strengths 5-18



RAMS Child, Youth, Family Services Impact on Functioning 5-18




RAMS Child, Youth, Family Services Risk Behaviors 5-18




RAMS Child, Youth, Family Services

Needs 0-4




RAMS Child, Youth, Family Services

Strengths 0-4



RAMS Child, Youth, Family Services Impact on Functioning 0-4






San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 10. CANS assessment pairs: 10. Mean months between CANS: 9.8; median months: 11.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 40.0%.**


San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Needs 5-18




San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Strengths 5-18



San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Impact on Functioning 5-18




San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Risk Behaviors 5-18




San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention

Needs 0-4




San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention

Strengths 0-4



San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Impact on Functioning 0-4






Seneca Connections Placement


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 10. CANS assessment pairs: 10. Mean months between CANS: 7.5; median months: 9.5. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 40.0%.**


Seneca Connections Placement Needs 5-18




Seneca Connections Placement Strengths 5-18



Seneca Connections Placement Impact on Functioning 5-18




Seneca Connections Placement Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 153. CANS assessment pairs: 152. Mean months between CANS: 4.1; median months: 4.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 35.5%.**


Seneca Connections WRAP
Needs 5-18




Seneca Connections WRAP
Strengths 5-18



Seneca Connections WRAP Impact on Functioning 5-18




Seneca Connections WRAP Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 8. CANS assessment pairs: 8. Mean months between CANS: 3.8; median months: 3.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 50.0%.**


Seneca MST
Needs 5-18




Seneca MST
Strengths 5-18



Seneca MST Impact on Functioning 5-18



Seneca MST Risk Behaviors 5-18






SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 8. CANS assessment pairs: 8. Mean months between CANS: 2.9; median months: 2.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 0.0%.**


SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House Needs 5-18




SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House Strengths 5-18



SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House Impact on Functioning 5-18



SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House Risk Behaviors 5-18






SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 13. CANS assessment pairs: 13. Mean months between CANS: 3.9; median months: 3.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 30.8%.**


SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter
Needs 5-18




SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter
Strengths 5-18



SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter Impact on Functioning 5-18



SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter Risk Behaviors 5-18






SF Boys Girls Home - Satellite


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 3. CANS assessment pairs: 3. Mean months between CANS: 7.5; median months: 8.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 33.3%.**


SF Boys Girls Home - Satellite
Needs 5-18




SF Boys Girls Home - Satellite
Strengths 5-18



SF Boys Girls Home - Satellite Impact on Functioning 5-18



SF Boys Girls Home - Satellite Risk Behaviors 5-18






Southeast Child and Family Therapy 1


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 65. CANS assessment pairs: 65. Mean months between CANS: 10.5; median months: 11.8. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 46.2%.**


Southeast Child and Family Therapy 1
Needs 5-18




Southeast Child and Family Therapy 1
Strengths 5-18



Southeast Child and Family Therapy 1 Impact on Functioning 5-18



Southeast Child and Family Therapy 1 Risk Behaviors 5-18






Southeast Child and Family Therapy 2


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 99. CANS assessment pairs: 97. Mean months between CANS: 10.2; median months: 11.6. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 44.3%.**


Southeast Child and Family Therapy 2 Needs 5-18




Southeast Child and Family Therapy 2 Strengths 5-18



Southeast Child and Family Therapy 2 Impact on Functioning 5-18




Southeast Child and Family Therapy 2 Risk Behaviors 5-18




Southeast Child and Family Therapy 2

Needs 0-4




Southeast Child and Family Therapy 2

Strengths 0-4



Southeast Child and Family Therapy 2 Impact on Functioning 0-4








St. Vincent's School for Boys

FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017


This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 30. CANS assessment pairs: 30. Mean months between CANS: 5.4; median months: 5.2. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 43.3%.**



St. Vincent's School for Boys Impact on Functioning 5-18



St. Vincent's School for Boys Risk Behaviors 5-18






Sunset Mental Health Services CYF


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 31. CANS assessment pairs: 31. Mean months between CANS: 14.2; median months: 11.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 48.4%.**


Sunset Mental Health Services CYF Needs 5-18




Sunset Mental Health Services CYF Strengths 5-18



Sunset Mental Health Services CYF Impact on Functioning 5-18



Sunset Mental Health Services CYF Risk Behaviors 5-18






UCSF Child and Adolescent Services


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 86. CANS assessment pairs: 85. Mean months between CANS: 7.2; median months: 7.5. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 69.4%.**


UCSF Child and Adolescent Services
Needs 5-18




UCSF Child and Adolescent Services
Strengths 5-18



UCSF Child and Adolescent Services Impact on Functioning 5-18



UCSF Child and Adolescent Services Risk Behaviors 5-18






UCSF Infant Parent Program


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 20. CANS assessment pairs: 19. Mean months between CANS: 6.2; median months: 6.6. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 68.4%.**


UCSF Infant Parent Program Needs 5-18




UCSF Infant Parent Program Strengths 5-18




UCSF Infant Parent Program Impact on Functioning 5-18




UCSF Infant Parent Program Risk Behaviors 5-18




UCSF Infant Parent Program Needs 0-4



UCSF Infant Parent Program Strengths 0-4




UCSF Infant Parent Program Impact on Functioning 0-4




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 14. CANS assessment pairs: 14. Mean months between CANS: 4.4; median months: 4.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 28.6%.**


Westcoast Children's Clinic
Needs 5-18




Westcoast Children's Clinic
Strengths 5-18



Westcoast Children's Clinic Impact on Functioning 5-18




Westcoast Children's Clinic Risk Behaviors 5-18




Westcoast Children's Clinic

Needs 0-4




Westcoast Children's Clinic

Strengths 0-4



Westcoast Children's Clinic Impact on Functioning 0-4






Westside Child and Adolescent Outpatient Services


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 45. CANS assessment pairs: 44. Mean months between CANS: 6.9; median months: 5.8. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 47.7%.**


Westside Child and Adolescent Outpatient Services Needs 5-18




Westside Child and Adolescent Outpatient Services Strengths 5-18



Westside Child and Adolescent Outpatient Services Impact on Functioning 5-18



Westside Child and Adolescent Outpatient Services Risk Behaviors 5-18






YMCA Trauma and Recovery Services


FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 4. CANS assessment pairs: 4. Mean months between CANS: 8.5; median months: 9.3. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 75.0%.**


YMCA Trauma and Recovery Services Needs 5-18




YMCA Trauma and Recovery Services Strengths 5-18



YMCA Trauma and Recovery Services Impact on Functioning 5-18




YMCA Trauma and Recovery Services Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 60. CANS assessment pairs: 59. Mean months between CANS: 7.6; median months: 9.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 57.6%.**


YMCA Urban Services
Needs 5-18




YMCA Urban Services
Strengths 5-18



YMCA Urban Services Impact on Functioning 5-18




YMCA Urban Services Risk Behaviors 5-18




FY16-17 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

This report looks at the two most recent CANS of the first three quarters of FY16-17 to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 12. CANS assessment pairs: 12. Mean months between CANS: 6.3; median months: 6.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 100.0%.**


Youth Guidance Center
Needs 5-18



Youth Guidance Center
Strengths 5-18



Youth Guidance Center Impact on Functioning 5-18



Youth Guidance Center Risk Behaviors 5-18

