




### All Programs

#### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).


Number of youth included in this report: 2410. CANS assessment pairs: 2568. Mean months between CANS: 7.4; median months: 6.5. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 47.8%.**

#### All Programs Needs 5-18




# Improved:	502	446	409	305	347	299	60	78	70	23
Percent:	42.9%	43.1%	48.4%	36.5%	49.4%	49.4%	40.8%	65.5%	61.4%	43.4%

#### All Programs Strengths 5-18




# Improved:	313	354	358	329	300	291	90
Percent:	28.3%	39.0%	41.0%	39.5%	36.3%	35.6%	45.9%

### All Programs Impact on Functioning 5-18




### All Programs Risk Behaviors 5-18



### All Programs


#### Needs 0-4



	Regulatory Problems	Anxiety	Adjustment to Trauma	Attachment	Depression	Failure to Thrive
# Improved:	31	29	25	15	4	1
Percent:	53.4%	50.9%	62.5%	51.7%	66.7%	100.0%


### All Programs

#### Strengths 0-4



	Relationship Permanence	Family Strength	Interpersonal	Curiosity	Playfulness
# Improved:	16	15	17	10	6
Percent:	37.2%	38.5%	47.2%	58.8%	50.0%

### All Programs Impact on Functioning 0-4



	Family Impact	Communication	Sensory	Motor	Physical Medical	Developmental Intellectual
# Improved:	27	10	5	3	2	0
Percent:	54.0%	58.8%	71.4%	60.0%	66.7%	0.0%




## A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 31. Mean months between CANS: 3.1; median months: 3.2. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 26.7%.**


### A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Needs 5-18




### A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Strengths 5-18



### A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Impact on Functioning 5-18




### A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation Risk Behaviors 5-18



### A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation


#### Needs 0-4



	Adjustment to Trauma	Anxiety	Attachment	Regulatory Problems	Depression	Failure to Thrive
# Improved:	2	0	0	1	0	0
Percent:	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	-- %	-- %

### A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation


#### Strengths 0-4



	Relationship Permanence	Family Strength	Interpersonal	Curiosity	Playfulness
# Improved:	1	1	1	0	0
Percent:	16.7%	25.0%	50.0%	-- %	-- %

# A Better Way - SF Therapeutic Visitation

## Impact on Functioning 0-4




	Family Impact	Sensory	Physical Medical	Communication	Developmental Intellectual	Motor
# Improved:	1	1	0	0	0	0
Percent:	100.0%	100.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %



**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 14. Mean months between CANS: 3.6; median months: 3.3. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 46.2%.**

**A Better Way MHS  
Needs 5-18**



	Anxiety	Impulsive Hyperactive	Adjustment to Trauma	Oppositional	Anger Control	Depression	Somatization	Conduct	Psychosis	Substance use
# Improved:	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percent:	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %

**A Better Way MHS  
Strengths 5-18**




	Family Strength	Relationship Permanence	Spiritual Religious	Education	Interpersonal	Talents Interests	Vocational
# Improved:	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percent:	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-- %



### A Better Way MHS


#### Needs 0-4



	Regulatory Problems	Anxiety	Attachment	Adjustment to Trauma	Depression	Failure to Thrive
# Improved:	1	0	1	0	0	0
Percent:	20.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	-- %	-- %


### A Better Way MHS

#### Strengths 0-4



	Relationship Permanence	Family Strength	Interpersonal	Playfulness	Curiosity
# Improved:	1	0	1	0	0
Percent:	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	-- %

## A Better Way MHS Impact on Functioning 0-4



	Sensory	Family Impact	Physical Medical	Communication	Developmental Intellectual	Motor
# Improved:	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percent:	33.3%	0.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %




## A Better Way Outpatient

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).


Number of youth included in this report: 74. Mean months between CANS: 4.3; median months: 4.8. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 50.0%.**

### A Better Way Outpatient Needs 5-18



# Improved:	Anxiety	Depression	Adjustment to Trauma	Anger Control	Impulsive Hyperactive	Oppositional	Conduct	Somatization	Psychosis	Substance use
Percent:	13	10	17	13	6	8	1	2	1	0
	29.5%	27.0%	47.2%	50.0%	26.1%	44.4%	25.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%


### A Better Way Outpatient Strengths 5-18



# Improved:	Relationship Permanence	Spiritual Religious	Family Strength	Talents Interests	Education	Interpersonal	Vocational
Percent:	7	10	4	8	6	5	2
	17.9%	38.5%	16.0%	42.1%	37.5%	33.3%	50.0%


### A Better Way Outpatient Impact on Functioning 5-18

Improved Not Improved




# Improved:	19	12	9	8	3	3	0	2	1	0
Percent:	47.5%	42.9%	40.9%	61.5%	33.3%	37.5%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-- %

### A Better Way Outpatient Risk Behaviors 5-18




# Improved:	4	5	4	3	1	1	0	0	0
Percent:	44.4%	55.6%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	-- %	-- %

### A Better Way Outpatient Needs 0-4




	Adjustment to Trauma	Anxiety	Regulatory Problems	Attachment	Depression	Failure to Thrive
# Improved:	3	0	1	1	0	0
Percent:	75.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	-- %	-- %

### A Better Way Outpatient Strengths 0-4



	Family Strength	Relationship Permanence	Interpersonal	Curiosity	Playfulness
# Improved:	2	2	0	0	0
Percent:	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	-- %	-- %

## A Better Way Outpatient Impact on Functioning 0-4



	Family Impact	Developmental Intellectual	Sensory	Physical Medical	Communication	Motor
# Improved:	1	0	1	0	0	0
Percent:	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %






## AFS Outpatient Services

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 74. Mean months between CANS: 5.3; median months: 5.6. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 29.7%.**

### AFS Outpatient Services Needs 5-18




### AFS Outpatient Services Strengths 5-18




### AFS Outpatient Services Impact on Functioning 5-18

Improved Not Improved



# Improved:	11	9	11	5	4	2	1	2	1	1
Percent:	35.5%	31.0%	52.4%	33.3%	33.3%	40.0%	25.0%	66.7%	50.0%	100.0%


### AFS Outpatient Services Risk Behaviors 5-18



# Improved:	6	3	2	1	1	0	1	1	1
Percent:	75.0%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### AFS Outpatient Services


#### Needs 0-4



	Adjustment to Trauma	Regulatory Problems	Anxiety	Attachment	Depression	Failure to Thrive
# Improved:	2	2	2	2	0	0
Percent:	28.6%	28.6%	40.0%	100.0%	-- %	-- %


### AFS Outpatient Services

#### Strengths 0-4



	Relationship Permanence	Family Strength	Interpersonal	Curiosity	Playfulness
# Improved:	1	0	2	1	0
Percent:	10.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%

## AFS Outpatient Services Impact on Functioning 0-4



	Family Impact	Communication	Motor	Physical Medical	Developmental Intellectual	Sensory
# Improved:	2	1	0	0	0	0
Percent:	40.0%	50.0%	0.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %




## AFS Therapeutic Visitation SF

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 24. Mean months between CANS: 6.4; median months: 6.2. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 66.7%.**

### AFS Therapeutic Visitation SF Needs 5-18




### AFS Therapeutic Visitation SF Strengths 5-18




### AFS Therapeutic Visitation SF Impact on Functioning 5-18

Improved Not Improved



# Improved:	7	6	4	3	3	2	0	0	0	0
Percent:	58.3%	75.0%	80.0%	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %


### AFS Therapeutic Visitation SF Risk Behaviors 5-18



# Improved:	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percent:	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %

### AFS Therapeutic Visitation SF


Needs 0-4



	Adjustment to Trauma	Anxiety	Regulatory Problems	Attachment	Depression	Failure to Thrive
# Improved:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %

### AFS Therapeutic Visitation SF

Strengths 0-4



	Family Strength	Relationship Permanence	Interpersonal	Curiosity	Playfulness
# Improved:	0	0	0	0	0
Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %








## BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 54. Mean months between CANS: 11.0; median months: 10.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 56.6%.**


### BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program Needs 5-18




### BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program Strengths 5-18



### BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program Impact on Functioning 5-18



### BVHP Children's Behavioral Health Program Risk Behaviors 5-18






## CASARC Outpatient Service


### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 41. Mean months between CANS: 7.1; median months: 6.7. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 48.8%.**

### CASARC Outpatient Service Needs 5-18




### CASARC Outpatient Service Strengths 5-18




### CASARC Outpatient Service Impact on Functioning 5-18

Improved Not Improved



# Improved:	10	10	5	4	5	0	1	0	0	0
Percent:	47.6%	52.6%	62.5%	50.0%	83.3%	0.0%	33.3%	-- %	-- %	-- %

### CASARC Outpatient Service Risk Behaviors 5-18



# Improved:	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Percent:	57.1%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %




Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House

FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).


Number of youth included in this report: 9. Mean months between CANS: 5.4; median months: 6.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 33.3%.**

Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House  
Needs 5-18




# Improved:	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percent:	37.5%	14.3%	33.3%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	-- %	-- %

Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House  
Strengths 5-18




# Improved:	2	1	1	0	1	0	0
Percent:	33.3%	16.7%	20.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	-- %

### Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Euclid House Risk Behaviors 5-18






Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter


FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 15. Mean months between CANS: 3.4; median months: 2.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 40.0%.**


Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter  
Needs 5-18




Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter  
Strengths 5-18



### Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Catholic Charities CYO SF Boys and Girls Home - Shelter Risk Behaviors 5-18








Catholic Charities CYO St. Vincent's School for Boys


FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 38. Mean months between CANS: 5.1; median months: 4.7. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 42.1%.**


Catholic Charities CYO St. Vincent's School for Boys  
Needs 5-18




Catholic Charities CYO St. Vincent's School for Boys  
Strengths 5-18



Catholic Charities CYO St. Vincent's School for Boys  
Impact on Functioning 5-18



Catholic Charities CYO St. Vincent's School for Boys  
Risk Behaviors 5-18





## Chinatown Child Development Center


### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 219. Mean months between CANS: 10.3; median months: 11.8. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 44.1%.**


### Chinatown Child Development Center Needs 5-18

Improved Not Improved



	Impulsive Hyperactive	Anxiety	Oppositional	Anger Control	Depression	Adjustment to Trauma	Somatization	Psychosis	Conduct	Substance use
# Improved:	35	33	29	25	24	13	4	0	0	0
Percent:	35.7%	50.0%	50.0%	49.0%	51.1%	38.2%	100.0%	0.0%	-- %	-- %


### Chinatown Child Development Center Strengths 5-18



	Interpersonal	Spiritual Religious	Family Strength	Talents Interests	Education	Relationship Permanence	Vocational
# Improved:	43	20	30	19	27	10	2
Percent:	39.4%	18.5%	39.0%	24.7%	38.6%	30.3%	33.3%


### Chinatown Child Development Center Impact on Functioning 5-18

Improved Not Improved



# Improved:	46	42	39	16	8	8	1	1	0	0
Percent:	49.5%	48.3%	59.1%	45.7%	44.4%	72.7%	14.3%	50.0%	-- %	-- %


### Chinatown Child Development Center Risk Behaviors 5-18



# Improved:	5	6	3	3	1	1	0	0	0
Percent:	35.7%	66.7%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %


### Chinatown Child Development Center

#### Needs 0-4




### Chinatown Child Development Center

#### Strengths 0-4



### Chinatown Child Development Center Impact on Functioning 0-4



	Communication	Family Impact	Developmental Intellectual	Motor	Sensory	Physical Medical
# Improved:	3	2	0	1	1	0
Percent:	50.0%	40.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-- %




## CJ CJ Community Options for Youth

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 49. Mean months between CANS: 4.2; median months: 3.5. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 42.9%.**


### CJ CJ Community Options for Youth Needs 5-18




### CJ CJ Community Options for Youth Strengths 5-18



### CJ CJ Community Options for Youth Impact on Functioning 5-18



### CJ CJ Community Options for Youth Risk Behaviors 5-18








## Community Youth Center

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 86. Mean months between CANS: 8.3; median months: 7.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 56.5%.**

#### Community Youth Center Needs 5-18




#### Community Youth Center Strengths 5-18




### Community Youth Center Impact on Functioning 5-18

Improved Not Improved



# Improved:	17	12	8	8	8	3	3	4	2	0
Percent:	56.7%	46.2%	47.1%	53.3%	66.7%	37.5%	75.0%	100.0%	66.7%	-- %

### Community Youth Center Risk Behaviors 5-18



# Improved:	3	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percent:	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %




## Edgewood Campus Programs

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 117. Mean months between CANS: 8.2; median months: 9.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 47.9%.**


Edgewood Campus Programs  
Needs 5-18




Edgewood Campus Programs  
Strengths 5-18



### Edgewood Campus Programs Impact on Functioning 5-18




### Edgewood Campus Programs Risk Behaviors 5-18



### Edgewood Campus Programs


#### Needs 0-4



	Attachment	Adjustment to Trauma	Anxiety	Depression	Failure to Thrive	Regulatory Problems
# Improved:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent:	0.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %

### Edgewood Campus Programs


#### Strengths 0-4



	Curiosity	Family Strength	Interpersonal Permanence	Playfulness
# Improved:	0	0	0	0
Percent:	0.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %

# Edgewood Campus Programs

## Impact on Functioning 0-4





	Family Impact	Communication	Physical Medical	Developmental Intellectual	Motor	Sensory
# Improved:	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percent:	100.0%	0.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %




## Edgewood Children's Center Residential MHS

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 8. Mean months between CANS: 4.9; median months: 3.6. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 37.5%.**



Edgewood Children's Center Residential MHS  
Impact on Functioning 5-18



Edgewood Children's Center Residential MHS  
Risk Behaviors 5-18









## Family Mosaic Project


### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).


Number of youth included in this report: 65. Mean months between CANS: 6.0; median months: 6.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 49.2%.**



### Family Mosaic Project Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Family Mosaic Project Risk Behaviors 5-18








## Foster Care Mental Health Program

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 86. Mean months between CANS: 8.5; median months: 9.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 53.2%.**



### Foster Care Mental Health Program Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Foster Care Mental Health Program Risk Behaviors 5-18










## FSA Deaf Community Counseling Services

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 2. Mean months between CANS: 5.3; median months: 5.3. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 50.0%.**



### FSA Deaf Community Counseling Services Impact on Functioning 5-18



### FSA Deaf Community Counseling Services Risk Behaviors 5-18







## FSA Full Circle Family Program


### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 56. Mean months between CANS: 7.4; median months: 8.3. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 43.1%.**






### FSA Full Circle Family Program Impact on Functioning 5-18




### FSA Full Circle Family Program Risk Behaviors 5-18






### FSA SED Mental Health Partnership Impact on Functioning 5-18



### FSA SED Mental Health Partnership Risk Behaviors 5-18








## Homeless Childrens Network

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 46. Mean months between CANS: 5.7; median months: 5.5. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 56.5%.**



### Homeless Childrens Network Impact on Functioning 5-18




### Homeless Childrens Network Risk Behaviors 5-18



### Homeless Childrens Network


#### Needs 0-4



# Improved:	Anxiety	Regulatory Problems	Adjustment to Trauma	Attachment	Depression	Failure to Thrive
Percent:	77.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	-- %

### Homeless Childrens Network


#### Strengths 0-4



# Improved:	Family Strength	Interpersonal Relationship	Curiosity	Playfulness
Percent:	80.0%	80.0%	100.0%	-- %

### Homeless Childrens Network

#### Impact on Functioning 0-4





# Improved:	Family Impact	Communication	Physical Medical	Developmental Intellectual	Motor	Sensory
Percent:	75.0%	100.0%	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %



## Huckleberry Youth Programs

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 40. Mean months between CANS: 7.1; median months: 6.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 67.5%.**












## IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 50. Mean months between CANS: 7.7; median months: 7.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 59.2%.**



### IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services Impact on Functioning 5-18




### IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services Risk Behaviors 5-18




### IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services

#### Needs 0-4




### IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services

#### Strengths 0-4



### IFR CYF Behavioral Health Services

#### Impact on Functioning 0-4








**IFR Semillas (3818C)**

**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 9. Mean months between CANS: 4.0; median months: 5.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 66.7%.**



IFR Semillas (3818C)  
Impact on Functioning 5-18



IFR Semillas (3818C)  
Risk Behaviors 5-18







## Jewish Family & Children's Services - Judah

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 5. Mean months between CANS: 10.2; median months: 11.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 20.0%.**









## Jewish Family & Children's Services - Scott


### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 11. Mean months between CANS: 8.7; median months: 10.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 72.7%.**






Jewish Family & Children's Services - Scott  
Impact on Functioning 5-18



Jewish Family & Children's Services - Scott  
Risk Behaviors 5-18








## McAuley Adolescent Day Treatment Center

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 22. Mean months between CANS: 7.2; median months: 8.6. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 36.4%.**



McAuley Adolescent Day Treatment Center  
Impact on Functioning 5-18



McAuley Adolescent Day Treatment Center  
Risk Behaviors 5-18








**Mission Family Center**

**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 120. Mean months between CANS: 9.7; median months: 9.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 63.8%.**



### Mission Family Center Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Mission Family Center Risk Behaviors 5-18









## MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Center

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 15. Mean months between CANS: 7.6; median months: 8.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 73.3%.**









MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Center

Needs 0-4




MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Center

Strengths 0-4



MSJ Epiphany Family Treatment Center

Impact on Functioning 0-4








Oakes Children's Center

**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 112. Mean months between CANS: 8.9; median months: 10.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 35.2%.**



### Oakes Children's Center Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Oakes Children's Center Risk Behaviors 5-18








## Occupational Therapy Training Program

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 61. Mean months between CANS: 7.6; median months: 6.8. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 47.5%.**



### Occupational Therapy Training Program Impact on Functioning 5-18





### Occupational Therapy Training Program Risk Behaviors 5-18




**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 38. Mean months between CANS: 12.6; median months: 11.8. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 41.7%.**



### OMI Family Center Impact on Functioning 5-18



### OMI Family Center Risk Behaviors 5-18







## RAMS Child, Youth & Family Services

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 199. Mean months between CANS: 6.6; median months: 6.2. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 40.9%.**






RAMS Child, Youth & Family Services  
Impact on Functioning 5-18




RAMS Child, Youth & Family Services  
Risk Behaviors 5-18




RAMS Child, Youth & Family Services

Needs 0-4




RAMS Child, Youth & Family Services

Strengths 0-4



RAMS Child, Youth & Family Services

Impact on Functioning 0-4






**San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center**


**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 14. Mean months between CANS: 10.6; median months: 11.3. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 21.4%.**


San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center  
Needs 5-18




San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center  
Strengths 5-18



San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center  
Impact on Functioning 5-18




San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center  
Risk Behaviors 5-18




### San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center

#### Needs 0-4




### San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center

#### Strengths 0-4



### San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center

#### Impact on Functioning 0-4








## Seneca Connections Placement

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 15. Mean months between CANS: 5.0; median months: 5.7. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 33.3%.**



### Seneca Connections Placement Impact on Functioning 5-18




### Seneca Connections Placement Risk Behaviors 5-18




### Seneca Connections Placement

#### Needs 0-4




### Seneca Connections Placement

#### Strengths 0-4



### Seneca Connections Placement

#### Impact on Functioning 0-4










## Seneca Connections WRAP

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 202. Mean months between CANS: 4.2; median months: 4.1. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 46.5%.**






### Seneca Connections WRAP Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Seneca Connections WRAP Risk Behaviors 5-18









Seneca MST

**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 7. Mean months between CANS: 4.3; median months: 3.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 28.6%.**









## SF Boys & Girls Home - Satellite

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 3. Mean months between CANS: 11.5; median months: 10.9. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 66.7%.**









## Southeast Child and Family Therapy


### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 92. Mean months between CANS: 10.5; median months: 11.2. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 44.4%.**






Southeast Child and Family Therapy  
Impact on Functioning 5-18



Southeast Child and Family Therapy  
Risk Behaviors 5-18









## Southeast Child and Family Therapy Center 2


### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).


Number of youth included in this report: 122. Mean months between CANS: 11.2; median months: 11.7. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 40.8%.**



Southeast Child and Family Therapy Center 2  
Impact on Functioning 5-18



Southeast Child and Family Therapy Center 2  
Risk Behaviors 5-18






## Sunset Mental Health Services CYF


### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 56. Mean months between CANS: 7.9; median months: 7.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 48.2%.**


Sunset Mental Health Services CYF  
Needs 5-18




Sunset Mental Health Services CYF  
Strengths 5-18



Sunset Mental Health Services CYF  
Impact on Functioning 5-18



Sunset Mental Health Services CYF  
Risk Behaviors 5-18








## UCSF Child and Adolescent Services- CAS

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 109. Mean months between CANS: 5.9; median months: 5.7. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 56.2%.**



UCSF Child and Adolescent Services- CAS  
Impact on Functioning 5-18




UCSF Child and Adolescent Services- CAS  
Risk Behaviors 5-18






### UCSF Child and Adolescent Services- CAS

#### Needs 0-4




### UCSF Child and Adolescent Services- CAS

#### Strengths 0-4



### UCSF Child and Adolescent Services- CAS

#### Impact on Functioning 0-4







## UCSF Infant Parent Program

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 26. Mean months between CANS: 5.0; median months: 4.5. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 65.4%.**






### UCSF Infant Parent Program

#### Needs 0-4




### UCSF Infant Parent Program

#### Strengths 0-4



### UCSF Infant Parent Program

#### Impact on Functioning 0-4








Westcoast Children's Clinic

**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 25. Mean months between CANS: 4.6; median months: 5.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 32.0%.**



### Westcoast Children's Clinic Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Westcoast Children's Clinic Risk Behaviors 5-18








**Westside Ajani**

**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 76. Mean months between CANS: 6.5; median months: 6.0. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 67.1%.**



### Westside Ajani Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Westside Ajani Risk Behaviors 5-18









## YMCA Trauma and Recovery Services

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3).

Number of youth included in this report: 4. Mean months between CANS: 4.3; median months: 4.6. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 75.0%.**










**YMCA Urban Services MH**

**FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016**


This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 115. Mean months between CANS: 5.5; median months: 5.5. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 66.1%.**



YMCA Urban Services MH  
Impact on Functioning 5-18



YMCA Urban Services MH  
Risk Behaviors 5-18








## Youth Guidance Center

### FY15-16 Performance Objective A.2 Item-Level Report 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016

This report looks at the two most recent CANS to see how many clients improved on items that were rated as actionable (2 or 3). Number of youth included in this report: 16. Mean months between CANS: 7.0; median months: 7.4. **Percent of youth who improved on 50% or more of their items: 81.2%.**



### Youth Guidance Center Impact on Functioning 5-18



### Youth Guidance Center Risk Behaviors 5-18

