Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee
Community Input Subcommittee

MINUTES
July 13, 2021 - 4:00PM
Join Online Via Zoom
https://sfdph.zoom.us/j/99717604096?pwd=bVJxMWpTVFcvRzJWRmx4dHVpclVyUT09
Meeting ID: 997 1760 4096; Password: 565467
Join by Phone: +1(669) 900-6833; Meeting ID: 997-1760-4096#

* Please note that public comment will be held after every agenda item. If the public would like to comment on a topic that is not on the agenda, they may do so during general public comment at the start of the meeting. Please see the Notes section of this document for additional information about public comment.

Order of Business:

1. **Call to Order / Roll Call** [discussion and action]
   Meeting called to order at 4:17pm.
   Present: Maysha Bell; Marna Armstead; Kiana Sezawar Keshavarz, Veronica Shepard

2. **Approval of June Meeting Minutes** [discussion and action]
   Veronica motions to approve minutes. Marna seconds. Minutes are approved, unanimous.

3. **Review and Consideration of Agenda** [discussion and action]
   Marna motions to approve agenda. Veronica seconds. Agenda passes, unanimous.

4. **General Public Comment** - No Public Comment

5. **Community Check In** [discussion and possible action]
   Maysha: All families in SF will be eligible to get a $500 stipend for those participating in a summer together program. Might be great to support families to utilize those stipends for healthy options. Member requests a flyer for the program. Maysha will look for that flyer.

6. **House Keeping** [discussion and possible action]
   Staff shares that the proposed legislation amendments were sent to City Attorney. An attorney was assigned and will be reviewing.

7. **SDDTAC Legislation Amendments Community Input Plan** [discussion and possible action]
   During May meeting the subcommittee discussed developing an outreach plan to community to share proposed SDDTAC legislative changes and get feedback from constituencies, especially
those impacted by the proposed changes, including those who have been traditionally involved. Members review proposed legislation changes to identify who should be communicated to and seeking feedback.

Member asked if there is a timeline associated with outreach. Member suggests to reach out to SDDT funded organizations, noting that changes are underway and looking for feedback on verbiage of proposed legislation changes.

Member clarifies that outreach will be created to invite feedback on changes to legislation. Staff adds that city attorney will review proposed changes and to identify if verbiage is approved. Member suggests to table this item since there hasn’t been approval as of yet from city attorney. If there are seats to be secured, are there any listing of agencies/organizations that meet those requirements that represent those communities for those proposed seats and do we do the outreach for vacant seats and what would be the timeline to do this? Member recommends that with the list of vacancies to gather a list of agencies/organizations that match those proposed vacant seats.

Member suggests developing list of groups to outreach to with a recommended timeline based on turnaround time with city attorney reviews, etc. Member adds to start DPH-CHEP list, SDDT funded organizations as first pass and to send to SDDTAC for review. Staff will send out list of SDDT grant recipients, along with proposed seats in legislation changes. Staff notes that timeline there is year and half for seats to be reupped and in October is when SDDTAC and Board of Supervisors begin to get busy.

Member adds that the purpose of the outreach is to engage the community to fill seats, but prior to that is to engage the community on the updated proposed legislation language to identify if the community has additional considerations they would like to make.

Members agree to keep this on the agenda for next meeting and to see how it relates to impacted community and outreach. Member adds that Youth Commission and SFUSD Student Advisory Council should be included to list.

8. **Accountability and Transparency of Mayor’s SDDT Budget** [discussion and possible action]

Member shares with subcommittee that SDDTAC would like to ensure that members and community members are able to engage in an informed way. Before decisions are made, what are areas we want to advocate for? Infrastructure subcommittee will be developing a 101 budget refresher for committee on how to engage/educate/advocate. Community Input can make recommendations to Infrastructure on that refresher and how else can we impact this and engage in process effectively and not have any missed opportunities.

Member shared that staff met with co-chairs, there has been some discussion that the Mayor’s budget has fulfilled some of our requests through different channels. It was requested that the Mayor’s Office clarify as to where that funding is coming from, and in hopes of a flow chart as well as it is our impression that there is no additional funds. This would help us communicate to the community and keep the Mayor’s Office accountable.

Member adds that the request to the Mayor’s Office should include documentation to show SDDTAC where the funding source comes from, how much, which populations it serves and is it aligned with what is being shared with us, as it is public information. Staff shared the final
allocations from the Mayor’s Office budget, however it does not show in Mayor’s Office SDDT budget version. The source will be shared at next week’s SDDTAC meeting.

Member shared that the Dream Keeper Initiative that the way process works, is that Director Davis identifies areas that are already funded so not to duplicate funding. For the sake of the SDDT budget, once we submit budget recommendations, we wait to see what Mayor’s Office determines. So the question is, in the pre-stages, are any of these categories we’re recommending being funded elsewhere? For SDDTAC, moving to next iteration, is what we’re recommending being funded elsewhere? If so, we don’t have to list it and we are able to communicate that information to the community.

Member questioned, how can the SDDTAC become aware of this before it happens. At what point would SFUSD have been made aware (would like for this to be included in the 101 budget refresher), so that community can start advocating and asking questions, especially if the funding is being reallocated and/or reinstated via a different funding source. By the time the budget recommendations are released, members would already be informed. Member responds that since some departments are outside of the city system, it is internal to departments. The questions to be in the pre stage is, are any of these recommendations, have they already or potentially been funded outside of SDDT and for how long. If so, we will not need to list as part of the SDDTAC budget recommendations, similarly to the Dream Keeper’s Initiative process.

Member suggests going through budget recommendation list and to identify if and where categories are funded elsewhere. It would be good to hold the city accountable and know where departments are being funded from. At the beginning of SDDTAC, committee did the work that the SDDT funding was new funding as there was little to no funding towards chronic disease prevention work. Member asked if it is at all possible to get the city to do that work to identify other sources of funding. Staff responded it depends on the degree of the work and how much detail. Generally for SDDT funds, the department is hyper aware especially since the Mayor does provide a specific budget chart for SDDT. Staff can provide some support as to what categories are funded outside of SDDT.

Member adds that the city has the responsibility to ensure that funding is targeted and efficient. City keeps us in dark until they want us to know.

9. Review of Community Engagement Opportunity Tracker [discussion and possible action]

Chair shared that the SDDTAC has an engagement opportunity tracker to be used to hold members accountable to points of contact and to identify what is happening throughout the year. There is an opportunity to look at tracker to ensure it is fleshed out and aligning with our planning and outcomes for each year.

Staff shared that the idea was about accountability and for each seat it notes direction as to which way information should go, one way, bi-directional, etc and clarifying why the information would matter to the constituent group. It went through a full year and provides sample of seat 1. Idea was to report back to the full committee to get feedback. There was request for some to do more previously. SDDTAC started working on this and then got busy and not an active tool right now and has potential to be a helpful tool.

Member asked if there is an expectation to pick back up this tool.
Member notes that she understands the purpose of this tool and not sure what the expectation of completing it, especially with new members. Not sure if it’s helpful.

Member adds that it looks like a good idea but sounds similar to what we are already doing in subcommittees. Member agrees that some of this is being covered in subcommittee meetings and that getting equal input might be a challenge. Staff adds that all seats should complete and became apparent for department representatives that communication would go back to their director. In addition, there was some haggle that infrastructure subcommittee should not have commented on what each seat member’s responsibilities were.

Member suggests that that tool seems not to have been successful and subcommittee might want to explore other ways to reporting. With constituency, it can mean speaking from my seat, lived experience, and may not be connecting with all Black/African American organizations to what is happening that should be communicated out. Staff shared that previously the tool was developed by the previous SDDTAC cohort to ensure there was ongoing connection to the community with bi-directional communication.

Members reviewed the tracker and will consider what the expectations are with frequency to share and receive information from the community and reporting methods. Member adds to consider sending out a newsletter sent out to SDDT grantees and SFDPH from community input or SDDTAC.

10. General Public Comment - No Public Comment

11. Adjournment

Agenda items for next meeting:

- Community input plan for legislation amendments – review list of grantees to spark outreach
- General community input – what and how do we want our communities to know. Like a google form as part of a regular newsletter

Marna motions to adjourn meeting. Kiana seconds. Meeting is adjourned, unanimous.

NOTES:
PUBLIC COMMENT
General Public Comment: At this time, members of the public may address the SDDTAC Advisory Committee on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee but do not appear on the agenda.

With respect to agenda items, the public will be given an opportunity to address the Committee when the item is reached in the meeting. Each member of the public may address the Committee for up to three minutes.

The Brown Act forbids a Committee from taking action or discussing any item not appearing on the posted agenda, including those items raised at Public Comment. In response to public comment on an item that is not on the agenda, the Committee is limited to:

- Briefly responding to statements made or questions posed by members of the public, or
- Requesting staff to report back on a matter at a subsequent meeting, or
Directing staff to place the item on a future agenda. (Government Code Section 54954.2(a).) 10.

Each action item will hold public comment before a vote is made. Explanatory documents are available at the 25 Van Ness Ave, Suite 500 during regular business hours. If any materials related to an item on this agenda have been distributed to the SDDTAC after distribution of the agenda packet, those materials are available for public inspection at the address above during normal business hours.

RINGING AND THE USE OF CELLPHONES
The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE
Government’s duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people’s business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people’s review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapters 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, please contact: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator City Hall – Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683, 415-554-7724 (Office); 415-554-7854 (Fax), E-mail: SOTF@sfgov.org

Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library and on the City’s website at www.sfgov.org. Copies of explanatory documents are available to the public online at http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine or, upon request to the Commission Secretary, at the above address or phone number.

LANGUAGE ACCESS
Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Family Violence Council/Mayor’s Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact the Minouche Kandel 415-252-3203, or minouche.kandel@sfgov.org at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting. Late requests will be honored if possible.

DISABILITY ACCESS
Family Violence Council meetings are held in room 617 at 400 McAllister Street in San Francisco. This building is accessible to persons using wheelchairs and other assistive mobility devices. Mayor’s Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking meetings are held in Room 305 at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place in San Francisco. City Hall is accessible to persons using wheelchairs and other assistive mobility devices. Ramps are available at the Grove, Van Ness and McAllister entrances. Assistive listening devices, American Sign Language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations are available upon request. Please make your requests for accommodations to Minouche Kandel (415) 252-3203, or minouche.kandel@sfgov.org. Requesting accommodations at least 72 hours prior to the meeting will help to ensure availability.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE
Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, (415) 252-3100, FAX (415) 252-3112, website: sfgov.org/ethics.

如對會議有任何疑問，請致電[415-252-3203]查詢。當會議進行時，嚴禁使用手機及任何發聲電子裝置。會議主席可以命令任何使用手機或其他發出聲音裝置的人等離開會議場所。
了解你在陽光政策下的權益

政府的職責是為公眾服務，並在具透明度的情況下作出決策。市及縣政府的委員會，市參事會，議會和其他機構的存在是為處理民衆的事務。本政策保證一切政務討論都在民衆面前進行，而市政府的運作也公開讓民衆審查。如果你需要知道你在陽光政策(San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 67)下擁有的權利，或是需要舉報違反本條例的情況，請聯絡：

陽光政策專責小組行政官
地址: City Hall – Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683
電話號碼: 415-554-7724; 傳真號碼: 415-554-5163
電子郵箱: SOTF@sfgov.org

陽光政策的文件可以通過陽光政策專責小組秘書、三藩市公共圖書館、以及市政府網頁www.sfgov.org等途徑索取。民衆也可以到網頁http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine閱讀有關的解釋文件，或根據以上提供的地址和電話向委員會秘書索取。

語言服務
根據語言服務條例(三藩市行政法典第91章)，中文、西班牙語和/或菲律賓語（塔加洛語）傳譯人員在收到要求後將會提供傳譯服務。翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後透過要求而提供。其他語言協助在可能的情況下也將可提供。上述的要求，請於會議前最少48小時致電415-252-3203或電郵至minouche.kandel@sfgov.org向委員會秘書Minouche Kandel提出。逾期提出的請求，若可能的話，亦會被考慮接納。

殘障通路
家庭暴力委員會(Family Violence Council)會議將在三藩市McAllister街400號617室舉行。此建築物可供使用輪椅及其它助行裝置的人士無障礙通行。

市長反人口販賣專責小組(Mayor’s Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking)會議將在三藩市政廳305室舉行。市政廳可供使用輪椅及其它助行裝置的人士無障礙通行。可供通行的斜道設在Grove、Van Ness及McAllister的入口。

輔助聽力儀器、美國手語傳譯員、閱讀器、放大字體的議程或其它便利設施將根據請求而提供。請致電(415)252-3203或電郵至minouche.kandel@sfgov.org向Minute Kandel提出便利設施的請求。要求提供予便利設施，請於會議前最少72小時提出，以協助確保便利設施可供使用。

遊說者法令

Para preguntas acerca de la reunión, por favor contactar el 415-252-3203. El timbrado de y el uso de teléfonos celulares, localizadores de personas, y artículos electrónicos que producen sonidos similares, están prohibidos en esta reunión. Por favor tome en cuenta que el Presidente podría ordenar el retiro de la sala de la reunión a cualquier persona(s) responsable del timbrado o el uso de un teléfono celular, localizador de personas, u otros artículos electrónicos que producen sonidos similares.

CONOZCA SUS DERECHOS BAJO LA ORDENANZA SUNSHINE

El deber del Gobierno es servir al público, alcanzando sus decisiones a completa vista del público. Comisiones, juntas, concilios, y otras agencias de la Ciudad y Condado, existen para conducir negocios de la gente. Esta ordenanza asegura que las deliberaciones se lleven a cabo ante la gente y que las operaciones de la ciudad estén abiertas para revisión de la gente. Para obtener información sobre sus derechos bajo la Ordenanza Sunshine (capítulo 67 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco) o para reportar una violación de la ordenanza, por favor póngase en contacto con:

Administrador del Grupo de Trabajo de la Ordenanza Sunshine (Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator)
City Hall – Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683
415-554-7724 (Oficina); 415-554-5163 (Fax); Correo electrónico: SOTF@sfgov.org

**ACCESO A IDIOMAS**

De acuerdo con la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas “Language Access Ordinance” (Capítulo 91 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco “Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code”) intérpretes de chino, español y/o filipino (tagalo) estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. Las minutas podrán ser traducidas, de ser requeridas, luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. La asistencia en idiomas adicionales se tomará en cuenta siempre que sea posible. Para solicitar asistencia con estos servicios favor comunicarse con Minouche Kandel al 415-252-3203, o minouche.kandel@sfgov.org por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible.

**ACCESO DE DISCAPACITADOS**

Las reuniones del Concilio sobre la Violencia de Familia (Family Violence Council) se llevan a cabo en la Sala 617 de la 400 McAllister Street en San Francisco. Este edificio es accesible para personas que utilizan sillas de ruedas y otros aparatos de movilidad asistida.

Las reuniones del Grupo de Trabajo del Alcalde en Contra del Tráfico Humano (Mayor’s Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking) se llevan a cabo en la Sala 305 de la Alcaldía, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place en San Francisco. La alcaldía es accesible para personas que utilizan sillas de ruedas y otros aparatos de movilidad asistida. Las rampas para sillas de ruedas se encuentran disponibles en las entradas de las calles Grove, Van Ness y McAllister.

Aparatos de asistencia auditiva, intérpretes de Lenguaje de Señas Americano, lectores, agendas con letra grande y otras acomodaciones están disponibles de ser requeridas. Por favor presente sus solicitudes de acomodo ante Minouche Kandel (415) 252-3203, o minouche.kandel@sfgov.org. Solicitar acomodaciones por lo menos 72 horas antes de la reunión ayudará a garantizar la disponibilidad de las mismas.

**ORDENANZA DE CABILDEO**

Individuos y entidades que influencian o intentan influenciar legislación local o acciones administrativas podrían ser requeridos por la Ordenanza de Cabildeo de San Francisco (SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code 2.100) a registrarse y a reportar actividades de cabildeo. Para más información acerca de la Ordenanza de Cabildeo, por favor contactar la Comisión de Ética: 25 de la avenida Van Ness, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, 415-252-3100, FAX 415-252-3112, sitio web: sfgov.org/ethics.

Kung mayroon kayong mga tanong tungkol sa miting, mangyaring tumawag lang sa 415-252-3203. Ang pagtunog at paggamit ng mga cell phone, mga pager at kagamitan ay ipinagbabawal sa pulong. Paalala po na maaring palabasin ng Tagapangulo ang sinumang may-ari o responsable sa ingay o pang gamit na lumilikha ng tunog o ingay.

**ALAMIN ANG INYONG MGA KARAPATAN SA ILALIM NG SUNSHINE ORDINANCE**

Tungkulin ng Pamahalaan na paglinkuran ang publiko, maabot ito sa patas at medaling maunawaan na paraan. Ang mga komisyón, board, kapulungan at iba pang mga ahensya ng Lungsod at County ay mananatili upang maampanya ang malewanan. Tinitiyak ng ordinansa na ang desisyon o pagpipilian ay ipinagbabawal sa pulong. Para sa impormasyon kahilingan sa Commission Secretary, sa address sa itaas o sa numero ng telefeno.

PAG-ACCESS SA WIKA

ACCESS PARA SA MAY KAPANSANAN
Ang Konseho tungkol sa Karahasan sa Pamilya (Family Violence Council) ay ginaganap sa room 617 sa 400 McAllister Street sa San Francisco. Ang building ay maaaring ma-access ng mga taong gumagamit ng wheelchair at iba pang gamit na tumutulong sa pagkilos.
Ang mga pagpupulong ng Task-Force ng Mayor sa Anti-Trafficking ay ginaganap sa Room 305 sa City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place sa San Francisco. Ang City Hall ay accessible para sa mga taong gumagamit ng wheelchair at iba pang mga gamit na tumutulong sa pagkilos. Mayroong mga rampa sa mga pasukan sa Grove, Van Ness at McAllister.
Ang mga kagamitan sa pagtulong sa pandinig, mga interpreter ng American Sign Language, mga tagapagbasa, mga adyenda na malalaki ang print, at iba pang pangangailangan ay maaaring hilingin. Para humingi ng tulong, tawagan si Minouche Kandel (415) 252-3203, o mag email sa minouche.kandel@sfgov.org. Kailangan mag-request ng mga pangangailangan sa hindi bababa sa 72 oras bago ng pagpupulong upang matiyak kung maaaring ipaglingkod ang inyong kahilingan.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE
### Comparison between SDDTAC Recommendations and Mayor’s Allocations FY20-21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>SDDTAC Rx Amount</th>
<th>SDDTAC Rx %</th>
<th>SDDTAC Rx Agency</th>
<th>Mayor’s Budget</th>
<th>% of Mayor’s Budget</th>
<th>Mayor’s Proposed Agency</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community-Based Grants</td>
<td>$4,330,000</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>DPH/ CHEP</td>
<td>$1,695,212</td>
<td>26.76%</td>
<td>DPH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Food, Nutrition &amp; Ed</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>14.42%</td>
<td>SFUSD</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Led Action</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>SFUSD</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Access</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>DPH</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>DPH</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Retail SF</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
<td>DPH – Task Force</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>4.02%</td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Health</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>9.62%</td>
<td>Sealant Program</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>3.13%</td>
<td>DPH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$1,240,000</td>
<td>11.92%</td>
<td>Edu &amp; case mgt</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>1.79%</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Access - SFUSD</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td>SFUSD</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Access - Public Spaces</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
<td>PUC/ DPH</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>2.68%</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF Rec &amp; Parks</td>
<td>$520,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>$2,895,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPE SF Peer Enhancements</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>3.85%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>DPH</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$10,400,000</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,190,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description of intended purpose from Mayor's Budget

- **Community-Based Grants**: Funding to issue grants to CBOs for programs and services in the following areas (1) Health Education, (2) Physical Activity, (3) Food Access, and (4) a Media/Awareness Campaign.
- **School Food, Nutrition Education, student-led action**: Funding to (1) improve the quality of school meals, (2) support nutrition education, and (3) support student-led efforts to decrease consumption of SSBs and increase awareness around students.
- **Food Access**: Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement
- **Healthy Retail**: Will provide Healthy Store makeovers to 2 additional stores and continue ongoing providing support to 10 stores.
- **Oral Health school-based**: Funding to support oral health in schools, including the cost of sealants.
- **Oral Health: Funding for Community Task Forces**
- **Infrastructure**: To fund a contract through DPH for facilitation and strategic support for the SDDTAC. Will also support research needs, including purchasing of data, and costs at DPH related to the administration of CBO grant program.
- **Water Access**: One-time for the installation of water refilling stations in schools. Also can be used to purchase refillable water bottles for students.
- **SFRPD**: Funding to Rec Park to provide continued support of the Peace Parks program.
- **HOPE SF Peer Enhancements**: Fund training and peer wage increases.
### COMMUNITY-BASED GRANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY21-22</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>FY22-23</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health education, food security, physical activity</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>36.84%</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>32.11%</td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBOs working with SFUSD</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>2.75%</td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COMMUNITY BASED GRANTS</td>
<td>$3,800,000</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>$3,800,000</td>
<td>34.86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SFUSD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY21-22</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>FY22-23</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School Food, Nutrition Ed</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>10.53%</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>9.17%</td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Led Action</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>5.26%</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>4.59%</td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Investments</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>1.38%</td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SFUSD</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>15.79%</td>
<td>$1,650,000</td>
<td>15.14%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FOOD ACCESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY21-22</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>FY22-23</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>12.63%</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>13.76%</td>
<td>DPH/PHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Retail</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>1.58%</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>1.38%</td>
<td>OEWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FOOD ACCESS</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
<td>14.21%</td>
<td>$1,650,000</td>
<td>15.14%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ORAL HEALTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY21-22</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>FY22-23</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community task forces</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>4.74%</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>4.13%</td>
<td>DPH/MCAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-based sealant application</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>3.68%</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>3.21%</td>
<td>DPH/SF Health Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-based education and case management</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>2.11%</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ORAL HEALTH</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>10.53%</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>9.17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INFRASTRUCTURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY21-22</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>FY22-23</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPH Infrastructure</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>8.42%</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>4.13%</td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INFRASTRUCTURE</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>8.42%</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>4.13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WATER ACCESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY21-22</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>FY22-23</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Access - SFUSD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>3.67%</td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Access - Public Spaces</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>PUC via RPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL WATER ACCESS</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>3.67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SF RECREATION & PARKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY21-22</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>FY22-23</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peace Parks</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td>5.96%</td>
<td>RPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVIP Funding – Peace Parks Transportation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>2.06%</td>
<td>RPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQUITY: Outreach, Scholarships, equity in recreation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
<td>8.26%</td>
<td>RPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SF RECREATION &amp; PARKS</td>
<td>$780,000</td>
<td>8.21%</td>
<td>$1,775,000</td>
<td>16.28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BREASTFEEDING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY21-22</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>FY22-23</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPH/MCAH</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>1.61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Proposed</td>
<td>$9,500,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$10,900,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Budget Descriptions:** SDDTAC recommends investing in strategies that support mental health and wellbeing and workforce pathways for impacted/priority populations.

| COMMUNITY-BASED GRANTS | City Departments should contract directly with CBOs through an RFP process managed through the Community Health Equity and Promotion (CHEP) Branch of the Department of Public Health. CBG should support community-based programs and services that address the health inequities of those most targeted by the beverage industry. Funding should go to Community Based Organizations (CBOs) and Faith Based Organizations (FBOs) for the following strategies:
| **Health education, food security, physical activity** | 1. Health Education activities including, chronic disease prevention, healthy eating and active living, tap water promotion, oral/dental health
2. Physical Activity opportunities, including: a) Dance and movement, sports, yoga, walking groups, biking, etc.; b) Efforts to influence changes to the built environment (ie sidewalks, streets, parks, buildings, etc) or safety of the built environment that facilitates increased physical activity and walking and biking for utilitarian trips, sometimes referred to as active transportation; and c) pursuit of institutional or local policies that facilitate physical activity and active transportation (such as adequate PE time and instructors, commuter benefits for active transportation, etc)
3. Healthy Eating/Food Security*, including: a) Community-based pantries, community-based hot meals, community kitchens and community home delivery services; b) Increased financial resources (i.e. wages, income, government nutrition supplements, vouchers, etc.); c) Changes to the built environment that facilitate food security; and d) Pursuit of institutional or local policies that facilitate food security.
4. Water Promotion, such as support for Spa Water Supplies, station maintenance/beautification, refillable water bottles to distribute to communities, water testing
5. Community Based Participatory Research

| CBOs working with SFUSD | 7% of all CBO funding (eg 7% of approximately $4.3 million) should go towards CBOs implementing programs/initiatives that take place in school settings. Funding to issue grants to CBOS should follow the guidelines above.

| SFUSD | To improve the quality and appeal of school meals and support nutrition education to increase participation in school meal programs (for example: cooking and serving equipment, staff professional development, and innovative procurement and menu strategies to increase freshly prepared food). Funding will target schools with the largest populations of high-risk students that are disproportionately targeted by the sugary drinks industry.

| Student Led Action | Support student led efforts to decrease consumption of sugary drinks and increase awareness of sugary drinks consumption among students, with focus on schools with the largest populations of high-risk students that are disproportionately targeted by the sugary drinks industry. SFUSD should provide to SDDTAC a proposal of how funding will be spent through student led action.

| Educational Investments | Educational investments that support and strive for professional development in health and wellness across lifespan. Scholarships and other supports in higher education in medical technology and health field careers for Priority Populations and including para professionals.

| FOOD ACCESS | Support programs that increase financial resources to purchase healthy food such as vouchers and food purchasing incentives. This investment is meant to support both the communities most impacted by the health consequences of sugary beverage consumption and to support the local economy including local merchants. These funds should be RFPed out to CBOs and FBOs according to the Community Based Grants guidelines.

| Healthy Retail | Supporting small business to increase healthy food access in high risk and impacted communities and neighborhoods by: 1) supporting business operations; 2) promoting community engagement; and 3) improving the retail environment.

| ORAL HEALTH | Support development of community infrastructure such as oral health community task forces that incorporate diverse stakeholders for outreach, education, and interventions to address the oral health needs of children in high risk populations.

| Community task forces | Support school-based and school-linked preventive oral health programs within SFUSD schools serving high risk target populations. This should also support SFUSD dedicated oral health staffing.

| School-based sealant application | SFUSD School Food, Nutrition Ed

## INFRASTRUCTURE

### A. Personnel

1. **Backbone staffing to support SDDTAC**
   - A program manager to provide backbone staffing to the SDDTAC, including:
     1. Staffing full committee and 3 subcommittees in compliance with Sunshine and Brown Acts;
     2. Coordinating among city agencies and funded CBOs to promote collective impact;
     3. Help guide vision and strategy of SDDTAC, support aligned activities; manage SDDTAC work and timeline; and
     4. Working with evaluation team to establish shared measurement practices.
   - As necessary, manage citywide/soda tax impact media.
   - Develop/Compile and Manage completion of SDDTAC Annual Report.
   - Manage SDDTAC biennial nominations process.

2. **Staffing to support DPH SDDT implementation of community based grants**
   - Manage work of contractors, including:
     1. Develop and implement CBO RFP process;
     2. Provide technical assistance for CBOs and merchants;
     3. Promote collective impact in coordination with SDDTAC backbone staff and City Agencies;
     4. Work with evaluator and SDDTAC backbone staff to develop and implement evaluation plan and evaluation technical assistance.

3. **Staffing to support research and evaluation of SDDT impact, including data purchases as necessary**
   - At least 1.0 FTE epidemiologist;
   - Support data analysis for annual report;
   - Manage data purchases;
   - Participate in development and implementation of SDDT evaluation.

### B. Professional services

- ** Including:**
  - Technical assistance for funded CBO and FBO;
  - Evaluation to implement evaluation framework and evaluate funded city agencies, CBO and FBO, and process evaluations from applicants, and provide evaluation technical assistance;
  - City attorney to provide ongoing technical consultation.

### C. Materials/Supplies

- For meetings and printing costs.

### D. Training

- To support staff development.

### E. Data

- For collection (pricing), analysis (Nielsen) and purchase (IRI).

---

## Evaluation

- Additional funds for evaluation may:
  - Support community-based participatory research (e.g., street intercept, merchant interview, focus groups).
  - Develop a system to collect data.
  - Expand technical assistance.
  - Conduct more qualitative evaluation that can help develop stories that describe impact of tax.

---

## WATER ACCESS

### Water Access - SFUSD

- To install hydration stations at low income schools serving students with health disparities (e.g., Bayview, Chinatown, Mission), to elevate the schools to the Silver or Gold standard for hydration stations (i.e., one on each floor, centrally located, and conduct water education). Funds may support purchase of Spa Water Supplies, station maintenance and beautification, refillable water bottles to distribute to students, water testing.

### Water Access - Public Spaces

- To install or upgrade existing hydration station(s) in public spaces that target high-risk populations that are disproportionately targeted by the sugary drink industry (community identified public spaces). This funding should support high-quality, visually appealing, stations that can serve as a highlighted example of the potential for hydration stations. This can include beautifying and optimizing current station(s) or creating new one(s).

---

## SF RECREATION & PARKS

### Peace Parks

- Peace Parks programming to serve priority populations.

### SVIP Funding: Peace Parks Transportation

- Transportation for Peace Park participants.

### REQUITY: Outreach, Scholarships, recreation equity

- Scholarships and programming for priority populations.

### BREASTFEEDING

- To fund a breastfeeding coalition to organize collective efforts across San Francisco to enable increased breastfeeding among Priority Populations. This coalition will mobilize action on policy, systems, and environmental (PSE) changes to increase breastfeeding rates and duration, leveraging community strengths and tackling structural barriers to reduce inequities to breastfeeding support. This would include funding for backbone support to: to engage community stakeholders in a strategic planning and engagement process to
* Funding should support programs and services that increase financial resources to purchase healthy food; access to healthy fruits and vegetables while minimizing processed foods for high-risk communities; foods that are affordable and convenient; and programs that support the consumption of healthy foods including the ability to prepare and store meals and the knowledge of basic nutrition, food safety and cooking. Priority programs should incorporate a community-based food security perspective and have demonstrated increased ability of food insecure residents to purchase, access, and consume consumption of healthy, fresh, low-to-no cost and culturally appropriate foods, including but not limited to food vouchers/incentives, transportation and delivery and prepared foods.

**ONGOING ADDBACKS FROM FY 2017-2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food Security – Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement</td>
<td>DPH</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels: Vouchers and education to increase consumption and access to nutritious foods by increasing the ability of low income residents to purchase fruits and vegetables at neighborhood vendors and farmers’ markets in collaboration with DPH Healthy Retail Program.</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Corner Store Retail</td>
<td>ECN</td>
<td>Promoting corner stores and markets to sell healthy products as opposed to sugary beverages, etc.</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Security – Home Delivered Meals (HDM)</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Address current waitlist: Delivery of nutritious meals, a daily safety check/friendly interaction to homebound seniors/adults with disabilities who cannot shop or prepare meals themselves. Many providers offer home assessments/nutrition education/counseling.</td>
<td>477,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Security – Congregate Lunch Meals</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Address current waitlist: Daily, hot, nutritious meals for seniors/adults with disabilities</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Fitness</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Senior fitness programming at IT Bookman and George Davis</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congregate Meal Program A</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Congregate Meal Program A</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congregate Meal Program B</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Congregate Meal Program B</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,157,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Board of Supervisors made a series of addbacks in the FY 17-18 budget. When the Board of Supervisors makes changes to the Mayor’s budget, some of these changes are “addbacks” denoting the Board’s decision to add funds back for a particular service. Addbacks become part of an agency’s baseline budget.*