Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee

DRAFT NOTES
October 21, 2020 - 5:00 pm
Join Online Via Webex
https://sfdph.webex.com/sfdph/j.php?MTID=mcfe6cd2c93ad5fbd114e187226be2b09
Meeting Number: 133 332 2472 Meeting Password: pAMq42tEPE5
Join by Phone: 1 (415) 655-0003 Access Code: 133 333 2472

* Please note that public comment will be held after every agenda item. If a member of the public would like to comment on a topic that is not on the agenda, they may do so during general public comment at the start of the meeting. Please see the Notes section of this document for additional information about public comment.

** Times provided for agenda items are estimates

Order of Business:

1. Call to Order / Roll Call – 5 minutes Meeting called to order at: 5:03pm
   Present: Saeeda Hafiz, Michelle Kim, Jonathan Butler, Jennifer LeBarre, Veronica Shepard, John Maa, Roberto Vargas, Irene Hilton, Derik Aoki, Janna Cordeiro, Linda Barnard, Joi Jackson Morgan
   Public: Blythe Young, Wendy, Tonya Williams, Mylene Deano, Katie Ettman, Antonio Caceres, Monica Ferrey
   Absent: Larry McClendon, Rita Nguyen, Vanessa Bohm
   a. Approval of Excused Absences [Action] None

   Jonathan welcomes Jennifer LeBarre and Veronica Shepard to the SDDTAC. Jennifer announces she works with the Student Nutrition Services at SFUSD and is happy to join the committee. Veronica thanks the committee and public for the opportunity to serve on the committee and is excited to be doing this work.

2. Check In – COVID 19 Responses [Discussion and Possible Action] – 20 minutes

   Veronica shares that she has been working with the SF Black African American Faith Based Coalition and they have partnered with the Latinx and Pacific Islander community at mass testing sites. They are still creating new partnerships and excited about the work.

   Roberto is working with Jonathan and other partners for COVID relief. In addition, he is working with the UCSF and UCLA partnership to engage communities of color on what beliefs exist about vaccines and who they would like to be the messengers for that information in order to make informed decisions to get vaccine or not. Currently contemplating study design and if interested contact Roberto.
Michelle shares that DCYF is launching phase 2 of the community learning hubs, middle and high schools will soon begin onboarding for online distance support.

Jennifer shares that SFUSD is expanding its food service to the public. The USDA extended waiver for 2021 and SFUSD is working on plan for the holidays.


Janna shared the collaboration with SFUSD culinary program at Ida B. Wells where there are kits and meals being provided as teaching meals. Funding ends in December for Wholesale Produce Market, with a lot of people wondering what will happen thereafter.

Derik shares that First 5 continues to work with Family Resource Centers, providing weekly grocery bag distribution, 2,000/week. They have been able to provide a new level of service by providing gift cards. They are identifying the impact of communities being impacted by COVID-19 due to income lose and seeing the striking impact on the Latinx community.

Jonathan is appreciative of everyone’s hard work during these times. He understands that it has taken a toll on many and being on this call is a sacrifice, however the work in not in vain and is meaningful to the community. He encourages all to take care of oneself, exercise and eat healthy.

3. Approval of Minutes for Previous (September) Meeting [Action] – 3 minutes

Michelle motions to approve minutes. Irene seconds. Minutes are approved.

4. Review and Consideration of Regular Agenda [Action] – 2 minutes

Saeeda motions to approve agenda. Veronica seconds. Agenda is approved.

5. General Public Comment – 10 minutes

Wendy aka W: 1st time participating in SDDTAC meetings. Is interested in finding out about finalizing the budget, how the budget was approached and what is the period of time. Have any of these expenditures or the proposed budget have taken into consideration for where we are in COVID and beyond, until a lot of people get vaccinated?

Jonathan responds that in DPH staff report agenda item, the budget will be discussed.

6. DPH Staff Report [Discussion and Possible Action] – 15 minutes

   a. SDDT Youth Seat Update

      Staff shared that the Youth Commission has gone through a process to select a youth for the SDDTAC youth seat and has forwarded their recommendation to the Board of Supervisors, the
process includes going through the Rules committee and then going to the BOS meeting. Two youth seat candidates were interviewed. Member asked if there has been discussions with the Youth Commission to engage the Board of Supervisors to amend the youth seat legislation. Staff responded that it was discussed at the youth commission meeting and they are supportive. In addition, staff has reached out to Opportunities for All to support youth seat engagement by possibly providing stipends for the youth seats on the SDDTAC. Opportunities for All will be checking in with their leadership for potential stipends for the youth seats. Member asked if youth seat would be similar to an internship programming. Staff responded that the youth seat is not an internship since it is year round, however the intent is to hopefully have stipends come from that funding, 5-6 hours per month and inclusion of travel with the intent to also match SDDTAC youth seats with the equity seats.

b. SDDT Budget FY 20-21

Staff shared that the finalized SDDT budget is as what was presented to the Board of Supervisors from the Mayor’s Office. Comparing to what the Mayor’s Office proposed budget, DPH has been working to make sure that the CBO grants are close to whole and is expecting a reduction of 3% and DPH is pleased to be able to do that. For the grants that are funded by the community based grants through DPH, grantees are given the option to pivot their work to respond to COVID 19 and meet the needs of community or continue to implement per their original plan.

Member asked if the 3% to CBO grants is part of the $2.3Mil in variance? Staff responded that DPH is using a variety of its funding (funds not used last year, salary savings due to staff time spent on COVID 19 work) to make almost whole the CBO grants budget line. Member suggested to add to comparison budget chart, columns to amount that DPH has supplemented and then we will see what the variance is. Staff will make changes to budget comparison chart. For the community based grants line, it does not include capacity building and engagement. Member asked if SFUSD funding is being made whole. Staff responded that SFUSD SDDT funding is not being made whole, specifically the student led action work and kitchen upgrade was not funded, as well as the case management based on the assumption due to distance learning. Member asked if funds need to be spent in the current fiscal year. Staff responded that there has been no direction as to spending but encourages grantees to spend the funds this fiscal year.

Member shared in regard to oral health, the assumption is that students are not in school, but if they are providing funds for sealants, case management is still happening, there is still outreach to families which is strange. If the logic is because school is not is session, there are things still being funded while encouraging families to get services. With the 5,000 calls to families around dental care and how soda impacts the health of students, that will be lost as well as the student led action work, Youth Speaks and the food box distribution and education to families. SFUSD is still going to try to keep youth engaged.

Staff shared with SDDTAC email from the Mayor’s Office.

- The adopted FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 budget assumes $14 million of General Fund revenue generated by the soda tax in each year.
Given that this revenue source is a General Fund tax, it is subject to voter-mandated baselines and set asides. After accounting for those adjustments, that leaves approximately $10.7 million in each year of discretionary General Fund revenue.

Of the discretionary portion, the adopted budget dedicates $8.0 million in FY 2020-21 and $8.6 million in FY 2021-22 towards programs that support health equity.

Any portion of the General Fund revenue not programmed for these purposes is assumed for general budget balancing.

As part of the adopted budget, the City is allocating nearly $450 million (about $100 million of which is General Fund) to support the ongoing response to COVID-19. These investments include:

- Housing and Shelter Programs - $183 million
- Testing - $56 million
- Food security - $46 million
- Community outreach, contact tracing, and case investigation - $22 million
- Pitstops and handwashing stations - $16 million

Staff announced that the data and evidence subcommittee requests that the Mayor’s Office provide an understanding and explanation of where the $2.7Mil is being directed. Staff shared from Ashley Groffenberger that the adopted FY 20-21 and 21-22 soda tax is a general fund tax and is subject to voter mandates and set asides and that of the discretionary portion dedicated in $8Mil - $10Mil in the next year. Any portion of the budget is to fill the general fund. $450Mil to support COVID shelter, testing, food security community outreach, contact tracing, pit stops and handwashing stations.

Member expressed the understanding the outset of how the city can spend funds, however it is important to see expenses that were unanticipated. The concern of this year of the $2.7Mil reduction and next year could be $5Mil, would like the city to account every year for every penny as it is important to share and be transparent to the local and national community that these funds are going to the general fund. Another member expressed wanting to have more detail, such as food security funds, where did it go? This would help the SDDTAC in planning for next year’s recommendations. Member announced that SDDTAC will be asking for specificity on food security, housing, and shelters. Staff shared that they had asked specificity on the $2.7Mil reduction and does not think it will be feasible for breakdown but can imagine that Mayor’s Office will be able to provide breakdown costs for food security and shelters. The controller’s office has tagged the soda tax revenue, but not sure if it can be broken down to that specificity. Member expressed the need for the Mayor’s Office to address how it specifically spent $10.7Mil adhering to the principles of the soda tax, it is concerning that if the revenue is lower, this may continue which diminishes committee roles and how the soda tax revenue is being spent. All soda tax funding allocations should be responsible to carry out the activities as expected, as the city should be held accountable for its costs and provide detail. The SDDTAC had discussions prior to COVID 19 and that if the budget recommendations were not followed, the committee could report back to the community and students the finalized budget and how the city is spending the funds. Member suggested that the ask to the Mayor’s Office not only identify the budget cuts but the addition of funds that was added that the SDDTAC did not recommend and why those decisions were made and what those funds are being used for.
Public Comment:
Katie Ettman: excited to see and understand the portion of the budget of making Community Based grants whole; as well as the specificity, excited that ask for Mayor’s Office for response.

Blythe Young agrees with previous public comment; there is a responsibility to share where funds went and for those who benefit from the program; and receiving some logic for disconnection of sealants. The American Heart Association is supportive and how they can be of assistance.

Roberto motions to send communication to Mayor’s Office, appreciation of costs toward COVID 19 relief and would like for the Mayor’s Office to be precise on how they spend soda tax revenue in order to share with community to ensure transparency that the funds are adhering to the soda tax principles. John Maa seconds. Motion is passed.

7. Finalized SDDT Budget and Potential New Priorities – [Discussion and Possible Action] - 30 minutes

Jonathan asked members for suggested potential new SDDT priorities in context due to COVID 19. Member shared the importance of looking at gaps from community stakeholders, such as COVID testing and the ability to shelter in place or quarantine from family if possible and to take time off of work if needed. Additionally, the issue of public water consumption, with some concern of DCYF contractors purchasing bottle water and not using public water stations at their sites, the need for allocating resources for people’s water and safety needs. Member also added the priority to increase the SDDTAC youth engagement. Members expressed the need to have more time to consider other SDDT priorities in the context of COVID 19 era. Members agreed to continue this topic at the SDDT subcommittee meetings and bring back to the full committee.

Public Comment:
W - soda tax revenue is supposed to avert the physical harm that diabetes imposes. She would like to see how to mitigate some of the effects of diabetes and especially with so many people out of work and gyms being at half capacity. Is it to build up more public access equipment at public park system, so that people can be more physically active, that’s an area I would like to see the funds be used in the future. RPD really isn’t friendly to adults, seniors and people with disabilities and those suffering from being sedentary. All public parks, there are some older people who are using equipment in parks and would like to see that as well in SF, it will some take time to build up so another thing where there is flat space so people can walk around to help with their own mental health.

Michelle motions to review for other priority areas to be discussed at next month’s subcommittee meetings and feedback will be discussed at next month’s SDDTAC meeting. Linda seconded. Motion is passed.


Kym shares background of SDDT evaluation report was previously presented to the data and evidence subcommittee. Report is yet to be formatted and will be similar to strategic plan. Nayeli provides
overview of evaluation report co chair letter that sets the stage of the work, communities already experiencing systemic inequities before the pandemic and as well as information on COVID 19 and committee’s response. The evaluation report is aligned with the SDDT logic model, strategic plan goals of healthy people and healthy places. Report is aligned with SDDT goals. Each of the goal areas correspond to strategies and key findings from the SDDT funded programs. For each finding, it is aligned with strategy, for example it is derived from the strategies implemented by healthy community grantees. Grantees identified populations they were serving. There are some areas that are still needing to be filled in. SDDT funding boosted the leadership of impacted community member through skill building, stipends and career pathways, data derived from healthy community grantees.

SDDT funded programs were highlighted Bigger Picture Project and Food Wise Teen program with qualitative data. 2nd goal of SDDT is Healthy Places, to create healthy places by helping to mitigate structural, place based inequities. Strategies include increasing opportunities for physical activity, expanding access to healthy food, water, and oral health, decreasing access and availability to sugary beverages, and increasing economic opportunities in priority neighborhoods. Key findings areas of healthy places that funding has been leveraged to address structural place based inequities in neighborhoods most impacted by soda industry marketing and diet related chronic disease. Other findings include changes to physical and retail environment in key neighborhoods, increasing residents’ access to water and healthy food, access to water in public spaces and parks. Maps included identifying corner stores participating in the healthy retail program. SDDT funding has also supported the hyper local economy in FY 19-20 in key neighborhood prior and within the pandemic time. Highlights will include data from Heart of the City Farmer’s Market, SDDT supported emergency food for priority neighborhoods, student leadership and school based oral health screenings.

Raimi & Associates seeking more feedback in Looking Ahead section that will include trends and outcome, population data with highlighting the impacts over time as well as trends with key metric outcomes. Conclusions – we would like to close the report by amplifying positive impacts on SF residents, SDDT prioritized leadership in impacted communities to address the health harms of sugary drinks and the structures that complicate the effects of the pandemic. Member asked what the timeline to approve the evaluation report. Staff responded that there is no hard deadline but rather to ensure it is completed to inform committee when they are making their budget recommendations for March 1st. Members suggested to have COVID 19 themes interwoven with what was started with and whether they should be elevated more so, as currently it is not reflected in the strongest way, unless it was differently conveyed by grantees. Member shared that was is missing is the shift and pivot that grantees had to do in the midst of a pandemic and should be highlighted more and how grantees having to work together due to the pandemic and still trying to meet their objectives of this work. Co-chairs will revisit co chair letter and COVID as context. Member clarified that report is reporting FY 19-20 which ended in June 30, 2020, 9 months of regular work and the last months focusing on COVID 19 relief support. Member suggested to include data that emphasizes challenges, barriers and roadblocks that were experienced as well as city agencies having issues in releasing funding. Nayeli responded that there is a narrative on challenges from grantees, however there is no data on getting funding out, we can elevate it more. Member suggested to include methodology with barriers noted. Nayeli shared that the appendices would include data sources and limitations and how they were addressed. Member recommends to including information as to how DPH staffing was deployed, it will be included in co-
chair letter and how it was addressed. Member suggests highlights how SDDT funding brought together nuances that were not at the table of DPH, like the SF Black African American Faith Based Coalition, were not aware of these types of resources, while typically CBOs are funded and it opened the opportunity for the faith based community to respond to create a larger lens to respond to the needs of the community. Nayeli seeks feedback on the recommendations section, 2 areas programming and leveraging funding.

Co-chairs will review co-chair letter to have more positive spin on outcomes, challenges and barriers, staff shifting, COVID 19, etc. Member notes this type of funding was also for programs that are not well supported, which typically are community based with minimal resources and the SDDT funds were significant for them. Some metrics that were tracked are big but lacking chronic disease metrics, such as type 2 diabetes and other related chronic diseases related to soda consumption. Member suggests that map on structural change, water stations and healthy retail in priority areas/neighborhoods, key with different structural changes should be included to understand. Nayeli recommends committee to send feedback to staff by October 30, 2020 and will resend revised version in November and report is intended to be voted on in December.

Public Comment -

Wendy – looking at of all of this and the first thing I would like to say is that I am over 40 years old and people over 40 years old, do not read small print very well and would be nice if font size can be enlarged to size 13 font. Residents having to go to the corner store is going to be more expensive than going to grocery stores and with people with disabilities having to carry fresh produce weighs a lot in addition to having to walk to a bus stop and carrying 10 pounds of food, it takes a toll on one’s body. Certain buses are also out of service and unsure of return of service. However, not all healthy retail stores accept EBT or food stamps. People are stressed, determining what they are going to eat and when stressed people eat sugar and fat. Emotional eating should be added to the report.

9. Subcommittee Update [Discussion and Action] - 20 minutes
   a. Co-Chair Update – Draft of co-chair letter in evaluation report; building out pipeline workforce programs review analysis.
   b. Infrastructure - meeting cancelled
   c. Community Input - meeting cancelled
   d. Data and Evidence – Reviewed evaluation report presentation; invitation to Donald Woodson (UCSF) to present pipeline pathways into the healthcare professions to provide guidance on what funds be spent on that support the pipeline into health professions; co-chairs to present local best practices of pipeline programs/health career pathways.

10. Committee Member Proposed Future Agenda Items [Discussion and Possible Action] – 5 minutes
   • Midway through meeting: physical activity stretch, breathing and/or meditation
   • Invite Ashley Groffenberger to the next SDDTAC meeting, to discuss budget reduction allocations, post-election, ballot measures and its impact on the budget
Clarification of SDDTAC member terms
Potential new SDDT priorities
Gaps in public/school water stations

11. Announcements [Discussion and Possible Action] – 5 minutes

Joi – Thanks for messages regarding her mother

Jonathan – Panel presentation prior to SDDTAC meeting, with Mayor, Surgeon General, etc., and highlighted Pipeline Programs.

Christina – DPH and SF Public Health Foundation released funding announcement for COVID-19 Community Prevention, Mitigation and Wellness grants.

12. Adjournment [Action]

Roberto motions to adjourn. Derik seconds. Meeting is adjourned at 7:25pm.

Notes

PUBLIC COMMENT
General Public Comment: At this time, members of the public may address the SDDTAC Advisory Committee on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee but do not appear on the agenda.

With respect to agenda items, the public will be given an opportunity to address the Committee when the item is reached in the meeting. Each member of the public may address the Committee for up to three minutes.

The Brown Act forbids a Committee from taking action or discussing any item not appearing on the posted agenda, including those items raised at Public Comment. In response to public comment on an item that is not on the agenda, the Committee is limited to:

- Briefly responding to statements made or questions posed by members of the public, or
- Requesting staff to report back on a matter at a subsequent meeting, or
- Directing staff to place the item on a future agenda. (Government Code Section 54954.2(a).) 10.

Each action item will hold public comment before a vote is made.

Explanatory documents are available at the 25 Van Ness Ave, Suite 500 during regular business hours. If any materials related to an item on this agenda have been distributed to the SDDTAC after distribution of the agenda packet, those materials are available for public inspection at the address above during normal business hours.

RINGING AND THE USE OF CELLPHONES
The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any
person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE

Government’s duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people’s business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people’s review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapters 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, please contact: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator City Hall – Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683, 415-554-7724 (Office); 415-554-7854 (Fax), E-mail: SOTF@sfgov.org

Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library and on the City’s website at [www.sfgov.org](http://www.sfgov.org). Copies of explanatory documents are available to the public online at [http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine](http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine) or, upon request to the Commission Secretary, at the above address or phone number.

LANGUAGE ACCESS

Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Family Violence Council/Mayor’s Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact the Minouche Kandel 415-252-3203, or minouche.kandel@sfgov.org at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting. Late requests will be honored if possible.

DISABILITY ACCESS

Family Violence Council meetings are held in room 617 at 400 McAllister Street in San Francisco. This building is accessible to persons using wheelchairs and other assistive mobility devices.

Mayor’s Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking meetings are held in Room 305 at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place in San Francisco. City Hall is accessible to persons using wheelchairs and other assistive mobility devices. Ramps are available at the Grove, Van Ness and McAllister entrances.

Assistive listening devices, American Sign Language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations are available upon request. Please make your requests for accommodations to Minouche Kandel (415) 252-3203, or minouche.kandel@sfgov.org. Requesting accommodations at least 72 hours prior to the meeting will help to ensure availability.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, (415) 252-3100, FAX (415) 252-3112, website: sfgov.org/ethics.

如對會議有任何疑問，請致電[415-252-3203]查詢。當會議進行時，嚴禁使用手機及任何發聲電子裝置。會議主席可以命令任何使用手機或其他發出聲音裝置的人等離開會議場所。
了解你在陽光政策下的權益

政府的職責是為公眾服務，並在具透明度的情況下作出決策。市及縣政府的委員會，市參事會，議會和其他機構的存在是為處理眾民的需求。本政策保障一切政務討論都在民眾面前進行，而市政府的運作也公開讓民眾審查。如果你需要知道你在陽光政策 (San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 67) 下擁有的權利，或是需要舉報違反本條例的情況，請聯絡：

陽光政策 專責小組行政官
地址: City Hall – Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683
電話號碼: 415-554-7724 ; 傳真號碼 415-554-5163
電子郵箱: SOTF@sfgov.org

陽光政策的文件可以通過陽光政策專責小組秘書、三藩市公共圖書館、以及市政府網頁 www.sfgov.org 等途徑索取。民眾也可以到網頁 http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine 閱覽有關的解釋文件，或根據以上提供的地址和電話向委員會秘書索取。

語言服務
根據語言服務條例(三藩市行政法典第91章)，中文、西班牙語和/或菲律賓語（泰加洛語）傳譯人員在收到要求後將會提供傳譯服務。翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後透過要求而提供。其他語言協助在可能的情況下也將可提供。上述的要求，請於會議前最少48小時致電 415-252-3203 或電郵 minouche.kandel@sfgov.org 向委員會秘書 Minouche Kandel 提出。逾期提出的請求，若可能的話，亦會被考慮接納。

殘障通路
家庭暴力委員會（Family Violence Council）的會議將在三藩市 McAllister 街 400 號 617 室舉行。此建築物可供使用輪椅及其它助行裝置的人士無障礙通行。

市長反人口販賣專責小組（Mayor’s Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking）的會議將在三藩市市政廳 305 室舉行，地址：1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place。市政廳可供使用輪椅及其它助行裝置的人士無障礙通行。可供通行的斜道設在 Grove、Van Ness 及 McAllister 的入口。

輔助聽力儀器、美國手語傳譯員、閱讀器、放大字體的議程或其它便利設施將根據請求而提供。請致電 (415) 252-3203 或電郵至 minouche.kandel@sfgov.org 向 Minouche Kandel 提出便利設施的請求。要求提供予便利設施，請於會議前最少 72 小時提出，以協助確保便利設施可供使用。

遊說者法令
依據「三藩市遊說者法令」（SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code 2.100）能影響或欲影響本地立法或行政的人士或團體可能需要註冊，並報告其遊說行為。如需更多有關遊說者法令的資訊，請聯絡位於 Van Ness 街 25 號 220 室的三藩市道德委員會，電話號碼: 415-252-3100， 傳真號碼 415-252-3112， 網址: sfgov.org/ethics。

Para preguntas acerca de la reunión, por favor contactar el 415-252-3203. El timbrado de y el uso de teléfonos celulares, localizadores de personas, y artículos electrónicos que producen sonidos similares, están prohibidos en esta reunión. Por favor tome en cuenta que el Presidente podría ordenar el retiro de la sala de la reunión a cualquier persona(s) responsable del timbrado o el uso de un teléfono celular, localizador de personas, u otros artículos electrónicos que producen sonidos similares.

CONOZCA SUS DERECHOS BAJO LA ORDENANZA SUNSHINE
El deber del Gobierno es servir al público, alcanzando sus decisiones a completa vista del público. Comisiones, juntas, concilios, y otras agencias de la Ciudad y Condado, existen para conducir negocios de la gente. Esta ordenanza asegura que las deliberaciones se lleven a cabo ante la gente y que las operaciones de la ciudad estén abiertas para revisión de la gente. Para obtener información sobre sus derechos bajo la Ordenanza Sunshine (capítulo 67 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco) o para reportar una violación de la ordenanza, por favor póngase en contacto con:

Administrador del Grupo de Trabajo de la Ordenanza Sunshine (Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator)
City Hall – Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683
415-554-7724 (Oficina); 415-554-5163 (Fax); Correo electrónico: SOTF@sfgov.org


**ACCESO A IDIOMAS**

De acuerdo con la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas “Language Access Ordinance” (Capítulo 91 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco “Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code”) intérpretes de chino, español y/o filipino (tagalo) estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. Las minutas podrán ser traducidas, de ser requeridas, luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. La asistencia en idiomas adicionales se tomará en cuenta siempre que sea posible. Para solicitar asistencia con estos servicios favor comunicarse con Minouche Kandel al 415-252-3203, o minouche.kandel@sfgov.org por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible.

**ACCESO DE DISCAPACITADOS**

Las reuniones del Concilio sobre la Violencia de Familia (Family Violence Council) se llevan a cabo en la Sala 617 de la 400 McAllister Street en San Francisco. Este edificio es accesible para personas que utilizan sillas de ruedas y otros aparatos de movilidad asistida.

Las reuniones del Grupo de Trabajo del Alcalde en Contra del Tráfico Humano (Mayor‘s Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking) se llevan a cabo en la Sala 305 de la Alcaldía, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place en San Francisco. La alcaldía es accesible para personas que utilizan sillas de ruedas y otros aparatos de movilidad asistida. Las rampas para sillas de ruedas se encuentran disponibles en las entradas de las calles Grove, Van Ness y McAllister.

Aparatos de asistencia auditiva, intérpretes de Lenguaje de Señas Americano, lectores, agendas con letra grande y otras acomodaciones están disponibles de ser requeridas. Por favor presente sus solicitudes de acomodo ante Minouche Kandel (415) 252-3203, o minouche.kandel@sfgov.org. Solicitar acomodaciones por lo menos 72 horas antes de la reunión ayudará a garantizar la disponibilidad de las mismas.

**ORDENANZA DE CABILDEO**

Individuos y entidades que influencian o intentan influenciar legislación local o acciones administrativas podrían ser requeridos por la Ordenanza de Cabildeo de San Francisco (SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code 2.100) a registrarse y a reportar actividades de cabildeo. Para más información acerca de la Ordenanza de Cabildeo, por favor contactar la Comisión de Ética: 25 de la avenida Van Ness , Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, 415-252-3100, FAX 415-252-3112, sitio web: sfgov.org/ethics.

Kung mayroon kayong mga tanong tungkol sa miting, mangyaring tumawag lang sa 415-252-3203. Ang pagtunog at paggammit ng mga cell phone, mga pager at kagamitang may tunog ay ipinagbabawal sa pulong. Paalala po na maaring...
ALAMIN ANG INYONG MGA KARAPATAN SA ILALIM NG SUNSHINE ORDINANCE

Tungkulin ng Pamahalaan na magpapalabas ng publiko, maabot at maunawaan ng paraan. Ang mga komisyon, board, kapulungan at iba pang mga ahensya ng Lungsod at County ay mananatili upang maglingkod sa pamayanang Tintiyak na ang ordinansa na ang desisyon o pagpapasya ay ginagawa kasama ng mamamayan at ang mga gawain nga nagpapagawa ng tunog o ingay. Para sa impormasyon ukol sa inyong karapatan sa ilalim ng Sunshine Ordinance (Kapitulo 67 sa San Francisco Administrative Code) o para mag report sa paglabag sa ordinansa, mangyaring tumawag sa Administrador ng Sunshine Ordinance Task Force.

City Hall – Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683
415-554-7724 (Opisina); 415-554-7854 (Fax), E-mail: SOTF@sfgov.org

Ang mga kopya ng Sunshine Ordinance ay makukuha sa Clerk ng Sunshine Task Force, sa pampublikong aklatan ng San Francisco at sa website ng Lungsod sa www.sfgov.org. Mga kopya at mga dokumentong na nagpapaliwanag sa Ordinance ay makukuha online sa http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine o sa kahilingan sa Commission Secretary, sa address sa itaas o sa numero ng telepono.

PAG-ACCESS SA WIKA


ACCESS PARA SA MAY KAPANSANAN

Ang Konseho tungkol sa Karahasan sa Pamilya (Family Violence Council) ay ginaganap sa room 617 sa 400 McAllister Street sa San Francisco. Ang building ay maaring makakaya sa ibang wika, at ibang wika, at ibang wika, at ibang wika, at ibang wika.

Ang mga pagpupulong sa Mayor sa Anti-Trafficking ay ginaganap sa Room 305 sa City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place sa San Francisco. Ang City Hall ay maaring makakaya sa ibang wika, at ibang wika, at ibang wika, at ibang wika, at ibang wika.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

### SDDTAC Recommendations FY20-21 and 21-22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY20-21</th>
<th>FY21-22</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMUNITY-BASED GRANTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health education, food security, physical activity</td>
<td>$3,260,000</td>
<td>$3,260,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBOs working with SFUSD</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Building Grants</td>
<td>$470,000</td>
<td>$470,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL COMMUNITY BASED GRANTS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,330,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,860,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>39%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFUSD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Food, Nutrition Ed</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Led Action</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Led Media Coordinator</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFUSD Kitchen/Food Infrastructure Upgrade</td>
<td>$330,000</td>
<td>$330,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Scholarships in Health Field for Priority Populations</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SFUSD</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,080,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,900,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>19%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOOD ACCESS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Retail</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>OEWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL FOOD ACCESS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,350,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,350,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>12%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ORAL HEALTH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community task forces</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>DPH/MCAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-based sealant application</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>DPH/SF Health Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-based education and case management</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>SFUSD via DCYF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ORAL HEALTH</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>10%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INFRASTRUCTURE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL INFRASTRUCTURE</strong></td>
<td><strong>$800,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$800,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>7%</strong></td>
<td>DPH/CHEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WATER ACCESS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Access - SFUSD</td>
<td>$340,000</td>
<td>$340,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>PUC via RPD/DPW?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Access - Public Spaces</td>
<td>$340,000</td>
<td>$340,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>PUC via RPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL WATER ACCESS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$340,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$340,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>3%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF RECREATION &amp; PARKS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace Parks</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>RPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVIP Funding – Peace Parks Transportation</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>RPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SF RECREATION &amp; PARKS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$875,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$875,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount 1</td>
<td>Amount 2</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREASTFEEDING</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>DPH/MCAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPORT FOR SMALL BUSINESS/MERCHANTS</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>OEWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Proposed</strong></td>
<td>$11,200,000</td>
<td>$10,300,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Budget Descriptions

| Community-Based Grants | City Departments should contract directly with CBOs through an RFP process managed through the Community Health Equity and Promotion (CHEP) Branch of the Department of Public Health. CBG should support community-based programs and services that address the health inequities of those most targeted by the beverage industry. Funding should go to Community Based Organizations (CBOs) and Faith Based Organizations (FBOs) for the following strategies:
|  | 1. Health Education activities including, chronic disease prevention, healthy eating and active living, tap water promotion, oral/dental health
|  | 2. Physical Activity opportunities, including: a) Dance and movement, sports, yoga, walking groups, biking, etc.; b) Efforts to influence changes to the built environment (i.e. sidewalks, streets, parks, buildings, etc) or safety of the built environment that facilitates increased physical activity and walking and biking for utilitarian trips, sometimes referred to as active transportation); and c) pursuit of institutional or local policies that facilitate physical activity and active transportation (such as adequate PE time and instructors, commuter benefits for active transportation, etc)
|  | 3. Healthy Eating/Food Security*, including: a) Community-based pantries, community-based hot meals, community kitchens and community home delivery services; b) Increased financial resources (i.e. wages, income, government nutrition supplements, vouchers, etc.); c) Changes to the built environment that facilitate food security; and d) Pursuit of institutional or local policies that facilitate food security.
|  | 4. Water Promotion, such as support for Spa Water Supplies, station maintenance/beautification, refillable water bottles to distribute to communities, water testing
|  | 5. Community Based Participatory Research

| CBOs working with SFUSD | 7% of all CBO funding (eg 7% of approximately $4.3 million) should go towards CBOs implementing programs/initiatives that take place in school settings. Funding to issue grants to CBOS should follow the guidelines above.

| Media | Funds to CBOs to support media and communications that include 1) grassroots, community driven awareness campaigns about the intent of the SDDT and the impact of the allocated funds; 2) city-wide communications campaign highlighting the impact and importance of the SDDT; and 3) communications materials for merchants. This may be implemented via CBO’s and/or private media firms. Examples include community-driven messaging, print, online, and social media campaigns.

| Community engagement | Community engagement activities (ex. community conveners, focus groups, town halls, attending existing community meetings, etc.) to ensure that meaningful community engagement opportunities are fully integrated throughout the work of the SDDTAC, so that impacted populations can inform the decisions of the full committee.

| Capacity Building Grants | Provide one time capacity building grants as SDFPH/CHEP did in FY2019-2020; to support non profit organizations providing chronic disease prevention programs and services with operations, training, equipment, consultants, etc.

| SFUSD | To improve the quality and appeal of school meals and support nutrition education to increase participation in school meal programs (for example: cooking and serving equipment, staff professional development, and innovative procurement and menu strategies to increase freshly prepared food). Funding will target schools with the largest populations of high-risk students that are disproportionately targeted by the sugary drinks industry.

| School Food, Nutrition Ed | Support student led efforts to decrease consumption of sugary drinks and increase awareness of sugary drinks consumption among students, with focus on schools with the largest populations of high-risk students that are disproportionately targeted by the sugary drinks industry. SFUSD should provide to |

| Student Led Action | |
| **San Francisco Department of Public Health**  
| Dr. Grant Colfax  
| Director of Health  

City and County of San Francisco  
London N. Breed  
Mayor

| **SDDTAC** | A proposal of how funding will be spent through student led action. Funding is provided for staff leadership, student and adult stipends and supplies. |
| **Student Led Media Coordinator** | A full-time Student Engagement Coordinator will be responsible for coordinating youth engagement. This person’s primary role is to lead and grow holistic wellness initiatives and activities by developing innovative projects, leading and designing curriculum-based programs, and sparking student’s voice and passions for health equity through environmental change, media, food, and food justice in alignment with SFUSD’s Wellness Policy and SF Soda Tax. Funding is provided for staff leadership, student and adult stipends and supplies. |
| **SFUSD Kitchen/Food Infrastructure Upgrade** | Cost of construction and equipment to upgrade 1-3 kitchens with the necessary infrastructure to be able to receive meals made at McAteer Culinary Center, and serve meals buffet style. Priority schools for this work directly align with SDDTAC priority zip codes. |
| **Educational Investments** | Educational investments that support and strive for professional development in health and wellness across lifespan. Scholarships and other supports in higher education in medical technology and health field careers for Priority Populations and including para professionals. |

**FOOD ACCESS**

| **Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement** | Support programs that increase financial resources to purchase healthy food such as vouchers and food purchasing incentives. This investment is meant to support both the communities most impacted by the health consequences of sugary beverage consumption and to support the local economy including local merchants. These funds should be RFPed out to CBOs and FBOs according to the Community Based Grants guidelines. |
| **Healthy Retail** | Supporting small business to increase healthy food access in high risk and impacted communities and neighborhoods by: 1) supporting business operations; 2) promoting community engagement; and 3) improving the retail environment. |

**ORAL HEALTH**

| **Community task forces** | Support development of community infrastructure such as oral health community task forces that incorporate diverse stakeholders for outreach, education, and interventions to address the oral health needs of children in high risk populations. |
| **School-based sealant application** | Support school-based and school-linked preventive oral health programs within SFUSD schools serving high risk target populations. This should also support SFUSD dedicated oral health staffing. |

**INFRASTRUCTURE**
### A. Personnel

1. Backbone staffing to support SDDTAC: a. A program manager to provide backbone staffing to the SDDTAC, including: i) Staffing full committee and 3 subcommittees in compliance with Sunshine and Brown Acts; ii) Coordinating among city agencies and funded CBOs to promote collective impact; iii) Help guide vision and strategy of SDDTAC, support aligned activities; manage SDDTAC work and timeline; and iv) Working with evaluation team to establish shared measurement practices.

   b. As necessary, manage citywide/soda tax impact media.

   c. Develop/Compile and Manage completion of SDDTAC Annual Report.

   d. Manage SDDTAC biennial nominations process.

2. Staffing to support DPH SDDT implementation of community based grants: a. Manage work of contractors, including: i) develop and implement CBO RFP process; ii) provide technical assistance for CBOs and merchants; iii) promote collective impact in coordination with SDDTAC backbone staff and City Agencies; and iv) work with evaluator and SDDTAC backbone staff to develop and implement evaluation plan and evaluation technical assistance.

3. Staffing to support research and evaluation of SDDT impact, including data purchases as necessary: a. At least 1.0 FTE epidemiologist; b. Support data analysis for annual report; c. Manage data purchases; d. participate in development and implementation of SDDT evaluation

### B. Professional services

- i) technical assistance for funded CBO and FBO.
- ii) evaluation - to implement evaluation framework and evaluate funded city agencies, CBO and FBO, and process evaluations from applicants, and provide evaluation technical assistance.
- iii) city attorney to provide ongoing technical consultation.

### C. Materials/Supplies

- for meetings and printing costs

### D. Training

- to support staff development

### E. Data

- for collection (pricing), analysis (Nielsen) and purchase (IRI)

### WATER ACCESS

**Water Access - SFUSD**

SFUSD water station installation. Additionally, invest in adding signage and art to 3 stations to pilot evidence-based community informed model for what designs should be. As well as water education. Allows for comparison of usage between pilot stations with artwork/education and those without.

**Water Access - Public Spaces**

Public water station installation. Additionally, invest in adding signage and art to 3 stations to pilot evidence-based community informed model for what designs should be. As well as water education. Allows for comparison of usage between pilot stations with artwork/education and those without.

### SF RECREATION & PARKS

**Peace Parks**

Peace Parks programming to serve Priority Populations

**SVIP: Peace Parks Transportation**

Transportation for Peace Parks participants

### BREASTFEEDING

To fund a breastfeeding coalition to organize collective efforts across San Francisco to enable increased breastfeeding among Priority Populations. This coalition will mobilize action on policy, systems, and environmental (PSE) changes to increase breastfeeding rate and duration, leveraging community strengths and tackling structural barriers to reduce inequities to breastfeeding support. This would include funding for backbone support to engage community stakeholders in a strategic planning and engagement process to develop a framework for short and long term goals embedded in principles of equity; help align breastfeeding support services in San Francisco including hospital, outpatient, and community based services to improve access to breastfeeding support; and provide technical assistance to partnering agencies (such as child care centers and businesses with less than 50 employees) to operationalize and implement breastfeeding friendly policies and practices.
* Funding should support programs and services that increase financial resources to purchase healthy food; access to healthy fruits and vegetables while minimizing processed foods for high-risk communities; foods that are affordable and convenient; and programs that support the consumption of healthy foods including the ability to prepare and store meals and the knowledge of basic nutrition, food safety and cooking. Priority programs should incorporate a community-based food security perspective and have demonstrated increased ability of food insecure residents to purchase, access, and consume consumption of healthy, fresh, low-to-no cost and culturally appropriate foods, including but not limited to food vouchers/incentives, transportation and delivery and prepared foods.

**ONGOING ADDBACKS FROM FY 2017-2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food Security – Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement</td>
<td>DPH</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels: Vouchers and education to increase consumption and access to nutritious foods by increasing the ability of low income residents to purchase fruits and vegetables at neighborhood vendors and farmers’ markets in collaboration with DPH Healthy Retail Program.</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Corner Store Retail</td>
<td>ECN</td>
<td>Promoting corner stores and markets to sell healthy products as opposed to sugary beverages, etc.</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Security – Home Delivered Meals (HDM)</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Address current waitlist: Delivery of nutritious meals, a daily-safety check/friendly interaction to homebound seniors/adults with disabilities who cannot shop or prepare meals themselves. Many providers offer home assessments/nutrition education/counseling.</td>
<td>477,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Security – Congregate Lunch Meals</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Address current waitlist: Daily, hot, nutritious meals for seniors/adults with disabilities</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Fitness</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Senior fitness programming at IT Bookman and George Davis</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congregate Meal Program A</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Congregate Meal Program A</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congregate Meal Program B</td>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>Congregate Meal Program B</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** 1,157,000

*The Board of Supervisors made a series of addbacks in the FY 17-18 budget. When the Board of Supervisors makes changes to the Mayor’s budget, some of these changes are “addbacks” denoting the Board’s decision to add funds back for a particular service. Addbacks become part of an agency’s baseline budget.*