



# San Francisco Department of Public Health

Grant Colfax, MD  
Director of Health

City and County of San Francisco  
London N. Breed  
Mayor

## Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee Infrastructure Subcommittee

### NOTES

August 4, 2020 – 2:00PM

Join Online Via Webex

<https://sfdph.webex.com/sfdph/j.php?MTID=mf6e224614f3c770b5abaff33d003c959>

Meeting Number: 133 306 1466

Password: Zc9iazmnP75

Join by Phone: 1-415-655-0003 Access Code: 133 306 1466

\*Please note that public comment will be held after every agenda item. If a member of the public would like to comment on a topic that is *not* on the agenda, they may do so during general public comment at the start of the meeting. Please see the *Notes* section of this document for additional information.

#### Order of Business:

1. Call to Order / Roll Call [discussion and action] Meeting called to order at 2:09PM

Present: Larry McClendon, Derik Aoki, Linda Barnard, Michelle Kim, Vanessa Bohm, Lauren Heumann, Saeeda Hafiz

Absent: Rita Nguyen

2. Approval of July Meeting Minutes [discussion and action]

Michelle motions to approve minutes. Linda seconds. Minutes are approved.

3. Approval of Agenda- [discussion and action]

Michelle motions to approve agenda. Derick seconds. Agenda is approved.

4. General Public Comment – No Public Comment

5. House Keeping [discussion and possible action]

- a. SDDTAC Seat Vacancies

Staff shared with that the food security/access seat is vacant and has received 1 recommendation which will be forwarded to Dr. Colfax for his review and approval as the seat is a DPH appointed seat. Staff has continued to receive inquiries and request for applications for the youth seat which will be vacated beginning in September. Applications are due on August 31, 2020. Outreach for the youth seat was coordinated with Youth Commission, SFUSD, DCYF and sent out via listservs.

- b. Review of Mayor's Office SDDT Budget Recommendations

Staff shared that Ashley Groffenberger, of the Mayor's Office sent the Mayor's proposed budget recommendations. The Mayor's budget recommendations proposed with the biggest cuts are to grants to community based organizations for up to \$1.2 Mil in cuts. There were other budget cuts

to SFUSD, case management, water access, etc. There was no rationale language attached to identify why certain budget line items were cut. SFUSD budget line would go to food during COVID19. Member noted that the SFUSD School Based Education and Case Management is linked to the oral health work and is being cut. Mayor's Office recommended doing sealant application that could incorporate some of the case management but not so sure. Members agreed the need to ask Mayor's Office for rationale. Staff informed members that SDDTAC co chairs, will have call with Ashley tomorrow morning regarding proposed budget recommendations. Staff noted that healthy eating vouchers were kept and that cuts to RPD, Peace Parks for current fiscal year but reinstating in the next year. There are no cuts to RPD, oral health taskforces and healthy retail. Staff shared that evaluation will be covered through DPH funding. Projected soda tax revenue is \$14Mil and the Mayor's proposed budget cuts are over \$3Mil.

Member noted that mayor's budget recommendations left community based org funding to school district but then took out education action and student led action teams, those funds, half million help to implement community based organizations' project implementation with SFUSD, if that is cut, there are not staff positions to help community based organizations. Student led action work is intended to getting students involved. Member suggested for co chairs to ask Ashley is it possible to move the CBO/SFUSD \$300K into the education led action line and also with case management for oral health, that is two full time positions of people doing that work, so that kids are getting sealants, budget line items are intertwined. Staff noted that there is uncertainty of how the sealants application will be happening and case management follow up. Saeeda will follow up with the team for more information.

It is expected that co chairs will provide updates to all SDDT meetings. Staff noted that Ashley did indicate that there will be significant infuse of funding to organizations based on cuts that are being made from the police department. Member asked what the entire police budget is and how much is being taken away. Member shared that the police budget is \$150Mil and that 10% will be directed to the community in different areas such as violence prevention, non emergency response, youth development, economic opportunity and infrastructure. Member asked if there is a sense of organizations continuing to do COVID relief efforts. Staff responded that some community based organizations are challenged but continue to do COVID relief efforts. Member asked if there is a sense of the budget that identifies what is topped off at the end and its set asides? Staff responded that we do not get reports on set aside funding and would imagine that funds would be shifted for COVID 19 relief efforts. Member asked if community based grants to small organizations under \$1Mil, if they are going to be kept whole in the next fiscal year or change in amount? Staff shared that they are awaiting to see if there is a change versus what finally gets passed. Member suggested that for the budget line items that were maintained, if members want to make funding increase recommendations related to food security. Member responded that food security/access is a huge issue but would like to hear more from co chairs before making that suggestion. Michelle noted to table discussion for future meetings, and does not need to be about food security but it also can be for others issues that were not funded.

6. Discuss Potential SDDT Response to Support Long Term Damage of COVID-19 [discussion and possible action]
  - a. SFUSD 2020 – 2021 School Year Plan
  - b. Review of SDDTAC Funding Recommendations on Food Access/Security

Michelle shared that SFUSD will be doing distance learning and knowing that we wanted to explore what our response would be and what that means for food and in general of the community's needs. She shared that there was a press release on learning hubs/learning community centers for at risk youth or those having difficulty in doing distance learning. Essentially it will be a cohort to

assist with distance learning and they will be at the libraries, RPD centers and CBO sites. DCYF will be providing food there, dependent on the type of waivers that have been receiving. Lauren shared an update that due to changing regulations from school year to summer to back to school year, those without a waiver are to only serve SFUSD students. SFUSD is checking for those who have children in the house under 18 and USDA will not reimburse SFUSD. SFUSD is still getting waivers for off site waivers and a waiver for others to pick up the meals. Other waivers we don't have – area of eligibility, free food and reimbursement from USDA. If you qualify for free meals and if you don't then they have to pay for the meals. SFUSD is only doing meals for youth 18 years and under and not for adults in the household.

Michelle shared that DCYF, in summer would provide youth who were not eligible in terms of free or reduced meals but with the waiver in the summer they have been able to provide food. For the school year they will not have the waiver, they can't provide all meals, breakfast, lunch snack. For the school year, we are only reimbursed for two meals. A lot of moving parts and different food waivers that are not able to extend waivers and we may find that having the access during the summer may need to find other resources, such as food bank or other resources. The overall food need will be discussed at the food security taskforce meets tomorrow, they have a document that we will go over discussing the budget recommendations due to budget shortfall. Lauren shared that the CCC operations center recently did a detailed food gap analysis and it breaks down by site based services and delivery food service. Michelle will send Food Gap Analysis.

Saeeda shared that the sealant program contact noted that since they are not in full session, not sure if sealants will be happening and what will SFUSD and DPH do. They will need to discuss with DPH on how to move forward, possibly rolling line items together. Staff noted that funds go to different departments so it might be separate.

c. Review of SDDT Budget Calendar/Timeline

Michelle provided clarification on budget calendar/timeline. In terms of timeline, members will review and discuss to counter recommendations and discuss at SDDTAC. The budget is not finalized, the BOS will hear it and will be making their budget recommendations.

Timeline – October 1 when budget kicks in, BOS will work on budget in August/September and vote and Mayor's signs it on October 1. If SDDTAC wants to make suggestions for BOS, it would need to happen sooner rather than later.

Member asked if there will be enough opportunity to go to our constituents with a counter. Staff shared that the committee made recommendations at the end of February and did not have opportunity to make changes based on what is happening now, COVID. The Mayor's Office budget recommendations includes COVID. Inform constituents what the SDDTAC's budget recommendations and what the Mayor's Office proposed budget and having the opportunity to make changes at the BOS level. Member asked about restorations. Staff, noted that in previous year, BOS would like to have recommendations sooner from committee and constituents and to make appeals directly to supervisors. The BOS does not automatically know the difference between the SDDTAC and Mayor's budget recommendations.

Derik noted that if the committee is going to go through different budget lines, to put a pin in for breastfeeding. SDDT subcommittee meetings will follow and continue the budget recommendation discussions. Staff will share meeting information.

7. Discuss possible agenda items for September 1, 2020 Meeting [discussion and possible action]

- Agenda items are dependent on outcome of SDDTAC August meeting
- Violence prevention challenges happening, as COVID continues, domestic violence, mental health and food security

8. Public Comment – No Public Comment

9. Adjourn

Michelle motions to adjourn. Linda seconds. Meeting is adjourned at 3:22pm.

General Public Comment: At this time, members of the public may address the SDDTAC Advisory Committee on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee but do not appear on the agenda.

With respect to agenda items, the public will be given an opportunity to address the Committee when the item is reached in the meeting. Each member of the public may address the Committee for up to three minutes.

The Brown Act forbids a Committee from taking action or discussing any item not appearing on the posted agenda, including those items raised at Public Comment. In response to public comment on an item that is not on the agenda, the Committee is limited to:

- Briefly responding to statements made or questions posed by members of the public, or
- Requesting staff to report back on a matter at a subsequent meeting, or
- Directing staff to place the item on a future agenda. (Government Code Section 54954.2(a).) 10.

Each action item will hold public comment before a vote is made.

Explanatory documents are available at the 25 Van Ness Ave, Suite 500 during regular business hours. If any materials related to an item on this agenda have been distributed to the SDDTAC after distribution of the agenda packet, those materials are available for public inspection at the address above during normal business hours.

#### **RINGING AND THE USE OF CELLPHONES**

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

#### **KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE**

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapters 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, please contact: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator  
City Hall – Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683,  
415-554-7724 (Office); 415-554-7854 (Fax), E-mail: SOTF@sfgov.org

Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library and on the City's website at [www.sfgov.org](http://www.sfgov.org). Copies of explanatory documents are available to the public online at <http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine> or, upon request to the Commission Secretary, at the above address or phone number.

#### **LANGUAGE ACCESS**

Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Family Violence Council/Mayor's Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact the

Minouche Kandel 415-252-3203, or [minouche.kandel@sfgov.org](mailto:minouche.kandel@sfgov.org) at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting. Late requests will be honored if possible.

**DISABILITY ACCESS**

Family Violence Council meetings are held in room 617 at 400 McAllister Street in San Francisco. This building is accessible to persons using wheelchairs and other assistive mobility devices.

Mayor’s Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking meetings are held in Room 305 at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place in San Francisco. City Hall is accessible to persons using wheelchairs and other assistive mobility devices. Ramps are available at the Grove, Van Ness and McAllister entrances.

Assistive listening devices, American Sign Language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations are available upon request. Please make your requests for accommodations to Minouche Kandel (415) 252-3203, or [minouche.kandel@sfgov.org](mailto:minouche.kandel@sfgov.org). Requesting accommodations at least 72 hours prior to the meeting will help to ensure availability.

**LOBBYIST ORDINANCE**

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, (415) 252-3100, FAX (415) 252-3112, website: [sfgov.org/ethics](http://sfgov.org/ethics).

**Comparison between SDDTAC Recommendations and Mayor’s Allocations FY 19-20**

Item	SDDTAC Rx Amount	SDDTAC Rx %	SDDTAC Rx Agency	Mayor's Budget	% of Mayor's Budget	Mayor's Proposed Agency	Variance
Community-Based Grants	\$4,290,000	41.25%	DPH/CHEP	\$2,995,000	26.76%	DPH	
				\$300,000	2.68%	SFUSD via DCYF	
			Comm Engage	\$50,000	.45%	Total	-\$345,000
School Food, Nutrition Education, Student Led Action	\$1,000,000	14.42%	SFUSD	\$1,500,000	14%	SFUSD via DCYF	\$0
	\$500,000		SFUSD	\$500,000		SFUSD via DCYF	
Food Access	\$1,000,000	9.62%	DPH	\$1,000,000	10%	DPH	\$35,000
Healthy Retail SF	\$150,000	1.44%	OEWD	\$150,000	1%	OEWD	\$0
Oral Health	\$1,000,000	9.62%	DPH – Task Force	\$450,000	4.02%	SFUSD via DCYF	
			Sealant Pgm	\$450,000	3.13%	DPH	
			Edu/Case Mg	\$1,000,000	8%	Total	\$0
Infrastructure	\$1,000,000	11.92%	DPH/CHEP	\$1,000,000	8%	DPH	-\$240,000
Water Access – SFUSD	\$0	2.88%	SFUSD	\$0	4%	SFUSD via DCYF	\$0
Water Access - Public Spaces	\$300,000		PUC/DPH	\$300,000	2.68%		
SF Recreation & Parks	\$520,000	5%	RPD	\$2,895,000	5%		\$2,375,000
HOPE SF Peer Enhancements	\$400,000	3.85%	N/A	\$400,000	0%	DPH	\$400,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$10,400,000</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		<b>\$11,190,000</b>	<b>100%</b>		

Description of intended purpose from Mayor's Budget
Community-Based Grants: Funding to issue grants to CBOs for programs and services in the following areas (1) Health Education, (2) Physical Activity, (3) Food Access, and (4) a Media/Awareness Campaign.
School Food, Nutrition Education, student-led action: Funding to (1) improve the quality of school meals, (2) support nutrition education, and (3) support student-led efforts to decrease consumption of SSBs and increase awareness around students.
Food Access: Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement
Healthy Retail: Will provide Healthy Store makeovers to 2 additional stores and continue ongoing providing support to 10 stores.
Oral Health school-based: Funding to support oral health in schools, including the cost of sealants.
Oral Health: Funding for Community Task Forces
Infrastructure: To fund a contract through DPH for facilitation and strategic support for the SDDTAC. Will also support research needs, including purchasing of data, and costs at DPH related to the administration of CBO grant program.
Water Access: One-time for the installation of water refilling stations in schools. Also can be used to purchase refillable water bottles for students.

SFRPD: Funding to Rec Park to provide continued support of the Peace Parks program.

Hope SF Peer Enhancements: Fund training and peer wage increases.

**SDDTAC Recommendations FY19-20 and 20-21**

	FY19-20	FY20-21	%	Department
<b>COMMUNITY-BASED GRANTS</b>				
Health education, food security, physical activity	\$3,260,000	\$3,260,000		DPH/CHEP
CBOs working with SFUSD	\$300,000	\$300,000		DPH/CHEP
Media	\$680,000	\$680,000		DPH/CHEP
Community engagement	\$50,000	\$50,000		DPH/CHEP
<b>TOTAL COMMUNITY BASED GRANTS</b>	<b>\$4,290,000</b>	<b>\$4,290,000</b>	<b>41%</b>	
<b>SFUSD</b>				
School Food, Nutrition Ed	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000		SFUSD via DCYF
Student Led Action	\$500,000	\$500,000		SFUSD via DCYF
<b>TOTAL SFUSD</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>14%</b>	
<b>FOOD ACCESS</b>				
Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000		DPH/CHEP
Healthy Retail	\$150,000	\$150,000		OEWD
<b>TOTAL FOOD ACCESS</b>	<b>\$1,150,000</b>	<b>\$1,150,000</b>	<b>11%</b>	
<b>ORAL HEALTH</b>				
Community task forces	\$450,000	\$450,000		DPH/MCAH
School-based sealant application	\$350,000	\$350,000		DPH/SF Health Network
School-based education and case management	\$200,000	\$200,000		SFUSD via DCYF
<b>TOTAL ORAL HEALTH</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>10%</b>	
<b>INFRASTRUCTURE</b>				
DPH Infrastructure	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000		DPH/CHEP
Strategic planning	\$40,000	\$ -		DPH/CHEP
Evaluation	\$200,000	\$200,000		DPH/CHEP
<b>TOTAL INFRASTRUCTURE</b>	<b>\$1,240,000</b>	<b>\$1,200,000</b>	<b>12%</b>	
<b>WATER ACCESS</b>				
Water Access - SFUSD	\$ -	\$340,000		PUC via RPD/DPW?
Water Access - Public Spaces	\$300,000	\$ -		PUC via RPD
<b>TOTAL WATER ACCESS</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>	<b>\$340,000</b>	<b>3%</b>	
<b>SF Recreation &amp; Parks</b>	<b>\$520,000</b>	<b>\$520,000</b>	<b>5%</b>	RPD
<b>HOPE SF Chronic Disease Equity</b>	<b>\$400,000</b>	<b>\$400,000</b>	<b>4%</b>	DPH/Behavioral Health
<b>Total Proposed</b>	<b>\$10,400,000</b>	<b>\$10,400,000</b>	<b>100%</b>	

	Budget Descriptions
<b>COMMUNITY-BASED GRANTS</b>	City Departments should contract directly with CBOs through an RFP process managed through the Community Health Equity and Promotion (CHEP) Branch of the Department of Public Health. CBG should

Health education, food security, physical activity	<p>support community-based programs and services that address the health inequities of those most targeted by the beverage industry. Funding should go to Community Based Organizations (CBOs) and Faith Based Organizations (FBOs) for the following strategies:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Health Education activities including, chronic disease prevention, healthy eating and active living, tap water promotion, oral/dental health</li> <li>2. Physical Activity opportunities, including: a) Dance and movement, sports, yoga, walking groups, biking, etc.; b) Efforts to influence changes to the built environment (ie sidewalks, streets, parks, buildings, etc) or safety of the built environment that facilitates increased physical activity and walking and biking for utilitarian trips, sometimes referred to as active transportation); and c) pursuit of institutional or local policies that facilitate physical activity and active transportation (such as adequate PE time and instructors, commuter benefits for active transportation, etc)</li> <li>3. Healthy Eating/Food Security*, including: a) Community-based pantries, community-based hot meals, community kitchens and community home delivery services; b) Increased financial resources (i.e. wages, income, government nutrition supplements, vouchers, etc.); c) Changes to the built environment that facilitate food security; and d) Pursuit of institutional or local policies that facilitate food security.</li> <li>4. Water Promotion, such as support for Spa Water Supplies, station maintenance/beautification, refillable water bottles to distribute to communities, water testing</li> <li>5. Community Based Participatory Research</li> </ol>
CBOs working with SFUSD	7% of all CBO funding (eg 7% of approximately \$4.3 million) should go towards CBOs implementing programs/initiatives that take place in school settings. Funding to issue grants to CBOS should follow the guidelines above.
Media	To develop and implement a media campaign focused on the impact of the SDDT with an emphasis on grassroots, community-led storytelling. Community Based Participatory Principles will be utilized in the development of the storytelling campaign, with CBOs funded to co-develop the campaign with a contracted media agency. The funds should support both a local and regional media campaigns. The regional campaign should be in coordination with other jurisdictions with similar sugary beverage taxes to leverage resources and augment the intended goals of the SDDTAC. A portion of the local media campaigns must include a merchant education component. A smaller proportion of the funds (to be determined by the Department of Public Health and any contracted entities) may support media/communications campaigns that highlight the health harms of sugary beverage intake and encourage tap water consumption. A portion of the funds must include merchant education. The local campaign must include merchant education component. DPH/CHPEP will contract with media agency, and oversee the campaign progress, with guidance from the Community Input Subcommittee on the local and regional community-led story telling campaigns and guidance from the Infrastructure Subcommittee on the merchant focused campaign.
Community engagement	Community engagement activities (ex. community conveners, focus groups, town halls, attending existing community meetings, etc.) to ensure that meaningful community engagement opportunities are fully integrated throughout the work of the SDDTAC, so that impacted populations can inform the decisions of the full committee.
<b>SFUSD</b>	
School Food, Nutrition Ed	To improve the quality and appeal of school meals and support nutrition education to increase participation in school meal programs (for example: cooking and serving equipment, staff professional development, and innovative procurement and menu strategies to increase freshly prepared food). Funding will target schools with the largest populations of high-risk students that are disproportionately targeted by the sugary drinks industry.
Student Led Action	Support student led efforts to decrease consumption of sugary drinks and increase awareness of sugary drinks consumption among students, with focus on schools with the largest populations of high-risk students that are disproportionately targeted by the sugary drinks industry. SFUSD should provide to SDDTAC a proposal of how funding will be spent through student led action.
<b>FOOD ACCESS</b>	
Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement	Support programs that increase financial resources to purchase healthy food such as vouchers and food purchasing incentives. This investment is meant to support both the communities most impacted by the health consequences of sugary beverage consumption and to support the local economy including local merchants. These funds should be RFPed out to CBOs and FBOs according to the Community Based Grants guidelines.

Healthy Retail	Supporting small business to increase healthy food access in high risk and impacted communities and neighborhoods by: 1) supporting business operations; 2) promoting community engagement; and 3) improving the retail environment.
<b>ORAL HEALTH</b>	
Community task forces	Support development of community infrastructure such as oral health community task forces that incorporate diverse stakeholders for outreach, education, and interventions to address the oral health needs of children in high risk populations.
School-based sealant application	Support school-based and school-linked preventive oral health programs within SFUSD schools serving high risk target populations. This should also support SFUSD dedicated oral health staffing.
School-based education and case management	
<b>INFRASTRUCTURE</b>	
DPH Infrastructure	<p><b>A. Personnel</b></p> <p>1) Backbone staffing to support SDDTAC a. A program manager to provide backbone staffing to the SDDTAC, including: i) Staffing full committee and 3 subcommittees in compliance with Sunshine and Brown Acts; ii) Coordinating among city agencies and funded CBOs to promote collective impact; iii) Help guide vision and strategy of SDDTAC, support aligned activities; manage SDDTAC work and timeline; and iv) Working with evaluation team to establish shared measurement practices b. As necessary, manage citywide/soda tax impact media c. Develop/Compile and Manage completion of SDDTAC Annual Report d. Manage SDDTAC biennial nominations process</p> <p>2) Staffing to support DPH SDDT implementation of community based grants a. Manage work of contractors, including: i) develop and implement CBO RFP process; ii) provide technical assistance for CBOs and merchants; iii) promote collective impact in coordination with SDDTAC backbone staff and City Agencies; and iv) work with evaluator and SDDTAC backbone staff to develop and implement evaluation plan and evaluation technical assistance.</p> <p>3) Staffing to support research and evaluation of SDDT impact, including data purchases as necessary a. At least 1.0 FTE epidemiologist; b. Support data analysis for annual report; c. Manage data purchases; d. participate in development and implementation of SDDT evaluation</p> <p><b>B. Professional services</b> including: i) technical assistance for funded CBO and FBO; ii) evaluation - to implement evaluation framework and evaluate funded city agencies, CBO and FBO, and process evaluations from applicants, and provide evaluation technical assistance; iii) city attorney to provide ongoing technical consultation</p> <p><b>C. Materials/Supplies</b> for meetings and printing costs</p> <p><b>D. Training</b> to support staff development</p> <p><b>E. Data</b> for collection (pricing), analysis (Nielsen) and purchase (IRI)</p>
Strategic planning	Strategic planning consultant to facilitate the SDDTAC in creating a strategic plan to guide the work. The development of this plan should be informed by multiple guiding principles to at least include: the 10 essential public health services, community input regarding its priorities and needs, lessons learned and best practices from other jurisdictions that have implemented similar taxes. The strategic planning process should address, among other aspects, the near and long term strategic goals of the SDDTAC; the role of CBOs, FBOs, and city agencies in achieving this vision; how the SDDTAC's goals fit within the context of city-wide coalitions with similarly aligned goals
Evaluation	Additional funds for evaluation may: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. support community based participatory research (ex. street intercept, merchant interview, focus groups)</li> <li>b. develop a system to collect data</li> <li>c. expand technical assistance</li> <li>d. conduct more qualitative evaluation that can help develop stories that describe impact of tax</li> </ul>
<b>WATER ACCESS</b>	

Water Access - SFUSD	To install hydration stations at low income schools serving students with health disparities (ex. Bayview, Chinatown, Mission), to elevate the schools to the Silver or Gold standard for hydration stations (i.e. one on each floor, centrally located, and conduct water education). Funds may support purchase of Spa Water Supplies, station maintenance and beautification, refillable water bottles to distribute to students, water testing.
Water Access - Public Spaces	To install or upgrade existing hydration station(s) in public spaces that target high-risk populations that are disproportionately targeted by the sugary drink industry (community identified public spaces). This funding should support high-quality, visually appealing, stations that can serve as a highlighted example of the potential for hydration stations. This can include beautifying and optimizing current station(s) or creating new one(s).
SF Recreation & Parks	To support staffing and supplies, including healthy food, for Peace Parks programs in target populations
HOPE SF Chronic Disease Equity	To fund services to public housing residents in the HopeSF sites. Public housing is a known risk factor for diet sensitive health disparities. The concentrated poverty and resource isolation intensify the impact of race and poverty. This funding will be used to support resident peers, trained as community health workers, to provide health education, chronic disease self-care programs, and linkages to care. Each of the 4 sites will have two full time peer community health workers who will provide a variety of programming. The funding supports both wages and some program expenses.

\* Funding should support programs and services that increase financial resources to purchase healthy food; access to healthy fruits and vegetables while minimizing processed foods for high-risk communities; foods that are affordable and convenient; and programs that support the consumption of healthy foods including the ability to prepare and store meals and the knowledge of basic nutrition, food safety and cooking. Priority programs should incorporate a community-based food security perspective and have demonstrated increased ability of food insecure residents to purchase, access, and consume consumption of healthy, fresh, low-to-no cost and culturally appropriate foods, including but not limited to food vouchers/incentives, transportation and delivery and prepared foods.

#### ONGOING ADDBACKS FROM FY 2017-2018

PROGRAM	DEPARTMENT	DESCRIPTION	
Food Security – Healthy Food Purchasing Supplement	DPH	Maintain current service levels: Vouchers and education to increase consumption and access to nutritious foods by increasing the ability of low income residents to purchase fruits and vegetables at neighborhood vendors and farmers’ markets in collaboration with DPH Healthy Retail Program.	50,000
Healthy Corner Store Retail	ECN	Promoting corner stores and markets to sell healthy products as opposed to sugary beverages, etc.	60,000
Food Security – Home Delivered Meals (HDM)	HSA	Address current waitlist: Delivery of nutritious meals, a daily-safety check/friendly interaction to homebound seniors/adults with disabilities who cannot shop or prepare meals themselves. Many providers offer home assessments/nutrition education/counseling.	477,000
Food Security – Congregate Lunch Meals	HSA	Address current waitlist: Daily, hot, nutritious meals for seniors/adults with disabilities	220,000
Senior Fitness	HSA	Senior fitness programming at IT Bookman and George Davis	200,000
Congregate Meal Program	HSA	Congregate Meal Program A	75,000
Congregate Meal Program	HSA	Congregate Meal Program B	75,000
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,157,000</b>

\*The Board of Supervisor’s made a series of addbacks in the FY 17-18 budget. When the Board of Supervisors makes changes to the Mayor’s budget, some of these changes are “addbacks” denoting the Board’s decision to add funds back for a particular service. Addbacks become part of an agency’s baseline budget.