SAN FRANCISCO
SAFE INJECTION SERVICES TASK FORCE

MEETING 3 • AUGUST 10TH, 2017 • 9AM-11AM • 25 VAN NESS AVE RM 610

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
TO INVESTIGATE:

- The potential opportunities and obstacles associated with safe injection services;
- The community need for such services; and
- The feasibility of opening and operating such services.

TO MAKE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
TASK FORCE KEY DATES 2017

JUNE 15
MEETING 1

JULY 21
MEETING 2

AUGUST 10
MEETING 3

SEPTEMBER 2017
RELEASE FINAL REPORT

ACTIVITIES

■ DEVELOP POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
■ DEVELOP & FINALIZE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
■ FINALIZE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
■ FORWARD TO TASK FORCE FOR FINAL COMMENTS

TOPICS

■ DISCUSSION
• Injection Drug Use & Harm Reduction in San Francisco
• About Safe Injection Services
• Benefits and Risks

■ DEVELOP POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
• PWID Survey Results
• Safe Injection Service Models
• Operations & Service Considerations

■ DEVELOP & FINALIZE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
• Business & Neighborhood Survey & Focus Group Results
• HR360 Survey Results
• Location and Siting Considerations

■ FINALIZE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
■ FORWARD TO TASK FORCE FOR FINAL COMMENTS
AGENDA

- DIRECTOR’S WELCOME, REMARKS & AGENDA REVIEW
- DRAFT POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS: OPERATIONS & SERVICES
- OVERVIEW OF SURVEYS & FOCUS GROUPS
- LOCATION & SITING
- DISCUSSION
- PUBLIC COMMENT
- CLOSING & NEXT STEPS
DRAFT POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

OPERATIONS & SERVICES
DRAFT POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS: OPERATIONS & SERVICES

1. Support creation of safe injection services in SF

MODEL

2. Support an integrated model that includes on-site services and linkages to other services.

3. Incorporate a peer component in the staffing model.

4. Consider expanding the types of drug consumption allowed on-site.
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

5. Engage the public throughout the planning process to optimize the benefits of safe injection services to the surrounding community.

6. Engage people who inject drugs throughout the planning process to optimize the usage and benefits of safe injection services.
7. Design safe injection services as a safe, clean, and welcoming space for people who inject drugs.

8. Recognize legal and real estate barriers to operating safe injection services and devise necessary contingency plans.

9. Conduct an assessment to determine the optimal service scale, capacity, work flow, hours of operation, and staffing mix.

10. Develop clear and measurable program goals and metrics.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Community Engagement</th>
<th>Program Planning &amp; Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Other Consumption Types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Public Engagement</td>
<td>6. PWID Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Safe, Clean, &amp; Welcoming Space</td>
<td>8. Contingency Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Needs Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10. Program Goals &amp; Metrics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMUNITY SURVEYS & FOCUS GROUP FINDINGS
QUESTIONNAIRE
- 96 PARTICIPANTS
- PEOPLE IN EARLY RECOVERY (<1 YEAR)
- 43% FORMER INJECTION DRUG USERS
- 13 QUESTIONS: 4 OPEN-ENDED
- 25 NEIGHBORHOODS REPRESENTED
- JUN 2017 - JUL 2017

- 70% MALE
- 25% FEMALE
- 2% TRANS FEMALE
- 1% TRANS MALE
- 1% GAY
- 1% N/A

- 37% BLACK
- 35% WHITE
- 20% HIS/LAT
- 6% ASIAN/PAC ISL
- 5% NAT AM
- 4% OTHER

ONLINE SURVEY
- 565 RESPONSES
- SF BUSINESS OWNERS, NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, & RESIDENTS
- 14 QUESTIONS: 4 OPEN-ENDED
- 27 ZIP CODES REPRESENTED
- JUN 2017 - JUL 2017

- 49% FEMALE
- 45% MALE
- 4% N/A
- 1% OTHER
- 1% TRANS MALE
- 0% TRANS FEMALE

- 73% WHITE
- 8% ASIAN
- 8% HIS/LAT
- 6% 2+
- 6% OTHER
- 5% BLACK
- 2% AM IN/ALA NAT
- 2% NAT HAW/PAC ISL

FOCUS GROUP
- 18 PARTICIPANTS
- SF BUSINESS OWNERS, NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, & RESIDENTS
- 11 QUESTIONS: 8 OPEN-ENDED
- 9 ZIP CODES REPRESENTED
- JULY 2017

- 67% FEMALE
- 33% MALE

- 78% WHITE
- 11% OTHER
- 6% ASIAN
- 6% BLACK
- 6% HIS/LAT

AGE RANGE
- <20 0%
- 21-30 16%
- 31-40 26%
- 41-50 22%
- 51-60 3%
- 61-70 0%
- 71-80 0%
- 81+ 0%

- <20 <1%
- 21-30 14%
- 31-40 15%
- 41-50 15%
- 51-60 7%
- 61-70 4%
- 70+ 0%

- 4% 28%
- 17% 28%
- 17% 28%
- 17% 28%
- 17% 28%
- 17% 28%
OVERVIEW

- 96 participants
- People in early recovery (less than 1 year)
- 43% former injection drug users
- 25 neighborhoods represented
- Jun 2017 - Jul 2017

DEMOGRAPHICS

- mostly male (70%)
- broad age ranges
- mostly Black/African-American (37%) and White (35%)
- 1 out of 5 Hispanic/Latinx
OVERVIEW

- 565 responses
- SF business owners, neighborhood groups, and residents
- 14 questions: 4 open-ended
- responses from 27 ZIP codes
- Jun 2017 - Jul 2017

DEMOGRAPHICS

- 49% female
- 45% male
- broad age ranges
- predominantly White (73%)
FOCUS GROUPS: SF BUSINESS OWNERS & RESIDENTS

OVERVIEW

- 18 total participants
- 2 focus groups
- SF business owners, neighborhood groups, and residents
- 11 questions
- July 10th & 11th, 2017

DEMOGRAPHICS

- mostly female (67%)
- broad age ranges
- older average age
- predominantly White (78%)
COMMON THEMES

- BENEFITS
- CONCERNS
- IDEAS
DO YOU SUPPORT SAFE INJECTION SERVICES?

AT LEAST HALF OF SURVEY RESPONDENTS & FOCUS GROUP PARTICIPANTS SUPPORT SAFE INJECTION SERVICES.
## COMMON BENEFITS OF SIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BENEFIT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REDUCE PUBLIC DRUG USE</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REDUCE PUBLICLY DISCARDED SYRINGES</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REDUCE DRUG OVERDOSES</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REDUCE SPREAD OF DISEASES</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONNECT DRUG USERS TO TREATMENT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORE EFFICIENT USE OF PUBLIC RESOURCES</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFER STREETS</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFE SPACE FOR PWID</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## COMMON CONCERNS OF SIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONCERN</th>
<th>INFORMACIÓN</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USERS WILL NOT USE IT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONDONES DRUG USE</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENABLES ADDICTION AND/OR RELAPSE</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVERS RESOURCES FROM OTHER TREATMENT SERVICES</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSUFFICIENT CAPACITY &amp; RESOURCES TO MEET NEED</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## COMMON CONCERNS OF SIS (CONTINUED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONCERN</th>
<th>INFORMATION SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATTRACTS DRUG USERS TO SURROUNDING AREA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRACTS DRUG DEALERS TO SURROUNDING AREA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRACTS CRIME TO SURROUNDING AREA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCREASES LOITERING OUTSIDE SIS FACILITY</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG LINES OF PWID OUTSIDE SIS FACILITY</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY OPPOSITION TO SIS</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## COMMON IDEAS TO ADDRESS CONCERNS

### ENGAGEMENT & OUTREACH

- Involve Neighborhood & Businesses in Planning  
  - ✔️
- Involve PWID in Planning  
  - ✔️
- Develop an Ongoing Public Input Process  
  - ✔️

### PUBLIC EDUCATION

- Provide Ongoing Education on SIS  
  - ✔️
- Develop a Central Information Source  
  - ✔️
# Common Ideas to Address Concerns (continued)

**Idea**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safety &amp; Security</th>
<th>Information Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENFORCE ZERO TOLERANCE ON DRUG DEALING OUTSIDE SIS</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENFORCE ZERO TOLERANCE FOR DRUG USE OUTSIDE SIS</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partnerships &amp; Design</th>
<th>Information Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRONG COLLABORATIONS WITH OTHER PARTNERS</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRACTIVE DESIGN THAT BLENDS WITH NEIGHBORHOOD</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LOCATION & SITING
### WHERE SHOULD SIS SERVICES BE LOCATED?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTERISTIC</th>
<th>INFORMATION SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WITH EXISTING SERVICES</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHERE PWID ARE MOST LIKELY TO BE</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN OR NEAR A MEDICAL FACILITY</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMEWHERE DISCRETE</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT NEAR SCHOOLS &amp; PLAYGROUNDS</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT NEAR BUSINESS &amp; TOURIST AREAS</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHERE SHOULD SIS SERVICES BE LOCATED?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEIGHBORHOOD</th>
<th>INFORMATION SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MULTIPLE LOCATIONS THROUGHOUT SF</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TENDERLOIN</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIVIC CENTER</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSION</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMA</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYVIEW</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAIGHT-ASHBURY</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUGUST 10, 2017
SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
CONSIDERATIONS FOR SIS LOCATION & SITING

1. MULTIPLE LOCATIONS THROUGHOUT SF

2. LOCATE SIS WHERE PWID ARE

3. LOCATE SIS WHERE EXISTING SERVICES ARE DELIVERED TO PWID
4. Engage law enforcement agencies to determine public safety priorities & strategies

5. Engage stakeholders from potential neighborhoods

6. Develop a public information campaign on the benefits of SIS, including a central information source for the public
## SUMMARY CONSIDERATIONS FOR SIS LOCATION & SITING

### LOCATION
1. MULTIPLE LOCATIONS
2. WHERE PWID
3. EXISTING SERVICES FOR PWID ARE

### ENGAGEMENT & EDUCATION
4. ENGAGE STAKEHOLDERS
5. ENGAGE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES
6. PUBLIC INFORMATION
DISCUSSION QUESTION
WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS ON THESE CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE LOCATION OF SIS IN SAN FRANCISCO?
TASK FORCE KEY DATES 2017

JUNE 15
MEETING 1

JULY 21
MEETING 2

AUGUST 10
MEETING 3

SEPTEMBER 2017
RELEASE FINAL REPORT

ACTIVITIES

TOPICS

■ DISCUSSION

• Injection Drug Use & Harm Reduction in San Francisco
• About Safe Injection Services
• Benefits and Risks

■ DEVELOP POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

• PWID Survey Results
• Safe Injection Service Models
• Operations & Service Considerations

■ DEVELOP & FINALIZE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

• Business & Neighborhood Survey & Focus Group Results
• HR360 Survey Results
• Location and Siting Considerations

■ FINALIZE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

■ FORWARD TO TASK FORCE FOR FINAL COMMENTS
PUBLIC COMMENT

2 MINUTES PER PERSON
CLOSING COMMENTS & NEXT STEPS