

Cannabis State Legalization Task Force

DOCUMENT RED
Recommendations Framework: Retail Licensing

Technical	Social Justice and Community Engagement
<p>1. Retail Licensing Elements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. List three main goals of the licensing process for retail cannabis. b. Consider the types of retail businesses that the Task Force has recommended and/or discussed, e.g., on-site dining experiences (Year I Recommendation PSSE 28), storefront locations, accessory use (Year I Recommendation RCAF 3), delivery (Year I Recommendations RCAF 8, 9-11), bakery (Year I Recommendation RCAF) 2. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. What criteria could be used to evaluate the various Citywide and neighborhood impacts of each type of retail? (e.g., ease of access for under 21 populations, operating hours, foot traffic, public visibility, sales volume) ii. Would there be different licensing requirements or standards that correspond to this criteria? iii. Should there be a local distinction between medical and adult use retail licensing? If yes, would any differentiating licensing standards apply? (<i>see</i> Year I Recommendation RCAF 12). c. What licensing process, if any, should apply to medical cannabis dispensaries that wish to transition into the adult use sector or add an adult use retail component to their current activities? How would this process differ from that of an adult use retailer without a previous dispensary license? (<i>See</i> Year I Recommendation RCAF 12; LUSJ 14) d. For cannabis businesses that would likely require multiple local permits/licenses (e.g., DPH food permit + retail cannabis permit), how would changes in the licensee’s status with respect to a non-cannabis permit impact the cannabis permit? 	<p>1. Community Engagement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Consider the community engagement issues and considerations identified for non-retail licensing – e.g. “NIMBY-ism,” perpetuation of the illicit market, traffic, safety concerns, environmental hazards, excessive concentration of licensees in a given locality, youth access and exposure, etc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. What, if any, of these issues are also applicable to retail licensing? ii. Would any apply in a heightened and/or more direct way within the retail context? iii. Are there others not included here that are uniquely applicable to retail? iv. How, if at all, should the community engagement process/strategy differ for retail as compared to non-retail licensing? b. How can the local retail licensing structure be specifically designed to limit youth access and exposure? <p>2. Social Justice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. How, if at all, do barriers to entering the retail industry differ from barriers to entering the non-retail industry? If there are differences, what unique strategies are needed to ensure equitable access to the retail industry? b. Consider also the Task Force’s Year I Social Justice recommendations (LUSJ 15-31), in particular Year I LUSJ Recommendation 24. Would any of these recommendations have particular significance within the retail

DOCUMENT RED
Recommendations Framework: Retail Licensing

- e. The Task Force has discussed nurseries as a potential retail type. Make any recommendations that would apply specifically to the licensing of this type of business.

2. On-Site Consumption

- a. What would the local licensing requirements or considerations be for on-site consumption at a cannabis retail location? (*see* Year I Recommendations PSSE 7-11, 26)
- b. For temporary events that allow cannabis sales, would similar or different requirements apply?

3. Non-Profit Licensing

- a. Consider Year I Recommendation RCAF 7 and Proposition 64, Section 26070.5 regarding non-profit licenses. What licensing conditions and/or requirements should be considered for local non-profit licenses, as compared to those that are for-profit in nature? What would qualify an operator for a nonprofit license in San Francisco?

4. Tourism/Hospitality

- a. Should cannabis retail and non-retail locations in San Francisco be allowed to give tours of their facilities to the public?
- b. If so, what, if any, licensing conditions would apply?

sector, as opposed to non-retail?

3. Tourism/hospitality

- a. What kinds of cannabis retail facilities might tourists wish to access here in San Francisco?
- b. How, if at all, might residents and tourists access these retail facilities differently?
- c. Would these differences also warrant retail licensing requirements for tourism-related activities?
 - i. If so, what would these requirements be?

4. Other

- a. Review the social justice and community engagement non-retail licensing recommendations. Check yes/no to indicate, which, if any, recommendation is also applicable within the context of retail licensing.

-END-

DOCUMENT RED
Recommendations Framework: Retail Licensing

<p>5. Other</p> <p>a. Review the technical non-retail licensing recommendations. Check yes/no to indicate, which, if any, recommendation is also applicable within the context of retail licensing.</p> <p>-END-</p>	
---	--