SAN FRANCISCO CANNABIS STATE LEGALIZATION TASK FORCE

TASK FORCE MEETING:
PUBLIC SAFETY AND SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT

Meeting 4  May 11, 2016
Agenda Item 1:
Welcome and Agenda Review
Agenda Item 2

Presentation

Recommendation Drafting Process
Established by BOS via Ordinance 115-15

Purpose
Advise policymakers on matters relating to the potential legalization of cannabis in California
Task Force Meeting Topics – YEAR 1

- Public Safety and Social Environment
- Land Use
- Regulation and City Agency Framework
Meeting Structure

INFORMATION

1. Issue Brief and Research
2. Spotlight Panel
3. Personal experiences and knowledge

DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS

FULL MEETING
1. Group workshop
2. Discussion
3. Consensus-building
Recommendation Drafting Process

Task Force Full Meeting:

- Small Workgroups to **DRAFT** Recommendations
  - Spotlight Panelists from Subcommittee Meeting to Facilitate

- Full Task Force to **FINALIZE** Recommendations
Recommendation Drafting Process

Public Safety and Social Environment

Workgroups

Public Safety
Public Consumption
Youth Access and Exposure
Recommendation Drafting Process

Each workgroup has 45 minutes to:

- Identify group members to (1) take notes, (2) keep time and (3) report out on recommendations
- Discuss and draft recommendations
- Review recommendations
- Write on flip chart sheet
Document A

Meeting and Recommendation Drafting Instructions

Cannabis State Legalization Task Force

1. General Process
   The San Francisco Cannabis State Legalization Task Force was created as an advisory body for the City’s policymakers about adult cannabis use become legalized in the future. The Task Force Subcommittee and Full Task Force meetings are therefore designed to complement each other and lend the Task Force towards drafting this goal—i.e., the development of recommendations on important cannabis adult use legalization issues.
   
   A. Subcommittee Meetings: During these meetings, Task Force Members will have in-depth discussions on each major topic area, i.e., (1) public safety and social equity, (2) land use, and (3) regulation and city agency framework. These discussions allow important issues to come to light, and these will then be used to create the recommendations development tools and process for the subsequent Full Task Force Meeting.
   
   B. Full Task Force Meetings: During Full Meetings of the Task Force, the Task Force will develop recommendations related to one of the above topic areas. With regard to Public Safety and Social Equity. The upcoming Full Meeting will be divided into two main activities:
      a. Small workgroups will DRAFT recommendations
      b. Full Task Force will FINALIZE recommendations via consensus

2. Recommendation Drafting Process
   A. Small workgroups will DRAFT Recommendations: During the first half of the Full Meeting, Task Force Members will be randomly assigned into three workgroups to develop recommendations in one of the below areas. Snapshots from the Public Safety and Social Equity Subcommittee Meeting will serve as facilitators for each workgroup.
      
      The main discussion areas for each workgroup are as follows:
      Workgroup 1: Public Safety
         a. Impacts of the adult "public"
         b. Neighborhood Specifics
         c. SNAP Enforcement and Training Priorities
      Workgroup 2: Public Consumption
         a. Smoking in the "public" places
         b. On-site consumption per AUMA
         c. Overconsumption and encouraging arts and responsible use
      Workgroup 3: Youth Access and Exposure
         a. Education
         b. Preventing Sales to Minors
         c. Advertising
         d. Deterioration Programs and Descriminalization Options for Youth
Recommendation Drafting Tools

Document B

Recommendation Framework Document
**Document C**

**AUMA Provisions Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General</th>
<th>AUMA-realted provisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| General | • 510 million annual dividend (FY 2019 - FY 2019) to California public university (or universities) to research and evaluate the effects of the AUMA and make recommendations to the Governor and Legislature regarding possible amendments. Universities required to publish reports on their findings every two years (or sooner). Research topics include:  
  - Impacts on public health  
  - Effectiveness of labeling requirements and advertising and marketing restrictions on preventing underage access and use  
  - Health-related effects among users of varying potency levels of cannabis and cannabis products  
  (p. 46 - 47 - Tax and Revenue Sec. 34015) |
Recommendation Drafting Tools

Document D

Recommendation Grid(s)

- Public Safety
- Public Consumption
- Youth Access and Exposure
# Recommendation Drafting Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOCUMENT A</td>
<td><strong>Instructions</strong> – explains the process for making recommendations</td>
<td>Refer to it for critical information about the process and tools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| DOCUMENT B | **Recommendation Framework** - organizes Task Force Members’ thoughts and ideas from the Subcommittee Meeting into discrete areas for recommendation development | Use this document:  
  - to organize discussion around the issues  
  - as a checklist to ensure that all issue areas have been discussed |
| DOCUMENT C | **AUMA Provisions Table** – outlines relevant Public Safety and Social Environment AUMA provisions | Use as a reference tool                                                                                                               |
| DOCUMENT D | **Recommendation Grid** - outlines possible recommendation types and prompts Members to think about a particular issue in different ways | Use as personal brainstorming tool                                                                                                                                                 |
Recommendation Drafting Guidelines

- **Consensus-building** – seeks consent of all Members and reaching a resolution, even if it is not the preferred option of each individual

- **Consensus principles**
  - Evidence-based approach
  - Youth protection
  - Secondhand smoke protections

- **Rationale** – why is this recommendation important?

- **AUMA provisions** as foundation

- Parking Lot issues: fit into future meeting schedule

- **Note:** Meeting schedule provides another opportunity to finalize recommendations
Agenda Item 3:
Draft Recommendations - Workgroups

**Public Safety**
Dave Falzon, Barbara Fugate
Lourdes Russell
Liam McCarthy
Sara Payan
Terrance Alan

**Public Consumption**
Duncan Ley and Jocelyn Kane
Tomas Aragon
Dan Sider
Jesse Stout
Erich Pearson
Sarah Shrader

**Youth Access and Exposure**
Daisy Ozim, Tom McElroy
Fenicia Jacks – SFUSD
Tim Morland
Michelle Aldrich
Thea Selby
Jennifer Garcia
Agenda Item 4:

Break
Agenda Item 5:

Guided Discussion:
Workgroups to Present Recommendations
Agenda Item 6:

Public Comment
Agenda Item 7:

Guest Speaker – Jordan Wellington, Esq.

Welcome!
Agenda Item 8:

Wrap-up and Next Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 8, 2016</td>
<td>1PM – 4PM</td>
<td>25 Van Ness, Rm. 610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcommittee Meeting–</td>
<td></td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>