Work Group to Re-Envision the Jail Replacement Project

August 12, 2016
Opening Remarks

- **Barbara A. Garcia**
  - Co-Chair, Director of Health, San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH)

- **Vicki Hennessy**
  - Co-Chair, Sheriff, City and County of San Francisco

- **Roma Guy**
  - Co-Chair, Taxpayers for Public Safety
Today’s Agenda

1. Co-Chair Opening Remarks (10 min)
2. Agenda Review (10 min)
3. Data Review Considerations (45 min)
4. Report-out – Upstream Investments to Address Racial Disparities (30 min)
5. Public Comment
6. Issue Brief – Intercepts 4 & 5 (30 min)
7. Discuss Approach to Prioritization (15 min)
8. Closing Remarks & Next Steps (5 min)
9. Adjourn
Data Review Considerations
Key Questions:

- How can the information from the data review help to focus the Work Group’s recommendations?
- How can this information help inform any new recommendations?
- Given the data limitations and opportunities for data collection improvement, what specific data systems recommendations can be made?
Report-out on Focused Conversation to Discuss Upstream Investments to Address Racial Disparities
Overview

- Work Group members, along with two Work Group co-chairs, discussed what upstream interventions and strategies could address the racial disparities present in the criminal justice system. From their focused conversation, one key recommendation emerged:

  **Set specific goals for reducing racial/ethnic disparities in the jail, including incentives, to steer decisions and success measures to track progress.**

- This recommendation lends itself to a Collective Impact response/approach:
  - Common agenda (e.g., reduce racial/ethnic disparities in the jail)
  - Common measurement (e.g., reduce proportion of African Americans in the jail by x%)
  - Mutually reinforcing activities (e.g., address community subcultures, biased behavior, trauma-informed approaches, intergenerational approaches, inside-out programming)
  - Continuous communication/collaboration across partners (e.g., partnership across the criminal justice system as well as other non-traditional partners)
Overview (cont.)

The strategies that emerged from the conversation are consistent with those identified during Work Group meetings:

- Improve coordination of re-entry services to reduce fragmentation of services
- Prioritize homeless individuals coming out of jail and treatment programs into housing
- Support the creation of a 24-hour release center
- Focus on special populations in and out of jail (i.e. Seniors)
Public Comment
Issue Brief Overview
## Issue Brief Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Work Group Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intercept 4</strong>—Incarceration and Reentry Planning</td>
<td>Planning efficiently and effectively for safe reentry into the community of those who have been sentenced and are eligible to exit custody.</td>
<td>Reduce the number of days that those who are sentenced to jail time and are eligible for release to an alternative program spend in custody.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intercept 5</strong>—Reentry</td>
<td>The services that support post-incarceration reentry into the community and help to reduce recidivism rates among the formerly incarcerated.</td>
<td>Deliver effective post-incarceration reentry services to support the health and wellbeing of the formerly incarcerated, and reduce their chances of recidivism.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation Categories
Recommendation Categories

- All recommendations proposed by the Work Group to-date, categorized. Also includes:
  - Recommendations from Facilities Discussion (July 8th Work Group Meeting)
  - Recommendation from the Racial Disparities Conversation
  - Recommendations from Issue Brief – Intercepts 4 & 5

Guiding Questions:

- What is missing?
- What recommendations could be further detailed?
Prioritization Criteria
1. **Aligned with goal of reducing jail population safely and justly such that City can permanently close County Jails 3 & 4**
   Scale: Highly aligned (0) Moderately aligned (1) Not aligned (2)

2. **Impact on racial disparities**
   Scale: High Impact (0) Medium impact (1) Low impact (2)

3. **Implementation difficulty (i.e., complexity/risk) addresses cost and facility needs**
   Scale: Over 5 years (0) over 2 years - 5 years (1) 6 months - 2 years (2)
Next Steps

Work Group Meeting #7

- Friday, September 9th at 2p.m.

Agenda Topics

- Recommendations and Prioritization
Contact

Website

Email
JRPworkgroup@sfgov.org