

# Work Group to Re-Envision the Jail Replacement Project

August 12, 2016



harder+company  
community research

# Opening Remarks

## + **Barbara A. Garcia**

- Co-Chair, Director of Health, San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH)

## + **Vicki Hennessy**

- Co-Chair, Sheriff, City and County of San Francisco

## + **Roma Guy**

- Co-Chair, Taxpayers for Public Safety

# Today's Agenda

- 1** Co-Chair Opening Remarks (10 min)
- 2** Agenda Review (10 min)
- 3** Data Review Considerations (45 min)
- 4** Report-out – Upstream Investments to Address Racial Disparities (30 min)
- 5** Public Comment
- 6** Issue Brief – Intercepts 4 & 5 (30 min)
- 7** Discuss Approach to Prioritization (15 min)
- 8** Closing Remarks & Next Steps (5 min)
- 9** Adjourn

# Data Review Considerations

# Data Review Guiding Questions

## Key Questions:

- + How can the information from the data review help to focus the Work Group's recommendations?
- + How can this information help inform any new recommendations?
- + Given the data limitations and opportunities for data collection improvement, what specific data systems recommendations can be made?

**Report-out on Focused  
Conversation to Discuss  
Upstream Investments to  
Address Racial Disparities**

# Overview

- + Work Group members, along with two Work Group co-chairs, discussed what upstream interventions and strategies could address the racial disparities present in the criminal justice system. From their focused conversation, one key recommendation emerged:

**Set specific goals for reducing racial/ethnic disparities in the jail, including incentives, to steer decisions and success measures to track progress.**

- + This recommendation lends itself to a Collective Impact response/approach:
  - + Common agenda (e.g., reduce racial/ethnic disparities in the jail)
  - + Common measurement (e.g., reduce proportion of African Americans in the jail by x%)
  - + Mutually reinforcing activities (e.g., address community subcultures, biased behavior, trauma-informed approaches, intergenerational approaches, inside-out programming)
  - + Continuous communication/collaboration across partners (e.g., partnership across the criminal justice system as well as other non-traditional partners)

# Overview (cont.)

The strategies that emerged from the conversation are consistent with those identified during Work Group meetings:

- + Improve coordination of re-entry services to reduce fragmentation of services
- + Prioritize homeless individuals coming out of jail and treatment programs into housing
- + Support the creation of a 24-hour release center
- + Focus on special populations in and out of jail (i.e. Seniors)



# Public Comment

# Issue Brief Overview

# Issue Brief Overview

Intercept	Definition	Work Group Goal
<b>Intercept 4–</b> Incarceration and Reentry Planning	Planning efficiently and effectively for safe reentry into the community of those who have been sentenced and are eligible to exit custody.	Reduce the number of days that those who are sentenced to jail time and are eligible for release to an alternative program spend in custody.
<b>Intercept 5–</b> Reentry	The services that support post-incarceration reentry into the community and help to reduce recidivism rates among the formerly incarcerated.	Deliver effective post-incarceration reentry services to support the health and wellbeing of the formerly incarcerated, and reduce their chances of recidivism.

# Recommendation Categories

# Recommendation Categories

- + All recommendations proposed by the Work Group to-date, categorized. Also includes:
  - + Recommendations from Facilities Discussion (July 8<sup>th</sup> Work Group Meeting)
  - + Recommendation from the Racial Disparities Conversation
  - + Recommendations from Issue Brief – Intercepts 4 &5

## Guiding Questions:

- + What is missing?
- + What recommendations could be further detailed?

# Prioritization Criteria

# Draft Prioritization Criteria

## Prioritization criteria

- 1. Aligned with goal of reducing jail population safely and justly such that City can permanently close County Jails 3 & 4**  
Scale: Highly aligned (0) Moderately aligned (1) Not aligned (2)
- 2. Impact on racial disparities**  
Scale: High Impact (0) Medium impact (1) Low impact (2)
- 3. Implementation difficulty (i.e., complexity/risk) addresses cost and facility needs**  
Scale: Over 5 years (0) over 2 years -5 years (1) 6 months - 2 years (2)

# Next Steps

## Work Group Meeting #7

- + Friday, **September 9<sup>th</sup>** at 2p.m.

## Agenda Topics

- + Recommendations and Prioritization



# Contact

## Website

<http://bit.ly/JRPworkgroup>

## Email

[JRPworkgroup@sfgov.org](mailto:JRPworkgroup@sfgov.org)