



LEAD SF

Policy Committee Action Minutes

Date: Monday, July 24, 2017

In attendance:

Co-Chairs	Colleen Chawla (SFDPH, alternate for Barbara Garcia, Director of Health), George Gascón (SFDA, District Attorney), Chief Bill Scott (SFPD)
Committee Members	Lauren Bell (Adult Probation Department, alternate for Karen Fletcher), Terence McCarty (BART Police), Laura Thomas (Drug Policy Alliance), Al Gilbert (Felton Institute), Chief Deputy Kathy Gorwood (alternate for Sheriff Vicki Hennessy) Kenneth Kim (Glide Harm Reduction, alternate for Kyriell Noon), Jeff Adachi (Public Defender), Theshia Naidoo (Sentencing Commission), Jennifer Kiss (Tenderloin Health Improvement Partnership), Joe Calderon (Workgroup to Re-envision the Jail Replacement Project)
Attendees	Deputy Chief Mikail Ali (SFPD) Maria McKee (SFDA), Adam Schaffer (SFDA), Carole McKindley-Alvarez (Felton Institute), Roz Picos (Felton Institute), Robin Ortiz (Felton Institute), Rani Singh (SFDA), Bevan Dufty (BART District #9 Director – Board of Directors),
LEAD SF Project Team	Angelica Almeida (Department of Public Health), Michelle Magee (Harder+Company Community Research), Chandreve Clay (Hatchuel Tabernik and Associates)

Agenda Topic	Discussion, Agreements, Key Learnings	Action and Follow-up Items
Welcome & Opening Remarks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Michelle Magee welcomed the committee and guests, and provided an overview of the agenda. 	
Seattle LEAD Site Visit Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Laura Thomas provided an overview of the Seattle LEAD site visit plan. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The site visit is an opportunity to learn more from prosecutors, police, and case managers about how LEAD has been implemented and to observe an operational workgroup meeting. Policy committee members who have previously attended a site visit found it to be very informative. ○ This invitation is open to committee members and their staff that are supporting LEAD implementation. There is an opportunity for more intensive training and shadowing for Police Department and BART staff and case management and social worker staff. ○ Approximately 15 to 30 people may attend. Travel expenses will be reimbursed (e.g., cost of air fare, hotel for two nights, ground transportation, and meals) by a grant from the San Francisco Foundation. ○ The tentative dates for the site visits are Tuesday, September 5 through Thursday, September 7 and/or Tuesday, October 3 through Thursday, October 5. Committee members will receive an invitation and after the RSVPs are received we will confirm the final date(s). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Refer to the LEAD SF website for the PowerPoint presentation and accompanying materials; Materials to be posted to website ■ Email Seattle LEAD Site Visit invitation to Committee members; done
Crime Type Eligibility Recommendation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Rani Singh reviewed San Francisco LEAD's crime type eligibility recommendations, a comparison to Seattle LEAD's eligibility criteria, and a potential San Francisco-Seattle hybrid approach for each recommendation. Highlights from the discussion included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ San Francisco recommendations are based on collaborative court criteria. Consistency may 	



LEAD SF

Policy Committee Action Minutes

Agenda Topic	Discussion, Agreements, Key Learnings	Action and Follow-up Items
	<p>be desired for alignment, or different criteria may be desired to reach populations who are not eligible for collaborative courts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Weight for drug possession/sale recently changed in collaborative courts from 3 grams to 5 grams. ○ Discussion around how individuals on probation/parole may fit into LEAD. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Many individuals likely to be referred to LEAD are on probation/parole at the point of referral, and would benefit from LEAD. If individuals were dropped from LEAD because they are on probation/parole it would be disruptive to the program. ▪ LEAD approach differs from community courts and probation, the role of leverage, and how to coordinate services and avoid duplication. The focus on harm reduction is a key characteristic of LEAD. ○ In Seattle, street level officer buy in (i.e., belief that LEAD will work and has value) was a significant factor in LEAD's success. It is important to build buy in for the LEAD approach of getting participants "in the door" and working with them through a harm reduction approach. ○ "Exclusions" in Seattle are broader: there is no "wash out" for several charges and a 10 year "wash out" for robbery and assault. These criteria would disqualify many people in San Francisco who may benefit from LEAD. In San Francisco, the eight year "wash out" is based on collaborative court standards, and waiver criteria exist for the exclusion to be waived. ○ The BSCC and SB 843 require that LEAD focus on pre-booking diversions. Some Committee members expressed concern regarding capacity being filled quickly, and noted the potential to increase social contact referrals from 50 to 200. Social contact may also result in referrals to other programs outside of LEAD. Some individuals who come in contact with LEAD may already have case management at existing clinics; they can remain connected to their case manager and still benefit from LEAD. <p>▪ Update: Public Defender's Office and District Attorney's Office will discuss further prior to next Policy Committee.</p>	
SF LEAD Community Based Program Services Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Kenneth Kim from Glide and Al Gilbert, Carole McKindley-Alvarez, and Robin Ortiz from Felton presented an overview of the services their organizations provide, their roles in LEAD, and their approaches to case management. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Refer to the LEAD SF website for the PowerPoint presentation and accompanying materials; Materials to be posted to website
Operational Workgroup Update	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Angelica Almeida provided an update from the July 14 Operational Workgroup meeting. ▪ Time study is being implemented to demonstrate the time staff are committing to LEAD. ▪ The evaluation design is under development and will be discussed during a meeting with California State University (CSU) Long Beach on August 4th, which is open to all Policy Committee members, Workgroup members, and staff involved with LEAD. CSU Long Beach is the statewide evaluator and has proposed an experimental evaluation design, which the Operational Workgroup has concerns 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Draft procedures will be presented at August 29th Policy Committee meeting ▪ October and January Policy Committee meeting dates



LEAD SF

Policy Committee Action Minutes

Agenda Topic	Discussion, Agreements, Key Learnings	Action and Follow-up Items
	<p>about, particularly that it would prevent eligible people from participating in LEAD. This will be discussed further in the August Operational Workgroup</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ The Operational Workgroup will work on the initial assessment and contact with providers, draft procedures for law enforcement, and pocket resource guide for law enforcement at the next meeting on, will be presented relevant materials at the next Policy Committee meeting (August 29). 	<p>TBD</p>
<p>Final discussion questions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Questions for Committee Members to discuss at next meeting (August 29): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) What would LEAD SF program success look like to you? 2) What training and technical assistance from the LEAD National Support Bureau do you think would be helpful? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Committee members (and guests) prepare responses to two questions (see left) to discuss at August 29th Policy Committee meeting

NEXT POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING: August 29th, 2:30-4:00 pm