



San Francisco Department of Human Services  
County Adult Assistance Programs  
Personal Assisted Employment Services Program

**Profile of PAES Recipients  
and  
Factors That Influence PAES Outcomes**  
Analysis of PAES Recipients Enrolled Jan 99 - Jun 00

Presented to

**Dorothy Enisman, Program Director**  
County Adult Assistance Programs – Department of Human Services

**Barbara A. Garcia, MPA, Deputy Director of Health**  
Community Programs – Department of Public Health

January 31, 2003

Presented by the

**PAES Analysis Team**

Randy Reiter, Ph.D., MPH, Department of Public Health  
Maria X. Martinez, Department of Public Health  
Thomas Neill, Ph.D., Department of Human Services  
Carol Chapman, M.A., Department of Public Health  
Saumitra Sengupta, Ph.D., Department of Public Health

## **PAES Analysis Team**

### **Randy Reiter, Ph.D., MPH**

Senior Health Planner and Epidemiologist, Population Health Assessment  
San Francisco Department of Public Health

### **Maria X. Martinez**

Deputy Director, Community Programs  
San Francisco Department of Public Health

### **Thomas Neill, Ph.D.**

Manager of Behavioral Health Services, County Adult Assistance Program  
San Francisco Department of Human Services

### **Carol Chapman, M.A.**

Program Analyst, Community Substance Abuse Services  
San Francisco Department of Public Health

### **Saumitra Sengupta, Ph.D.**

Research Psychologist, Community Mental Health Services  
San Francisco Department of Public Health

With assistance from:

### **Po Yee Lindahl**

PAES Program Analyst  
San Francisco Department of Public Health

### **Ann S. Santos**

Epidemiologist I, Community Substance Abuse Services  
San Francisco Department of Public Health

### **Annette Goldman-Mosqueda**

Consultant (Focus Groups)

### **Dan Najjar**

Consultant (Programmer Analyst)

# PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

Table 1 - Overall PAES Performance		
1	2,930	B+I+J+K 100% A. Cohort
2	714	24.4% B. Still in PAES as of 12-00
3		470 16.0% C. Achieved Income Standard Through Employment - Maintained
4		211 7.2% D. Achieved Income Standard Through Employment - did not maintain
5		C + D 681 23.2% E. Total Ever Achieved Income Standard Through Employment
6		279 9.5% F. Total Achieved Income Standard Through Other Income
7		E + F 960 32.8% G. Total Ever Favorable
8		889 30.3% H. Total Ever UNfavorable
9	1,849	G + H 63.1% I. Have Favorable or UNfavorable Outcome as of 12-00
10	124	4.2% J. Neutral Status
11	243	8.3% K. No Known Status as of 12-00
12		E divided by I = <b>37%</b> F.O.R. - Favorable Outcome Ratio - Employment
13	Outcome Ratios	F divided by I = <b>15%</b> F.O.R. - Favorable Outcome Ratio - Other Income
14		G divided by I = <b>52%</b> F.O.R. - Favorable Outcome Ratio - Total

Table 2 - PT Employment During PAES		Data includes: last status in CDS of everyone in the cohort - unduplicated clients																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N											
1	Total Part-Time (PT)	1,474	50%	373	25.3%	390	26.5%	178	12.1%	568	38.5%	84	5.7%	652	44.2%	257	17.4%	909	61.7%	67	4.5%	125	8.5%	62%	9%	72%
2	No PT	1,456	50%	341	23.4%	80	5.5%	33	2.3%	113	7.8%	195	13.4%	308	21.2%	632	43.4%	940	64.6%	57	3.9%	118	8.1%	12%	21%	33%
3	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%
4	Entered w/ PT income	766	26%	181	23.6%	202	26.4%	77	10.1%	279	36.4%	55	7.2%	334	43.6%	141	18.4%	475	62.0%	45	5.9%	65	8.5%	59%	12%	70%
5	Got PT after entry	708	24%	192	27.1%	188	26.6%	101	14.3%	289	40.8%	29	4.1%	318	44.9%	116	16.4%	434	61.3%	22	3.1%	60	8.5%	67%	7%	73%
For those enrolled during the first six months																										
6	Entered w/ PT income	540	18%	115	21.3%	152	28.1%	61	11.3%	213	39.4%	45	8.3%	258	47.8%	82	15.2%	340	63.0%	38	7.0%	47	8.7%	63%	13%	76%
7	Got PT after entry	400	14%	94	23.5%	114	28.5%	62	15.5%	176	44.0%	15	3.8%	191	47.8%	78	19.5%	269	67.3%	10	2.5%	27	6.8%	65%	6%	71%
8	Never had PT income	826	28%	145	17.6%	53	6.4%	18	2.2%	71	8.6%	131	15.9%	202	24.5%	379	45.9%	581	70.3%	38	4.6%	62	7.5%	12%	23%	35%
For those enrolled after the first six months																										
9	Entered w/ PT income	226	8%	66	29.2%	50	22.1%	16	7.1%	66	29.2%	10	4.4%	76	33.6%	59	26.1%	135	59.7%	7	3.1%	18	8.0%	49%	7%	56%
10	Got PT after entry	308	11%	98	31.8%	74	24.0%	39	12.7%	113	36.7%	14	4.5%	127	41.2%	38	12.3%	165	53.6%	12	3.9%	33	#####	68%	8%	77%
11	Never had PT income	630	22%	196	31.1%	27	4.3%	15	2.4%	42	6.7%	64	10.2%	106	16.8%	253	40.2%	359	57.0%	19	3.0%	56	8.9%	12%	18%	30%

# PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

Ref Cohort Subgroup		Data includes: everyone in the CDS file during cohort - unduplicated clients												F.O.R.												
		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N
		A and % Total		B & % Col A		C & % Col A		D & % Col A		E & % Col A		F & % Col A		G & % Col A		H & % Col A		I & % Col A		J & % Col A		K & % Col A				
1	1 to 6	984	34%	86	8.7%	12	1.2%	26	2.6%	38	3.9%	130	13.2%	168	17.1%	555	56.4%	723	73.5%	74	7.5%	101	#####	5%	18%	23%
2	7 to 12	784	27%	200	25.5%	64	8.2%	65	8.3%	129	16.5%	92	11.7%	221	28.2%	245	31.3%	466	59.4%	34	4.3%	84	#####	28%	20%	47%
3	13 to 18	479	16%	170	35.5%	65	13.6%	74	15.4%	139	29.0%	41	8.6%	180	37.6%	73	15.2%	253	52.8%	15	3.1%	41	8.6%	55%	16%	71%
4	19 to 24	683	23%	258	37.8%	329	48.2%	46	6.7%	375	54.9%	16	2.3%	391	57.2%	16	2.3%	407	59.6%	1	0.1%	17	2.5%	92%	4%	96%
5	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

Ref Cohort Subgroup		Data includes: CDS data PAES clients who entered/re-entered PAES - unduplicated clients												F.O.R.												
		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Employed Ever		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		EMPL L	TRANS M	TOT N
		A and % Total		B & % Col A		C & % Col A		D & % Col A		E & % Col A		F & % Col A		G & % Col A		H & % Col A		I & % Col A		J & % Col A		K & % Col A				
1	One	2,341	80%	496	21.2%	454	19.4%	179	7.6%	633	27.0%	249	10.6%	882	37.7%	681	29.1%	1,563	66.8%	113	4.8%	169	7.2%	40%	16%	56%
2	Two	460	16%	166	36.1%	15	3.3%	27	5.9%	42	9.1%	22	4.8%	64	13.9%	164	35.7%	228	49.6%	8	1.7%	58	#####	18%	10%	28%
3	Three	108	4%	40	37.0%	1	0.9%	5	4.6%	6	5.6%	8	7.4%	14	13.0%	40	37.0%	54	50.0%	1	0.9%	13	#####	11%	15%	26%
4	Four	18	1%	11	61.1%					-				-		4	22.2%	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%			
5	Five	2	0%	1	50.0%					-				-								1	#####			
6	Six	1	0%							-				-								1	#####			
	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

Ref Cohort Subgroup		Data includes: Excel spreadsheet - unduplicated clients												F.O.R.												
		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N
		A and % Total		B & % Col A		C & % Col A		D & % Col A		E & % Col A		F & % Col A		G & % Col A		H & % Col A		I & % Col A		J & % Col A		K & % Col A				
1	Received Dental Tx	250	9%	118	47.2%	52	20.8%	23	9.2%	75	30.0%	9	3.6%	84	33.6%	24	9.6%	108	43.2%	5	2.0%	19	7.6%	69%	8%	78%
2	Did not	2,680	91%	596	22.2%	418	15.6%	188	7.0%	606	22.6%	270	10.1%	876	32.7%	865	32.3%	1,741	65.0%	119	4.4%	224	8.4%	35%	16%	50%
3	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

## PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

<b>Table 6 - Gender</b>		Data includes: last status in CDS of everyone in the cohort - unduplicated clients																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N											
1	Male	2,015	69%	467	23.2%	300	14.9%	152	7.5%	452	22.4%	184	9.1%	636	31.6%	656	32.6%	1,292	64.1%	75	3.7%	181	9.0%	35%	14%	49%
2	Female	915	31%	247	27.0%	170	18.6%	59	6.4%	229	25.0%	95	10.4%	324	35.4%	233	25.5%	557	60.9%	49	5.4%	62	6.8%	41%	17%	58%
3	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

<b>Table 7 - Race/Ethnicity</b>		Data includes: last status in CDS of everyone in the cohort - unduplicated clients																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N											
1	Asian / PI	217	7%	64	29.5%	34	15.7%	10	4.6%	44	20.3%	30	13.8%	74	34.1%	51	23.5%	125	57.6%	16	7.4%	12	5.5%	35%	24%	59%
2	Af American	1,359	46%	295	21.7%	178	13.1%	111	8.2%	289	21.3%	111	8.2%	400	29.4%	483	35.5%	883	65.0%	38	2.8%	143	#####	33%	13%	45%
3	Latino	235	8%	57	24.3%	35	14.9%	18	7.7%	53	22.6%	20	8.5%	73	31.1%	82	34.9%	155	66.0%	9	3.8%	14	6.0%	34%	13%	47%
4	Russian	334	11%	112	33.5%	105	31.4%	16	4.8%	121	36.2%	38	11.4%	159	47.6%	16	4.8%	175	52.4%	26	7.8%	21	6.3%	69%	22%	91%
5	White	719	25%	171	23.8%	108	15.0%	52	7.2%	160	22.3%	75	10.4%	235	32.7%	230	32.0%	465	64.7%	32	4.5%	51	7.1%	34%	16%	51%
6	Other	65	2%	15	23.1%	10	15.4%	3	4.6%	13	20.0%	5	7.7%	18	27.7%	27	41.5%	45	69.2%	3	4.6%	2	3.1%	29%	11%	40%
7	Unknown	1	0%					1	#####	1	#####			1	#####			1	#####					100%		100%
8	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

## PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

Ref Cohort Subgroup		Data includes: last status in CDS of everyone in the cohort - unduplicated clients																								
		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total		B & % Col A		C & % Col A		D & % Col A		E & % Col A		F & % Col A		G & % Col A		H & % Col A		I & % Col A		J & % Col A		K & % Col A		EMPL	OTHER	TOTAL
																								L	M	N
1	English	2,338	80%	516	22.1%	327	14.0%	183	7.8%	510	21.8%	218	9.3%	728	31.1%	808	34.6%	1,536	65.7%	75	3.2%	211	9.0%	33%	14%	47%
2	Russian	332	11%	114	34.3%	104	31.3%	15	4.5%	119	35.8%	37	11.1%	156	47.0%	16	4.8%	172	51.8%	26	7.8%	20	6.0%	69%	22%	91%
3	Spanish speaking/reading	74	3%	24	32.4%	11	14.9%	5	6.8%	16	21.6%	5	6.8%	21	28.4%	22	29.7%	43	58.1%	5	6.8%	2	2.7%	37%	12%	49%
4	Vietnamese-Other Indochinese	61	2%	19	31.1%	9	14.8%	1	1.6%	10	16.4%	7	11.5%	17	27.9%	17	27.9%	34	55.7%	4	6.6%	4	6.6%	29%	21%	50%
5	Chinese - Cantonese	60	2%	21	35.0%	12	20.0%	4	6.7%	16	26.7%	7	11.7%	23	38.3%	5	8.3%	28	46.7%	9	15.0%	2	3.3%	57%	25%	82%
6	Filipino - Tagalog	20	1%	9	45.0%	2	10.0%			2	10.0%	1	5.0%	3	15.0%	8	40.0%	11	55.0%					18%	9%	27%
7	Arabic	10	0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	7	70.0%	2	20.0%			29%	14%	43%
8	Korean	3	0%	1	33.3%					-		1	33.3%	1	33.3%			1	33.3%	1	33.3%				100%	100%
9	Portugese	1	0%	1	100.0%					-				-				-								
10	Chinese - Mandarin	1	0%							-				-				-		1	100.0%					
11	Other/Missing	30	1%	8	26.7%	4	13.3%	2	6.7%	6	20.0%	2	6.7%	8	26.7%	9	30.0%	17	56.7%	1	3.3%	4	#####	35%	12%	47%
12	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

Ref Cohort Subgroup		Data includes: last status in CDS of everyone in the cohort - unduplicated clients																										
		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Employed Ever		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.				
		A and % Total		B & % Col A		C & % Col A		D & % Col A		E & % Col A		F & % Col A		G & % Col A		H & % Col A		I & % Col A		J & % Col A		K & % Col A		EMPL	TRANS	TOT		
																										L	M	N
1	<30	308		46	14.9%	59	19.2%	28	9.1%	87	28.2%	12	3.9%	99	32.1%	110	35.7%	209	67.9%	22	7.1%	31	#####	42%	6%	47%		
2	30-39	565		110	19.5%	62	11.0%	53	9.4%	115	20.4%	49	8.7%	164	29.0%	214	37.9%	378	66.9%	24	4.2%	53	9.4%	30%	13%	43%		
3	40-49	1,125		260	23.1%	182	16.2%	82	7.3%	264	23.5%	91	8.1%	355	31.6%	378	33.6%	733	65.2%	36	3.2%	96	8.5%	36%	12%	48%		
4	50-54	519		125	24.1%	99	19.1%	33	6.4%	132	25.4%	62	11.9%	194	37.4%	145	27.9%	339	65.3%	24	4.6%	31	6.0%	39%	18%	57%		
5	55-64	396		172	43.4%	67	16.9%	15	3.8%	82	20.7%	53	13.4%	135	34.1%	42	10.6%	177	44.7%	16	4.0%	31	7.8%	46%	30%	76%		
6	65+	17		1	5.9%	1	5.9%			1	5.9%	12	70.6%	13	76.5%			13	76.5%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	8%	92%	100%		
7	Total	2,930		714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%		

## PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

Table 10 - Housing Status		Data includes: last status in CDS of everyone in the cohort - unduplicated clients																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N											
1	Homeless-remained	725	25%	121	16.7%	35	4.8%	42	5.8%	77	10.6%	63	8.7%	140	19.3%	384	53.0%	524	72.3%	19	2.6%	61	8.4%	15%	12%	27%
		65%																								
2	Homeless-subsidy	388	13%	132	34.0%	76	19.6%	36	9.3%	112	28.9%	34	8.8%	146	37.6%	61	15.7%	207	53.4%	8	2.1%	41	#####	54%	16%	71%
		35%																								
3	Homeless Total	1,113	38%	253	22.7%	111	10.0%	78	7.0%	189	17.0%	97	8.7%	286	25.7%	445	40.0%	731	65.7%	27	2.4%	102	9.2%	26%	13%	39%
		100%																								
4	Entered Housed	1,817	62%	461	25.4%	359	19.8%	133	7.3%	492	27.1%	182	10.0%	674	37.1%	444	24.4%	1,118	61.5%	97	5.3%	141	7.8%	44%	16%	60%
5	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

Table 11 - No known Hx of BH		Data includes: Any episodes with MH or SA Diagnoses from 7/91 to 12/00 in CMHS or CSAS - unduplicated clients																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N											
1	No known Hx	1,454	50%	352	24.2%	315	21.7%	107	7.4%	422	29.0%	94	6.5%	516	35.5%	414	28.5%	930	64.0%	82	5.6%	90	6.2%	45%	10%	55%
2	Known Disorder	1,476	50%	362	24.5%	155	10.5%	104	7.0%	259	17.5%	185	12.5%	444	30.1%	475	32.2%	919	62.3%	42	2.8%	153	#####	28%	20%	48%
		Note: Analysis showed 101 episodes/clients in CMHS and CSAS databases without MH or SA diagnoses who are not included in 2 above																								
3	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

Table 12 - BH Tx Hx with DPH		Data includes: Any episodes with with MH or SA Diagnosis noted from 7/91 to 12/00 in CSAS and CMHS - duplicated clients																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Employed Ever		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPL L	TRANS M	TOT N											
1	Any Tx Hx	1,476	50%	362	24.5%	155	10.5%	104	7.0%	259	17.5%	185	12.5%	444	30.1%	475	32.2%	919	62.3%	42	2.8%	153	#####	28%	20%	48%
		100%																								
2	Tx Hx prior decade only - no concurrent Hx	510	17%	151	29.6%	48	9.4%	27	5.3%	75	14.7%	67	13.1%	142	27.8%	149	29.2%	291	57.1%	12	2.4%	56	#####	26%	23%	49%
		35%																								
3	Tx Hx during PAES only	966	33%	211	21.8%	107	11.1%	77	8.0%	184	19.0%	118	12.2%	302	31.3%	326	33.7%	628	65.0%	30	3.1%	97	#####	29%	19%	48%
		65%																								
		Note: Analysis showed 101 episodes/clients in CMHS and CSAS databases without MH or SA diagnoses who are not included in 1,2, or 3 above																								
4	No Known Hx	1,454	50%	352	24.2%	315	21.7%	107	7.4%	422	29.0%	94	6.5%	516	35.5%	414	28.5%	930	64.0%	82	5.6%	90	6.2%	45%	10%	55%

## PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

<b>5</b>	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%	
<b>Table 13 - Known BH Disorders</b>																											
Data includes: Any episodes with MH or SA Diagnoses from 7/91 to 12/00 in CMHS or CSAS - unduplicated clients																											
		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.			
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	A and % Total		B & % Col A		C & % Col A		D & % Col A		E & % Col A		F & % Col A		G & % Col A		H & % Col A		I & % Col A		J & % Col A		K & % Col A		EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N	
<b>1</b>	No known Hx	1,454	50%	352	24.2%	315	21.7%	107	7.4%	422	29.0%	94	6.5%	516	35.5%	414	28.5%	930	64.0%	82	5.6%	90	6.2%	45%	10%	55%	
<b>2</b>	Known Disorder	1,476	50%	362	24.5%	155	10.5%	104	7.0%	259	17.5%	185	12.5%	444	30.1%	475	32.2%	919	62.3%	42	2.8%	153	#####	28%	20%	48%	
		100%																									
<b>3</b>	Only SA	911	31%	212	23.3%	98	10.8%	67	7.4%	165	18.1%	76	8.3%	241	26.5%	341	37.4%	582	63.9%	24	2.6%	93	#####	28%	13%	41%	
		62%																									
<b>4</b>	Only MH	243	8%	66	27.2%	30	12.3%	15	6.2%	45	18.5%	58	23.9%	103	42.4%	39	16.0%	142	58.4%	10	4.1%	25	#####	32%	41%	73%	
		16%																									
<b>5</b>	Both SA & MH	322	11%	84	26.1%	27	8.4%	22	6.8%	49	15.2%	51	15.8%	100	31.1%	95	29.5%	195	60.6%	8	2.5%	35	#####	25%	26%	51%	
		22%																									
Note: Analysis showed 101 episodes/clients in CMHS and CSAS databases without MH or SA diagnoses who are not included in 2, 3, or 4 above																											
<b>6</b>	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%	

<b>Table 14 - Most Recent Mental Health Disorder</b>																											
Data includes: Most recent primary diagnosis episode during 7/91 to 12/00 in CMHS - unduplicated clients																											
		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Employed Ever		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.			
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	A and % Total		B & % Col A		C & % Col A		D & % Col A		E & % Col A		F & % Col A		G & % Col A		H & % Col A		I & % Col A		J & % Col A		K & % Col A		EMPL L	TRANS M	TOT N	
<b>1</b>	Mood Disorder	300	10%	75	25.0%	32	10.7%	21	7.0%	53	17.7%	60	20.0%	113	37.7%	65	21.7%	178	59.3%	8	2.7%	39	#####	30%	34%	63%	
		55%																									
<b>2</b>	Schizophrenia other	92	3%	18	19.6%	9	9.8%	6	6.5%	15	16.3%	25	27.2%	40	43.5%	23	25.0%	63	68.5%	2	2.2%	9	9.8%	24%	40%	63%	
		17%																									
<b>3</b>	Adjustment	66	2%	17	25.8%	7	10.6%	7	10.6%	14	21.2%	10	15.2%	24	36.4%	20	30.3%	44	66.7%			5	7.6%	32%	23%	55%	
		12%																									
<b>4</b>	Anxiety	34	1%	11	32.4%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	3	8.8%	10	29.4%	13	38.2%	7	20.6%	20	58.8%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	15%	50%	65%	
		6%																									
<b>5</b>	Other	50	2%	15	30.0%	8	16.0%	1	2.0%	9	18.0%	1	2.0%	10	20.0%	15	30.0%	25	50.0%	5	10.0%	5	#####	36%	4%	40%	
		9%																									
<b>6</b>	CMHS History	542	18%	136	25.1%	58	10.7%	36	6.6%	94	17.3%	106	19.6%	200	36.9%	130	24.0%	330	60.9%	17	3.1%	59	#####	28%	32%	61%	
		100%																									



## PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

7	No CMHS History	2,388	82%	578	24.2%	412	17.3%	175	7.3%	587	24.6%	173	7.2%	760	31.8%	759	31.8%	1,519	63.6%	107	4.5%	184	7.7%	39%	11%	50%
8	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

  

Table 15 - GAF Impairments		Data includes: GAF from most recent episode during 7/91 to 12/00 in CMHS - unduplicated clients																									
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.			
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N												
1	No GAF Value	2,457	84%	593	24.1%	423	17.2%	181	7.4%	604	24.6%	187	7.6%	791	32.2%	774	31.5%	1,565	63.7%	109	4.4%	190	7.7%	39%	12%	51%	
2	Minimal Impairment	-																									
3	Mild	26	1%	7	26.9%	2	7.7%	3	11.5%	5	19.2%	4	15.4%	9	34.6%	4	15.4%	13	50.0%	1	3.8%	5	#####	38%	31%	69%	
4	Moderate	307	10%	81	26.4%	35	11.4%	23	7.5%	58	18.9%	59	19.2%	117	38.1%	68	22.1%	185	60.3%	10	3.3%	31	#####	31%	32%	63%	
5	Major	132	5%	33	25.0%	10	7.6%	4	3.0%	14	10.6%	24	18.2%	38	28.8%	41	31.1%	79	59.8%	3	2.3%	17	#####	18%	30%	48%	
6	Severe	8	0%							-		5	62.5%	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	7	87.5%	1	12.5%					71%	71%
7	Total with GAF	473	16%	121	25.6%	47	9.9%	30	6.3%	77	16.3%	92	19.5%	169	35.7%	115	24.3%	284	60.0%	15	3.2%	53	#####	27%	32%	60%	
	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%	

Table 16 - CMHS History by Recent Modality		Data includes: any episode opened in CMHS 1 year prior and thru 12/00 - <b>duplicated clients</b>																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N											
1	Outpatient	527	18%	181		43		30		73		101		174		101		275		15		56				
2	Crisis Intervention	122	4%	25		10		12		22		24		46		32		78		7		12				
3	Acute Inpatient	51	2%	9		3		4		7		14		21		14		35		2		5				
4	Day Treatment	13	0%	1		-		2		2		5		7		2		9				3				
5	Residential	13	0%	1		-		1		1		5		6		3		9				3				
6	CMHS History	542	18%	136	25.1%	58	10.7%	36	6.6%	94	17.3%	106	19.6%	200	36.9%	130	24.0%	330	60.9%	17	3.1%	59	#####	28%	32%	61%
	Total	100%																								

## PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

7	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%	
<small>Note: Analysis showed 101 episodes/clients in CMHS and CSAS databases without MH or SA diagnoses - these ARE included in above - modalities classified by CMHS Reporting Unit per data from Cecilia Kirkpatrick (note: previous data with fewer categories was most recently opened episode)</small>																											
<b>Table 17a and 17b - Type and # of Substances</b> <span style="float: right;">Data includes: Any episodes with type of SA noted from 7/91 to 12/00 in CSAS and CMHS - <b>deduplicated clients</b></span>																											
		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.			
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	A and % Total		B & % Col A		C & % Col A		D & % Col A		E & % Col A		F & % Col A		G & % Col A		H & % Col A		I & % Col A		J & % Col A		K & % Col A		EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N	
1	Alcohol Only	208	7%	60	28.8%	22	10.6%	15	7.2%	37	17.8%	17	8.2%	54	26.0%	66	31.7%	120	57.7%	12	5.8%	16	7.7%	31%	14%	45%	
		17%																									
2	Cocaine Only	66	2%	19	28.8%	9	13.6%	8	12.1%	17	25.8%	3	4.5%	20	30.3%	18	27.3%	38	57.6%	2	3.0%	7	#####	45%	8%	53%	
		5%																									
3	Heroin Only	37	1%	8	21.6%	5	13.5%	5	13.5%	10	27.0%	4	10.8%	14	37.8%	14	37.8%	28	75.7%			1	2.7%	36%	14%	50%	
		3%																									
4	Any Alcohol	967	33%	237	24.5%	94	9.7%	66	6.8%	160	16.5%	97	10.0%	257	26.6%	339	35.1%	596	61.6%	28	2.9%	106	#####	27%	16%	43%	
		78%																									
5	Any Cocaine	787	27%	183	23.3%	71	9.0%	49	6.2%	120	15.2%	84	10.7%	204	25.9%	287	36.5%	491	62.4%	17	2.2%	96	#####	24%	17%	42%	
		64%																									
6	Any Heroin	355	12%	80	22.5%	32	9.0%	30	8.5%	62	17.5%	41	11.5%	103	29.0%	136	38.3%	239	67.3%	4	1.1%	32	9.0%	26%	17%	43%	
		29%																									
7	Alcohol+Cocaine+Heroin	102	3%	21	20.6%	7	6.9%	8	7.8%	15	14.7%	9	8.8%	24	23.5%	45	44.1%	69	67.6%	1	1.0%	11	#####	22%	13%	35%	
		8%																									
8	One Substance	325	11%	86	26.5%	39	12.0%	29	8.9%	68	20.9%	26	8.0%	94	28.9%	106	32.6%	200	61.5%	12	3.7%	27	8.3%	34%	13%	47%	
		26%																									
9	Two Substances	390	13%	84	21.5%	43	11.0%	23	5.9%	66	16.9%	45	11.5%	111	28.5%	143	36.7%	254	65.1%	13	3.3%	39	#####	26%	18%	44%	
		32%																									
10	Three+ Substances	518	18%	126	24.3%	43	8.3%	37	7.1%	80	15.4%	56	10.8%	136	26.3%	187	36.1%	323	62.4%	7	1.4%	62	#####	25%	17%	42%	
		42%																									
11	Any SA Hx with DPH	1,233	42%	296	24.0%	125	10.1%	89	7.2%	214	17.4%	127	10.3%	341	27.7%	436	35.4%	777	63.0%	32	2.6%	128	#####	28%	16%	44%	
		100%																									
12	No SA History	1,697	58%	418	24.6%	345	20.3%	122	7.2%	467	27.5%	152	9.0%	619	36.5%	453	26.7%	1,072	63.2%	92	5.4%	115	6.8%	44%	14%	58%	
13	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%	

## PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

<b>Table 18 - Last CSAS Episode by Modality</b>		Data includes: Modality from last opened episode between 7/91 and 12/00 in CSAS - unduplicated clients																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Employed Ever		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPL L	TRANS M	TOT N											
1	Detox - Methadone	91	3%	22	24.2%	4	4.4%	5	5.5%	9	9.9%	8	8.8%	17	18.7%	42	46.2%	59	64.8%	1	1.1%	9	9.9%	15%	14%	29%
		7%																								
2	Detox - Residential	114	4%	29	25.4%	11	9.6%	4	3.5%	15	13.2%	8	7.0%	23	20.2%	45	39.5%	68	59.6%	4	3.5%	13	#####	22%	12%	34%
		9%																								
3	Residential Treatment	73	2%	17	23.3%	6	8.2%	5	6.8%	11	15.1%	3	4.1%	14	19.2%	26	35.6%	40	54.8%	3	4.1%	13	#####	28%	8%	35%
		6%																								
4	Outpatient Counseling	664	23%	165	24.8%	70	10.5%	57	8.6%	127	19.1%	84	12.7%	211	31.8%	222	33.4%	433	65.2%	11	1.7%	55	8.3%	29%	19%	49%
		54%																								
5	Methadone Maint	62	2%	12	19.4%	9	14.5%	5	8.1%	14	22.6%	16	25.8%	30	48.4%	14	22.6%	44	71.0%			6	9.7%	32%	36%	68%
		5%																								
6	Other	229	8%																							
		19%																								
7	Any SA Hx with DPH	1,233	42%	296	24.0%	125	10.1%	89	7.2%	214	17.4%	127	10.3%	341	27.7%	436	35.4%	777	63.0%	32	2.6%	128	#####	28%	16%	44%
		100%																								
Note: Analysis showed 101 episodes/clients in CMHS and CSAS databases without MH or SA diagnoses - these ARE included in above																										
8	No CSAS History	1,697	58%	418	24.6%	345	20.3%	122	7.2%	467	27.5%	152	9.0%	619	36.5%	453	26.7%	1,072	63.2%	92	5.4%	115	6.8%	44%	14%	58%
9	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

<b>Table 19 - Methadone Maintenance</b>		Data includes: Any episodes 1 year prior up through 12/00 with modality noted in CSAS - <b>deduplicated clients</b>																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N											
1	Drug Problem = "Any Heroin"	355	12%	80	22.5%	32	9.0%	30	8.5%	62	17.5%	41	11.5%	103	29.0%	136	38.3%	239	67.3%	4	1.1%	32	9.0%	26%	17%	43%
		100%																								
2	Recent Meth Maint	102	3%	20	19.6%	13	12.7%	8	7.8%	21	20.6%	21	20.6%	42	41.2%	31	30.4%	73	71.6%	-		9	8.8%	29%	29%	58%
		29%																								
3	"Any Heroin" but no MM	253	9%	60	23.7%	19	7.5%	22	8.7%	41	16.2%	20	7.9%	61	24.1%	105	41.5%	166	65.6%	4	1.6%	23	9.1%	25%	12%	37%
		71%																								
4	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

# PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

Table 20 - # Recent CSAS Episodes		Data includes: Any episodes 1 year prior up through 12/00 in CSAS - unduplicated clients																								
Ref	Cohort Subgroup	Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Employed Ever		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPL L	TRANS M	TOT N											
1	One to Four	678	23%	175	25.8%	74	10.9%	60	8.8%	134	19.8%	81	11.9%	215	31.7%	218	32.2%	433	63.9%	14	2.1%	56	8.3%	31%	19%	50%
		70%																								
2	Five or More	289	10%	68	23.5%	16	5.5%	10	3.5%	26	9.0%	30	10.4%	56	19.4%	126	43.6%	182	63.0%	6	2.1%	33	#####	14%	16%	31%
		30%																								
3	Five to Seven	126	4%	34	27.0%	9	7.1%	2	1.6%	11	8.7%	11	8.7%	22	17.5%	53	42.1%	75	59.5%	3	2.4%	14	#####	15%	15%	29%
		13%																								
4	Eight to Ten	58	2%	14	24.1%	2	3.4%	2	3.4%	4	6.9%	7	12.1%	11	19.0%	28	48.3%	39	67.2%	1	1.7%	4	6.9%	10%	18%	28%
		6%																								
5	Over Ten	105	4%	20	19.0%	5	4.8%	6	5.7%	11	10.5%	12	11.4%	23	21.9%	45	42.9%	68	64.8%	2	1.9%	15	#####	16%	18%	34%
		11%																								
6	CSAS Recent History	967	33%	243	25.1%	90	9.3%	70	7.2%	160	16.5%	111	11.5%	271	28.0%	344	35.6%	615	63.6%	20	2.1%	89	9.2%	26%	18%	44%
		100%																								
7	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%
8	1 Episode	352	12%	89	25.3%	43	12.2%	32	9.1%	75	21.3%	40	11.4%	115	32.7%	112	31.8%	227	64.5%	7	2.0%	29	8.2%	33%	18%	51%
9	2	169	6%	39	23.1%	15	8.9%	14	8.3%	29	17.2%	23	13.6%	52	30.8%	58	34.3%	110	65.1%	6	3.6%	14	8.3%	26%	21%	47%
10	3	95	3%	25	26.3%	9	9.5%	9	9.5%	18	18.9%	9	9.5%	27	28.4%	36	37.9%	63	66.3%	1	1.1%	6	6.3%	29%	14%	43%
11	4	62	2%	22	35.5%	7	11.3%	5	8.1%	12	19.4%	9	14.5%	21	33.9%	12	19.4%	33	53.2%			7	#####	36%	27%	64%
12	5	56	2%	16	28.6%	3	5.4%	1	1.8%	4	7.1%	5	8.9%	9	16.1%	24	42.9%	33	58.9%	1	1.8%	6	#####	12%	15%	27%
13	6	35	1%	7	20.0%	3	8.6%	1	2.9%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%	6	17.1%	16	45.7%	22	62.9%	1	2.9%	5	#####	18%	9%	27%
14	7	35	1%	11	31.4%	3	8.6%			3	8.6%	4	11.4%	7	20.0%	13	37.1%	20	57.1%	1	2.9%	3	8.6%	15%	20%	35%
15	8	27	1%	6	22.2%			1	3.7%	1	3.7%	3	11.1%	4	14.8%	16	59.3%	20	74.1%	1	3.7%			5%	15%	20%
16	9	19	1%	6	31.6%	1	5.3%			1	5.3%	2	10.5%	3	15.8%	7	36.8%	10	52.6%			3	#####	10%	20%	30%
17	10	12	0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	4	33.3%	5	41.7%	9	75.0%			1	8.3%	22%	22%	44%
18	11 to 20	81	3%	18	22.2%	4	4.9%	4	4.9%	8	9.9%	8	9.9%	16	19.8%	34	42.0%	50	61.7%	2	2.5%	11	#####	16%	16%	32%
19	21 to 30	17	1%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	3	17.6%	2	11.8%	5	29.4%	8	47.1%	13	76.5%			3	#####	23%	15%	38%
20	31 to 53	7	0%	1	14.3%					-		2	28.6%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	5	71.4%			1	#####		40%	40%

# PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

Note: Analysis showed 101 episodes/clients in CMHS and CSAS databases without MH or SA diagnoses - these ARE included in above

Unduplicated count																										
Ref Cohort Subgroup		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N											
1	No BH & Homeless	291	10%	45	15.5%	16	5.5%	18	6.2%	34	11.7%	17	5.8%	51	17.5%	164	56.4%	215	73.9%	8	2.7%	23	7.9%	16%	8%	24%
2	No BH & Subsidy	149	5%	47	31.5%	41	27.5%	17	11.4%	58	38.9%	7	4.7%	65	43.6%	25	16.8%	90	60.4%	1	0.7%	11	7.4%	64%	8%	72%
3	No BH & Housed	1,014	35%	260	25.6%	258	25.4%	72	7.1%	330	32.5%	70	6.9%	400	39.4%	225	22.2%	625	61.6%	73	7.2%	56	5.5%	53%	11%	64%
4	MH & Homeless	33	1%	6	18.2%	4	12.1%	1	3.0%	5	15.2%	6	18.2%	11	33.3%	14	42.4%	25	75.8%			2	6.1%	20%	24%	44%
5	MH & Subsidy	39	1%	14	35.9%	9	23.1%			9	23.1%	7	17.9%	16	41.0%	5	12.8%	21	53.8%			4	#####	43%	33%	76%
6	MH & Housed	171	6%	46	26.9%	17	9.9%	14	8.2%	31	18.1%	45	26.3%	76	44.4%	20	11.7%	96	56.1%	10	5.8%	19	#####	32%	47%	79%
7	SA & Homeless	305	10%	56	18.4%	13	4.3%	18	5.9%	31	10.2%	25	8.2%	56	18.4%	159	52.1%	215	70.5%	10	3.3%	24	7.9%	14%	12%	26%
8	SA & Subsidy	137	5%	45	32.8%	21	15.3%	12	8.8%	33	24.1%	11	8.0%	44	32.1%	27	19.7%	71	51.8%	4	2.9%	17	#####	46%	15%	62%
9	SA & Housed	469	16%	111	23.7%	64	13.6%	37	7.9%	101	21.5%	40	8.5%	141	30.1%	155	33.0%	296	63.1%	10	2.1%	52	#####	34%	14%	48%
10	Dual & Homeless	96	3%	14	14.6%	2	2.1%	5	5.2%	7	7.3%	15	15.6%	22	22.9%	47	49.0%	69	71.9%	1	1.0%	12	#####	10%	22%	32%
11	Dual & Subsidy	63	2%	26	41.3%	5	7.9%	7	11.1%	12	19.0%	9	14.3%	21	33.3%	4	6.3%	25	39.7%	3	4.8%	9	#####	48%	36%	84%
12	Dual & Housed	163	6%	44	27.0%	20	12.3%	10	6.1%	30	18.4%	27	16.6%	57	35.0%	44	27.0%	101	62.0%	4	2.5%	14	8.6%	30%	27%	56%
13	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%

unduplicated count																										
Ref Cohort Subgroup		Participants		Still in PAES		Employed & Maintained		Employed Not Maint		Total Thru Employment		Thru Other Income Benefit		Total FAVORable		Total UNfavorable		Favorable + Unfavorable		Total Neutral Status		Total Unknown		F.O.R.		
		A and % Total	B & % Col A	C & % Col A	D & % Col A	E & % Col A	F & % Col A	G & % Col A	H & % Col A	I & % Col A	J & % Col A	K & % Col A	EMPLOY L	OTHER M	TOTAL N											
1	No Disability	1,194	41%	313	26.2%	304	25.5%	92	7.7%	396	33.2%	88	7.4%	484	40.5%	254	21.3%	738	61.8%	75	6.3%	68	5.7%	54%	12%	66%
2	Minimal Disability	1,195	41%	297	24.9%	135	11.3%	87	7.3%	222	18.6%	123	10.3%	345	28.9%	395	33.1%	740	61.9%	36	3.0%	122	#####	30%	17%	47%
		69%																								
3	Moderate Disability	481	16%	95	19.8%	30	6.2%	29	6.0%	59	12.3%	60	12.5%	119	24.7%	211	43.9%	330	68.6%	12	2.5%	44	9.1%	18%	18%	36%
		28%																								
4	Severe Disability	60	2%	9	15.0%	1	1.7%	3	5.0%	4	6.7%	8	13.3%	12	20.0%	29	48.3%	41	68.3%	1	1.7%	9	#####	10%	20%	29%
		3%																								
5	SubTotal with Disability	1,736	59%	401	23.1%	166	9.6%	119	6.9%	285	16.4%	191	11.0%	476	27.4%	635	36.6%	1,111	64.0%	49	2.8%	175	#####	26%	17%	43%
		100%																								

PAES Evaluation - Descriptive Tables of Results of Bi-variate Analysis for Cohort 1999-2000

<b>6</b>	Total	2,930	100%	714	24.4%	470	16.0%	211	7.2%	681	23.2%	279	9.5%	960	32.8%	889	30.3%	1,849	63.1%	124	4.2%	243	8.3%	37%	15%	52%
----------	-------	-------	------	-----	-------	-----	-------	-----	------	-----	-------	-----	------	-----	-------	-----	-------	-------	-------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	-----	-----