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**SFDPH BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES (BHS)**

**Services Provided**
- Specialty behavioral health for public safety net in San Francisco
- Mental health promotion and early intervention services through partnerships with schools, cultural organizations, homeless service providers
- Participates in dozens of interdepartmental initiatives

**Clients Served**
- 25,000 clients access substance use and mental health treatment each year
- Tens of thousands reached through prevention and early intervention work

**Budget and Structure**
- $446 million budget
- 650 FTE civil service staff
- 80 CBOs sub-contracted to deliver over 60% of BHS services across 200 programs
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Behavioral Health Services
FY19/20 Budget

Total Budget: ~$446 million

Expenditures by System of Care

- Mental Health Adult and Older Adult, 276m
- Children's Mental Health, 83m
- Substance Use Disorder, 87m

Revenue

- County General Fund, 139m, 31%
- 1991 Realignment, 61m, 14%
- 2011 Realignment, 41m, 9%
- Medi-Cal and Other Revenues, 113m, 25%
- Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF), 16m
- PropCHomeless, 5m, 1%
- Work Orders, 26m, 6%
- Mental Health Service Act, 36m, 8%
- Grants, 10m, 2%
- Mental Health Adult and Older Adult, 276m
- Substance Use Disorder, 87m
- Children's Mental Health, 83m

Mental Health
- Adult and Older Adult, 276m
- Substance Use Disorder, 87m
- Children's Mental Health, 83m
Demographics

**FY 18/19**

Gender of MH clients:
- Female 43%
- Male 56%
- Transgender 1%

Gender of SU clients:
- Female 29%
- Male 69%
- Transgender 1%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UDC SO/GI Data in Avatar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY 18/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client declined to state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genderqueer / Gender non-binary</td>
<td>2791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Entry</td>
<td>9733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans Female</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans Male</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CYF Updates

- Ongoing Equity and Organizational Healing Plan
- Practice Improvement Work
- Leadership Vacancies
- Edgewood Reopening
- Juvenile Justice Reform
- Expanded Mobile Response Team (MRT) for Children, Youth and Families
- Strengthening Families and Communities Task Force
## BHS COVID-19 Response Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Maintain essential behavioral health services while protecting client and staff safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Integrate behavioral health services in COVID-19 response efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Provide support to City staff and first responders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Promote wellness in our communities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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• Calls to warm lines and crisis lines have increased
• Linkage and outpatient programs reporting increased client acuity
• COVID/SIP taking a toll on our children, youth, and families
  • Escalating DV, family conflict, substance use
  • Increased calls to crisis and psychiatric hospitalization
• COVID-19 has impacted client access and flow
  • Limits on FTF outpatient care, still doing in-person intakes
  • Clients are receiving more services through telehealth
  • Reduced capacity in residential treatment
  • New safety protocols for entering PES; limited to strict 18-bed capacity
  • Reduction in UOS billed in Avatar
• Conditions on the streets have deteriorated
Pivot to telehealth

- Equipped staff to work remotely
- Provided clear and timely guidance
- Supported skill building and access to technology
- Supported staff accountability

Shifting how we work
BHS Shelter in Place (SIP) Hotel System of Care (SOC)

- Training and coaching for onsite hotel staff (e.g., in de-escalation, harm reduction)
- Consultation Line for staff to call when BH concerns arise
- Peer Support Teams will provide proactive individual and group engagement in person and via web-based platforms.
- Low Threshold BHS Engagement client centered approach to supporting individuals with mild to moderate behavioral health needs
- Intensive BHS Linkage and Care Coordination to supporting individuals who need speciality mental health services
- Crisis Response
Looking past crisis response mode

• Continue supporting DPH COVID response
  • Prepare for surge
  • Maintain services and respond to anticipated increase in demand and acuity
  • Serve 2,000+ newly housed individuals
• Implementing Mental Health SF
• Complying with Final Rule (federal Medicaid reform)
• DPH ‘must do, can’t fail’ priorities and True North
  • Equity
  • Lean
  • Workforce
  • BH/Homeless
• DPH was able to meet its FY 20-21 and FY 21-22 budget instructions without proposing service reductions in behavioral health

• Discussions about behavioral health service enhancements/expansions to continue in Mayor and Board phases, dependent on available funding

• Projected declines in multiple revenue sources

• Mental Health SF would cost an estimated $100 million to fully implement

• Starting work on revenue optimization initiatives
Vacancies and low staff engagement remain significant challenges

Vacancies

- BH clinicians 20% (citywide)
- Psychiatrists 23% (civil service)
- BHS Leadership Positions 40% (civil service)

Other BHS workforce priorities include

- Developing a workforce that is more reflective of the clients we serve
- Developing needed language capacity (esp. Spanish, Russian and Cantonese speakers)
- Engaging staff and providing professional development opportunities
1. Continue to support COVID-19 response

2. Expand street crisis response and engagement services

3. Implement MHSF to improve access and outcomes for the most vulnerable

4. Behavioral Health Beds: Optimizing Flow
• Goal: expand BHS capacity to respond to people in crisis on the street
  • Collaborate with partner agencies (HSH, EMS, SFPD, HSOC)
  • Assess, align and optimize existing outreach/crisis teams
  • Centralize triage
  • Launch pilot program - building from foundation and learnings of the LEAD initiative
  • Identify and develop safe spaces for people experiencing psychosis (ex. Drug Sobering Center)
  • Support linkage to treatment services
Implement MHSF to improve access and outcomes for the most vulnerable.

**Beds**
- Drug Sobering
- Locked Subacute/Psych SNF
- Board and Care
- Hummingbird
- Mental Health Residential

**Crisis/Street Outreach Teams**

**Office of Coordinated Care**
- BH System Coordination and Oversight
- Access and Community Engagement
- Bed Tracking System
- Jail and PES Linkage Support
- Staff Training and Development
  - Case Management (1:25)
  - Intensive Case Management (1:17)
  - Critical Care Management (1:10)

**MH Service Center**
Behavioral Health Beds: Optimizing Flow

Project Objective:
Answer the question: “How many beds are needed in each behavioral health bed category to maintain consistent patient flow for adult clients in San Francisco with zero wait time?”

Why is this important?
• First quantitative analysis of patient flow in DPH behavioral health beds
• System is currently bottlenecked in certain areas which has negative patient health outcomes and financial impact
• In a system with optimal flow, patients get the care they need when they need it
• Investments are grounded in data to have the greatest impact
Behavioral Health Bed Optimization Methods

- Bed simulation modeling has been used internationally as a risk-free strategy for quantifying demand and identifying the impact of investments on patient flow. Studies conclude this methodology can help identify the appropriate type and number of beds required in public behavioral health systems.

- Analyzed data from SFDPH FY1819 and constructed a Discrete Event Simulation (DES) model to analyze the system based on its variability and complexity.

- Input data was statistically analyzed and summarized from 25,583 admission entries that spanned 168 unique program names.

- These programs were aggregated to 19 “bed categories” incorporating the utilization of nearly 1,000 behavioral health beds and the admissions of over 7,000 clients.

### Patient Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Number of Unique Patients</th>
<th>Percent of Total Unique Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homelessness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4,140</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1,955</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4,032</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,763</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>2,015</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>1,434</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino/a</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Not Stated</td>
<td>1,567</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6,095</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An additional 1,387 identified clients did not have demographic information to include in this analysis.

Homelessness defined by DPH Coordinated Care Management System (CCMS). CCMS defines people as experiencing homelessness in the fiscal year if they either: 1) utilize a City service that indicates housing instability, for example, a City shelter, or 2) self-report homelessness while accessing health care services.
Bed Utilization Calculation

*Unable to calculate utilization of the following bed categories since no fixed bed count: Locked Subacute Treatment, Psychiatric Skilled Nursing Facilities, Residential Care Facility aka Board and Care, Residential Care Facility for the Elderly

**MH Residential Treatment 12-month program utilization was adjusted to 90% during post-hoc analysis

*85% utilization suggests risk of capacity constraints
## Behavioral Health Investment Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bed Category</th>
<th>Recommended Bed Increase</th>
<th>Annual Cost of Recommended Bed Increase*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locked Subacute Treatment</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$5,493,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric Skilled Nursing Facility</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$1,385,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Care Facilities aka Board and Care</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$973,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$855,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Residential Treatment (12-month)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1,942,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>$10,649,788</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*cost calculated using BH Bed Inventory median cost per bed per day

... and for each new bed investment, create one long-term housing placement.
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